STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

Yourare hereby commanded to summon Marion Howard Purvis to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court, to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of Arnold L. Chandler, Jr.

Witness my hand, this graph dayof form, 1961.

Clerk,

ARNOLD L. CHANDLER, JR.

PLAINTIFF

PLAINTIFF

WARREON HOWARD PURVIS

DEFENDANT

DEFENDANT

August 1961.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW
NO. 4540

TO THE HONORABLE HUBERT M. HALL, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, AT LAW:

l.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant ONE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$1,200.00) as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, the 12th day of November, 1960, the automobile of the Plaintiff was being driven upon or along U. S. Highways #90 and 98, a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, at or near the point where the said highways intersect with U. S. Highway #98, at said time and place the Defendant so negligently operated his motor vehicle as to cause or allow the same to run into, upon or against the automobile of the Plaintiff. As a direct, proximate consequence and result of the negligence of the Defendant the Plaintiff's automobile was damaged as follows: the left front fender was crushed, the entire front bumper was twisted and bent, the radiator was bent and punctured, the left side of the car was scraped and scarred and the frame of the car was warped and twisted, all to the loss of the Plaintiff in the aforesaid amount.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$2,500.00) as damages for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 12th day of November, 1960, at or near a point where U. S. Highway #90 and 31 intersects with U. S. Highway #98, public highways in Baldwin County, Alabama, the Defendant so negligently operated a motor vehicle at said time and place as to cause or allow the same to run into or collide with the motor vehicle which the Plaintiff was driving and as a proximate consequence and result of the negligence of the Defendant aforesaid, the Plaintiff was injured in this, he was bruised about his body, his back was injured and he was otherwise caused to suffer great pain and anguish, he was caused to loose time from his work and to incurr medical expenses in and about the treatment of his injuries, all to his damages of aforesaid, wherefore the Plaintiff brings this suit and ask judgment in the above amount.

WILTERS, BRANTLEY & NESBIT

Defendant's address is: 16 South Fairpoint Street Chickasaw, Alabama

JAN 9 1961 ALICE J. DUCK, CLERK REGISTER

EXECUTED This day of Jan, 1961 by serving a copy of the within on RAY D. BRIDGES, Shereff By B 7 9 Law 12 D.B.

NO. 45 40

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

ARNOLD L. CHANDLER, JR.

PLAINTIFF

MARTON HOWARD PURVIS

DEFENDANT

WILTERS, BRANTLEY & NESBIT Attorneys at Law Robertsdale, Alabama

RECEIVED

JAN 10 1960

SHERIFF'S OFFICE

MCCORVEY, TURNER, JOHNSTONE, ADAMS & MAY ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NINTH FLOOR MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK BUILDING
HEMLOCK 3-5561 P 0.BOX 1070
MOBILE 6, ALABAMA

GESSNER T MCCORVEY
BEN D. TURNER
C A L JOHNSTONE, JR.
R F. ADAMS
JAMES L.MAY, JR
ALEX T HOWARD, JR
J. JEPTHA HILL
CHARLES B. BAILEY, JR.
C. M. A. ROGERS, III

October 31, 1961

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County Bay Minette, Alabama

> Re: Arnold Chandler, Jr. vs. Marion Howard Purvis

Dear Mrs. Duck:

We enclose herewith affidavit for institution of garnishment proceedings in the above and would appreciate your issuing the appropriate writ in connection therewith.

Very truly yours,

Charles B. Bailey,Jr.

CBBjr/nmt

Ine State of Alabama, Baldwin County	CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN CO	
	*	TERM, 19
To any Sheriff of the Stat	e of Alabama, Greeting:	
WHEREAS, at a regular	Term,19, of the Circuit Court of Ba	aldwin County.
	, 19, being a r	
Arnold Chandle	r, Jr.,	
	on Howard Purvix	
	titi Sameranad ji 1977 - 1 samera sama sama sama sama sama sama sama sa	
and the second s	ive Hundred and no/100 Dollars, as	
and the second s	parles B. Bailey, Jr., Attorney for Pl	
that process of garnishment is believed to	be necessary to obtain satisfaction of such Judgmen	it, and that the
following named persons or corporations,	viz:	100 mm
Mobile Roofing and Co	onstruction Co., Inc., a Corp.	\$ 8 kg
4 North Dearborn St.,	The state of the s	See and the
And the state of t		1.00 Pro
		75
	possession, or underits	
or effects belonging to said defendant	or thatit	is or
	operty, or which is payable in personal property.	i Constructi
be and appear before the honorable Jud	ge of the Circuit Court for Baldwin County, at the	Court House
	eA Monday inA	
f the garnishment, or at the time making	of the term, to answer on oath, whether at the time ng_itsanswer, or at any time intervening the	of the service
	veritwas indebted to s	
	it will not be indebted in future to sa	
	the state of the s	
or are, liable to said defendants for the	sting, and whether by a contract then existing	
ay be discharged by the delivery of perso	delivery of personal property, or for the payment of onal property, or which is payable in personal property.	money which property, and
	itspossession or underitsconf	
	on Howard Purvis	
Herein fail not, and have you then		
	Court, thislstday ofNovember,	A.D., 1961_
suedlstday ofNov		y -windows
	ATTEST:	

