JAMES FULLER, : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Plaintiff, : BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS.

WOODHAVEN DAIRY, : AT LAW

Defendants.

INCORPORATED, a corporation

and JAMES BAIRD,

$\underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{M} \ \underline{U} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R}$

Comes now each of the defendants, separately and severally, and demurs to each count of the complaint filed herein, separately and severally, and for separate and several grounds of demurrer, sets down and assigns, separately and severally, the following:

- 1. That it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this defendant.
- 2. For that negligence is therein alleged merely as a conclusion of the pleader.
- 3. For that it is vague, indefinite and uncertain, in that it does not apprise this defendant with sufficient certainty against what act or acts of negligence defendant is called on to defend.
- 4. For that it does not appear with sufficient certainty the duty, if any, this defendant may have owed to the plaintiff.
- 5. For that it does not appear with sufficient certainty wherein this defendant violated any duty owed by defendant to the plaintiff.

- 6. For that it does not sufficiently appear that this defendant owed any duty to the plaintiff which defendant negligently failed to perform.
- 7. For that the averments set up, if true, do not show any liability on the part of this defendant.
- 8. For that the pleader sets out in what said negligence consisted, and the facts so set out do not show negligence.
- 9. For that there does not appear sufficient causal connection between this defendant's said breach of duty and plaintiff's injuries and damages.
- 10. No facts are alleged to show that plaintiff sustained any damage or injury as the proximate result of any negligence or breach of duty on the part of this defendant.
- 11. It is not alleged that the negligence complained of proximately caused the accident and the injuries and damages complained of.
- 12. For that it affirmatively appears in the complaint that the plaintiff proximately contributed to his own injuries.
- 13. For aught that appears, both the plaintiff and this defendant were subject to the Workmen's Compensation Provisions of Title 26, Chapter 5, Article 2.

- 14. For aught that appears, both the plaintiff and this defendant are subject to the Workmen's Compensation laws and the plaintiff has failed to give this defendant written notice of the accident as required by Title 26, Section 294.
 - 15. For aught appearing from said complaint, plaintiff's theory of recovery is under the Alabama Workmen's Compensation Act and the damages claimed are inconsistent with the allegations.
 - 16. Said count is redundant and prolix.
 - 17. Said count avers inconsistent theories of recovery.
 - 18. The damages averred are but conclusions of the pleader, not supported by any allegations of injury.
 - 19. For aught appearing, the plaintiff cannot recover for the injuries and damages under the theory of negligence which he attempts to set forth.
 - 20. Said count attempts to set forth the quo modo of the negligence and the facts, in and of themselves, do not support the conclusions averred.
 - 21. The allegations of the complaint that the injuries were sustained as a result of the injuries alleged is but a conclusion of the pleader not supported by the facts averred.

22. Said count does not aver with sufficient definiteness plaintiff's theory of recovery so as to permit defendant to properly defend the claim averred.

> Attorney for Defendants 622 First National Bank Building Mobile, Alabama

Of Counsel:

al a

HAND, ARENDALL, BEDSOLE, GREAVES & JOHNSTON

JAMES FULLER,

PLAINTIFF

VS

WOODHAVEN DAIRY, INCORPORATED, a corporation, AND JAMIE BAIRD,

DEFENDANTS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW

1

Plaintiff claims of the defendants Thirty-five Thousand and NO/100 (\$35,000.00) Dollars, damages, for that heretofore, to-wit:

the 13th day of March 1961, at the dairy farm of defendant, Woodhaven Dairy, Incorporated, in Baldwin County, Alabama, the defendant, Jamie Baird, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as agent, servant or employee of the defendant, Woodhaven Dairy, Inc. engaged the plaintiff to repair a certain cattle feed wagon, and did supervise, direct and order the plaintiff in and about the repair of the said cattle feed wagon in such a manner as to negligently allow said cattle feed wagon to fall upon plaintiff's head; and that by reason of the said negligence of the said defendant, Jamie Baird, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as agent, servant or employee of the said defendant, Woodhaven Dairy, Inc., plaintiff's skull was fractured and his brain and nervous system were permanently injured; plaintiff suffered severe lacerations of the forehead, face and eyelid, and permanent scarring of same; and that since said injuries plaintiff has suffered, still suffers, and will continue to suffer during his lifetime, great pain, and has spent and will be compelled to spend large sums of money for surgical, medical and hospital attendance and medicine, and has been and will during his lifetime be disabled and prevented from attending to his livlihood, work, business and duties, all to his injury, hence this suit.

Plainsiff demands trial by jury

Atorney for Plaintiff

	Circuit Court, Baldwin County
The State of Alabama, Baldwin County.	NoTERM, 19
TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE	
You Are Commanded to Summon	Woodhaven Dairy, Incorporated, a corporation,
and Jamie Baird	
to appear and plead, answer or de	mur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in
the Circuit Court of Baldwin Cou-	nty, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against <u>Woodhaven Dairy</u> ,
Incorporated, a corpo	ration, and Jamie Baird
by James Fuller	
~ =	Plaintiff
Witness my hand this	<i>'</i>
<u> </u>	

