L.A. GODBOLD,

PLAINTIFF.

VS.

JOHN HOLTON LUNSFORD.

DEFENDANT.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,

IN LAW

NO. (4231)

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of \$300.00 as damages for that on heretofore, to-wit: the 14th day of June, 1959, at about 3:35 F.M. at the intersection of Magnolia Avenue and Church Street, in Fairhope, Alabama, a public road in Baldwin County, Alabama, the defendant so negligently operated an automobile as to cause or allow the same to run into, upon or against the automobile of the plaintiff and which was then and there being operated by the plaintiff's wife, and as a proximate consequence and result of the negligence of the defendant aforesaid, the plaintiff's automobile was rendered a complete loss, wherefore he brings this suit and asks judgment in the above amount.

/

OF THE WITHIN WITH THIS THE DAY OF SHERIFF DEPUTY SHERIFF EXECUTED BY LEAVING A COPY OF THE WITHIN WITH. The Sheriff Claims 54 Miles at .10d per mile.
For a total of \$ 5.40

THE CTROOTS OFORT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, NO. 42-31. AT LAW L.A. GODBOLD, PLAINTIFF. Vs. JOHN HOLTON LUNSFORD, DEFENDANT. SUMMONS & COMPLAINT APR 8 (D LAW OFFICES OF J. CONNOR OWENS, JR. 101 COURTHOUSE SQUARE BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

L. A.	GODBOLD,		0	דאז וחווים	ATTAITE AATTA
		Plaintiff	0	IN THE	E CIRCUIT COURT
Vs.			Q		OF
JOHN	HOLTON	LUNSFORD,	Ž		IN COUNTY, ALABAMA.
		Defendant.	Õ	AT LAV	V. CASE NO.

Comes the defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the Bill of Complaint heretofore filed in said cause and to each and every paragraph, phase and aspect and Count thereof seperately and severally and for grounds of demurrer thereto sets down and assigns the following seperate and several grounds of demurrer:

- 1. For that said Bill of Complaint fails to state a cause of action against this defendant.
- 2. For that said Bill of Complaint is vague, indefinite and uncertain.
- 3. For that the automobile of the plaintiff is not described with sufficient certainty as to inform the defendant as to what type vehicle he is charged with running into, upon or against.
- 4. For that the injuries to the automobile of the plaintiff are not sufficiently described.
- 5. For that the make and model of the Plaintiff's automobile is not described or set out in said Bill of Complaint.
- 6. For that the damages to the plaintiff's automobile are not set out with sufficient certainty or set out at all so as to inform this defendant of the injuries he charged with causing to the automobile of the plaintiff.
- 7. For that the allegation that the automobile of the plaintiff was a complete loss is a conclusion.

Attorney for the Defendant.

A trial of this cause by a jury is hereby demanded.

Attorney for the Defendant.

4231 RECORDED

L. A. Godbold,

Plaintiff

V S

John Holton Lunsford,

Defendant.

PILED
26 1960
PILITY CLERK
PEGISTER

THOMAS F. SEALE, IR. ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW LIVINGSTON, ALABAMA

May 12th, 1960

OFFICE PHONE 3831 RESIDENCE PHONE 3671

> Mrs Alice J. Duck Circuit Clerk, Baldwin County, Bay Minette, Ala.

Dear Mrs Duck:

Re: Godbold vs Lunsford Case No. 4231.

I am in receipt of a card from you in which you state that the next pleading date will be on May 17th, 1960. I assume that this is merely the day on which all pending pleadings in all cases will be ruled on and that this is not actually the day on which the case is set for trial. If this is correct I will appreciate it if you will advise the Judge that I would like a ruling on the Demurrer which I filed in this cause and if you will be so kind please send me a copy of his ruling. At this time I would also appreciate it very much if you will advise me as to the date on which this case is actually set for trial.

If this case is actually set for trial on May 17th, 1960 I will appreciate it if you will call me collect here at Livingston, Alabama, and advise me of this fact. If I am not in the office should you call please advise anyone who answers the call and they will deliver the message to me. If you find it necessary to call I suggest 9 AM Saturday and I will be sure to

be in at that time.

Thanking you, I am

THOMAS F. SEALE, JR. ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW LIVINGSTON, ALABAMA

OFFICE PHONE 3831 RESIDENCE PHONE 3671

April 25, 1960

Mrs Alice J. Duck, Circuit Clerk Baldwin County, Alabama. Bay Minette, Ala.

Dear Mrs Duck:

Re: Godbold vs Lunsford.

I inclose herewith demurrer which I would like to file for the defendant in the above entitled cause. I will greatly appreciate it if you will acknowledge receipt of and the filing of the same for me. I would also like to know when this case is set for trial or if you do not know yet about this please give me the approximate date on which it may be set.

Thanking you and with kindest personal regards, I am

Thomas F. Seale

TFS/lts

	Alabama, Circuit Court,	Baldwin County
Baldwin C	County. No	TERM, 19 <u>60</u>
TO ANY SHERII	FF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:	
You Are Hereby C	ommanded to Summon JOHN HOLTON LUI	NSFORD
:		
		ereof, to the complaint filed in
the Circuit Court o	of Roldwin County State of Alahama at Roy Minette ago	· ·
	f Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, aga	inst
JOHN	HOLTON LUNSFORD	inst, Defendant
JOHN	HOLTON LUNSFORD	inst, Defendant
JOHN	GODBOLD	inst, Defendant
JOHN	GODBOLD day of Apr	inst, Defendant

NoPage	- Defendant lives at
The State of Alabama Baldwin County	
CIRCUIT COURT	Received In Office
	19
	I have executed this summons
Plaintiffs	
vs.	this19
	by leaving a copy with
Defendants	
Summons and Complaint	
	=
Filed19	
Clerk	
	:
	:
Plaintiff's Attorney	Sheriff
Defendant's Attorney	Deputy Sheriff