290

4/18	3958	
Circuit Court,	Baldwin County	
No. 454	0½	

ARNOLD CHANDLER, JR.

VS. Garnishment On Judgment

MARION HOWARD PURVIS

Earl Hamer Bryon

Issued : 1stday of Nov. 19 61

Returnable _____day of _____19____

NOV 6 1961

SITEKET'S UNLICE

Charles B. Bailey, Jr.
9th Floor Merchants National Bank Bldg.
Mobile 6, Ala.
Attorney

Printed by Moore Ptg. Co.

Answer of Garnishee

STATE OF ALABAMA COUNTY OF MOBHLE BALDWIN

BALDWIN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA

on oath, says that it was notindebted the above named Defendant at the time of the service of the writ of Garnishment in the above stated use, or at the time of makingitsanswer hereto; and thatitwill tbe indebted in the future to the said Defendant by a contract then existing, or at the time of summons; and thatithasnot initssession, or underitscontrol, personal or real property, or things in action	Arnold Chandl	.C.L., L.			,				
And now, on this day, comes Mobile Roofing & Construction Co. Trishee in the above stated cause, and for answer to the writ of Garnishment served upon it	Processing the Control of the Contro	VS. No	<u>4540 1/</u>	2					
And now, on this day, comesMobile Roofing & Construction Co, rnishee in the above stated cause, and for answer to the writ of Garnishment served upon it, on the	2474	The second secon		to a second					
And now, on this day, comesMobile Roofing & Construction Co	4 (475) 1007						**************************************		
arnishee in the above stated cause, and for answer to the writ of Garnishment served upon_it	Marion Howar	d Purvis			, Defendant		and the second		
arnishee in the above stated cause, and for answer to the writ of Garnishment served upon_it		<u>.</u>	15. ii 20. ii	#2 25					
arnishee in the above stated cause, and for answer to the writ of Garnishment served upon it	And now, on	this day, co	omes	Mobile R	Roofing & Cons	struction C	io		
arnishee in the above stated cause, and for answer to the writ of Garnishment served upon_it	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		<u> </u>						
on the		1							
the above named Defendant at the time of the service of the writ of Garnishment in the above stated use, or at the time of makingitsanswer hereto; and thatitwill be indebted in the future to the said Defendant by a contract then existing, or at the time of is summons; and thatithasnot initsssession, or underitscontrol, personal or real property, or things in action longing to the said defendant . Defendant no longer employed by us				Server 2					
indebted the above named Defendant at the time of the service of the writ of Garnishment in the above stated case, or at the time of makingitsanswer hereto; and thatitwill be indebted in the future to the said Defendant by a contract then existing, or at the time of is summons; and thatithasnot initsssession, or underitscontrol, personal or real property, or things in action longing to the said defendant . Defendant no longer employed by us	And the second s	2 2 22 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2			on the	day of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 1961
the above named Defendant at the time of the service of the writ of Garnishment in the above stated use, or at the time of makingitsanswer hereto; and thatitwill be indebted in the future to the said Defendant by a contract then existing, or at the time of is summons; and thatithasnot initsssession, or underitscontrol, personal or real property, or things in action longing to the said defendant. Defendant no longer employed by us	4 5 5	,		* "					
use, or at the time of makingitsanswer hereto; and thatitwill	All some				•		4	jai	
is summons; and thatithasnot initsssession, or underitscontrol, personal or real property, or things in action longing to the said defendant. Defendant no longer employed by us	the above named	Defendant	at the ti	me of the	service of the wi	rit of Garnish	ment in	the abo	ove state
be indebted in the future to the said Defendant by a contract then existing, or at the time of is summons; and thatithasnot inits	use, or at the time	e of makin	git	sar	ıswer hereto: and	that	it		
is summons; and thatithasnot initsssession, or underitscontrol, personal or real property, or things in action longing to the said defendant. Defendant no longer employed by us							•		
ssession, or underitscontrol, personal or real property, or things in action longing to the said defendant. Defendant no longer employed by us	De inde	ebted in the	e future t	o the said	Defendant by a c	ontract then	existing,	or at th	ne time o
ssession, or underitscontrol, personal or real property, or things in action longing to the said defendant. Defendant no longer employed by us	is summons; and	that		it		has	not i	inits	
					control, persona	u or real proj	perty, or	umgs	m action
	longing to the said	defenda	ant.		and by ne				:
	longing to the said	defenda	ant.		yed by us			700 - 200 -	:
	longing to the said	defenda	ant.		yed by us			700 - 200 -	:
	longing to the said	defenda	ant.		yed by us			700 - 200 -	:
	longing to the said	defenda	ant.		yed by us			700 - 200 -	:
	longing to the said	defenda	ant.		yed by us			700 - 200 -	:
	longing to the said	defenda	ant.		yed by us			700 - 200 -	:
	longing to the said	defenda	ant.		yed by us			700 - 200 -	:
	Def	defenda	o longe	r employ	yed by us				
And Garnishee having fully answered, prays to be discharged withreasonable	Def And, Garnishee	defendant n	o longe	r employ	yed by us				
ts in this behalf expended.	Def And, Garnishee	defendant n	o longe	r employ	yed by us				
ts in this behalf expended. Molecular Control of the discharged with reasonable for the control of the control	Def And, Garnishee	defendant n	o longe	r employ	yed by us				
And, Garmsnee having fully answered, prays to be discharged with reasonable ts in this behalf expended.	Def And, Garnishee	defendant n	o longe	r employ	yed by us				
ts in this behalf expended. Molein Good January Com J	Def And Garnishee ts in this behalf e	defendant n	ant.	r employ	to be discharge.	d with		re	asonable
Subscribed and sworn to before me, this day of November 19.61.	Def And Garnishee ts in this behalf e	defendant n	ant.	r employ	to be discharge.	d with		re	asonable
ts in this behalf expended. Molein Good January Com J	Def And Garnishee ts in this behalf e	defendant n	ant.	r employ	to be discharge.	d with		re	asonable
ts in this behalf expended. Molein Good January Com J	Def And Garnishee ts in this behalf e	having functions when to	o longe	r employ	to be discharged Aday of	d with November		re	asonable