No. 4757 Page	
STATE of ALABAMA Baldwin County	Defendant lives at Robertsdale, Alabama
CIRCUIT COURT James Fuller	Received In Office
Plaintiffs Vs. The above Deliver Transported	Sheriff. I have executed this summons this
Woodhaven Dairy, Incorporated, a corporation, and Jamie Baird Defendants	Jamie Bairl 1-11 Mileage 10 mi
Summons and Complaint Filed	W. G. Mc Kipboutty and Heneral Manager of Woodhaven Dairy
	Sheriff claims miles at Ten Cents per mile Total \$ TAYLOR_WILKINS, Sheriff BY DEPUTY SHERIFF
James A. Brice Plaintiff's Attorney Defendant's Attorney	Jaylor Welberis Sheriff. Edleigh Steadkane Deputy Sheriff.
	6 mi Suth Silvershi

JAMES A. BRICE ATTORNEY AT LAW FOLEY, ALABAMA

POST OFFICE BOX 298

WHITEHALL 3-3601

September 15, 1961

Mrs. Alice J. Duck Circuit Clerk Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: James Fuller

Vs: Woodhaven Dairy, Inc., et al No. 4757

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Kindly dismiss the above suit with prejudice.

Cost bill should be sent to W. C. Boone, Jr., Esq., Box 123, Mobile, Alabama.

Thank you.

JAB:j

HAND, ARENDALL, BEDSOLE, GREAVES & JOHNSTON LAWYERS

SIXTH FLOOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

MAILING ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 123

CABLE ADDRESS:

HAB

September 21, 1961

TELEBRONE: HEMLOCK 2-5514

Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama Bay Minette, Alabama

South of

Fuller v. Woodhaven Dairy

Dear Mrs. Duck:

CHAS. C. HAND

C. B. ARENDALL, JR.

T. MASSEY BEDSOLE THOMAS G. GREAVES, JR.

WM. BREVARD HAND

VIVIAN G. JOHNSTON, JR. PAUL W. BROCK ALEX F. LANKFORD, DE

EDMUND R. CANNON, JR. LYMAN F. HOLLAND, JR. J. THOMAS HINES, JR. W. C. BOONE. JR. DONALD F. PIERCE

> I have settled the above case with Jim Brice and he advises he has dismissed it. Please send me the cost bill, together with a short letter stating that the case was dismissed by the plaintiff's attorney.

Many thanks for your continued cooperation and assistance.

Yours very truly,

For the Firm

WCBjr.meb

HAND. ARENDALL. BEDSOLE. GREAVES & JOHNSTON LAWYERS

SIXTH FLOOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING MOBILE, ALABAMA

MAILING ADDRESS: F. O. BOX 123

CABLE ADDRESS: H A B

TELEPHONE: HEMLOCK 2-5514

CHAS, C. HAND
C. B. ARENDALL. JR.
T. MASSEY BEDSOLE
THOMAS G. GREAVES, JR.
WM. BREVARD HAND
VIVIAN G. JOHNSTON, JR.
PAUL. W. BROCK
ALEX F. LANKFORD. III
EDMUND R. CANNON, JR.
LYMAN F. HOLLAND, JR.
J. THOMAS HINES, JR.
W. C. BOONE, JR.
DONALD F. PIERCE

September 21, 1961

Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Fuller v. Woodhaven Dairy

Dear Mrs. Duck:

I have settled the above case with Jim Brice and he advises he has dismissed it. Please send me the cost bill, together with a short letter stating that the case was dismissed by the plaintiff's attorney.

Many thanks for your continued cooperation and assistance.

Yours very truly,

For the Firm

WCBjr.meb

HAND, ARENDALL, BEDSOLE, GREAVES & JOHNSTON LAWYERS

SIXTH FLOOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

MAILING ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 123

CABLE ADDRESS:

TELEPHONE: HEMLOCK 2-5514

C. B. ARENDALL, JR. T. MASSEY BEDSOLE THOMAS G. GREAVES, JR. WM PREVARD HAND VIVIAN G. JOHNSTON, JR. PAUL W. BROCK ALEX F. LANKFORD, III EDMUND R. CANNON, JR. LYMAN F. HOLLAND, JR.

CHAS. C. HAND

W. C. BOONE, JR. DONALD F. PIERCE July 28, 1961

Mrs. Alice J. Duck Clerk, Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama Bay Minette, Alabama

> James Fuller vs. Woodhaven Dairy, Incorporated, a corporation, and James Baird

Dear Mrs. Duck:

I am enclosing herewith Demurrer which I would appreciate your filing with the Court on behalf of the defendants, acknowledging receipt of same on the enclosed copy of this letter.

Yours very truly,

WB Hand

For the Firm

WBH:dn Enclosure

HAND, ARENDALL, BEDSOLE, GREAVES & JOHNSTON LAWYERS

SIXTH FLOOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

MAILING ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 123

CABLE ADDRESS:

WM. BREVARD HAND VIVIAN G JOHNSTON, JR.

PAUL W. BROCK ALEX F. LANKFORD. III EDMUND R. CANNON, JR.

THOMAS G. GREAVES, JR.

IYMAN F. HOLLAND, JR. J, THOMAS HINES, JR. W. C. BOONE, JR. DONALD F. PIERCE

CHAS C. HAND

C. B. ARENDALL, JR. T. MASSEY BEDSOLE

August 15, 1961

TELEPHONE: HEMLOCK 2-5514

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama Bay Minette, Alabama

James Fuller v. Woodhaven Dairy

Dear Mrs. Duck:

If you would be kind enough to check the sheriff's return concerning service on the defendants in this case and advise me as to the name of the person served for Woodhaven Dairy and the date of service I would appreciate it.

I am enclosing an extra copy of this letter for you to write this information on.

Many thanks for your cooperation.

Yours very truly,

For the Firm

W.C. Boonefr.

WCBjr.meb