- 1	No	D		1/2	,	: : :	
Circu	it Co	urt	, M	obil	e C	our	ıty
() ()						.:	
1.1	Arnold	_Ch	andl	er,	Ir.,		
	•				14 14 15		
	37C A		24	C	:	: .	٠.
•	VS. A	MISW	er or	Garn	isnee		
N	larion	Hoy	varc	l Pui	vis	# 13 # 14	į.
	प्र प्र		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· ·	
	i ,						
	# a : * - {	÷	1	1.07			
i i	- 1 - 1 - 1	:	-1-1	:			
	· 69	:		1			
	Ü						
iled	day	y of_		:	,	19	
	· ·	: '	;;··	· ·			
		:	.,		Cler	k	

4)

Table of process of the second of the second

State of Alabam	α]		- 12 d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d				5 (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4		7 (A) (C) (A) (C) (A) (A) (A) (A)	.; .;
BALDWIN COUNTY		CAST AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	,			A Marian Company of the Company of t				
To Marion Howard	Powizie	Section 1	Control of the second			e gode, e sego e sego es	Sand Land	- K		
10	:	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.,	- (C) - (M)		efendant	The last terms of the last ter	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
YOU ARE HEREBY	-	75 75		278 278 271 271	\$ 3	1 10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
versus Marion How								•	Plaintiff efendant	
now pending in the Circuit	Court of	Baldwir	n County	, Alabam	ia, Law	Side, i	n which.	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	**************************************	*******
Mobile Roofing and	Construc	tion Co	., Inc.	, a Corr	·				••••••	
ha been named as Garnis	shee	e,								
IN WITNESS WHE	REOF, I	have her	eunto se	t my har	nd and	affixed 1	my seal	on this t	he ls	<u>t</u>

Alice Clerk of the Circuit Court.

day of November , 19461

NOTICE

TO DEFENDANT OF GARNISHMENT

BY

CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

TO

MARION HOWARD PURVIS,

Defendant. 15 Fairport, Chichasaw, Ala.

ARNOLD CHANDLER, JR.,

Plaintiff.....

VS.

MARION HOWARD PURVIS

Defendant.....

ARNOLD CHANDLER, JR., * IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Plaintiff, * BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

-vs- * AT LAW

MARION HOWARD PURVIS, * NO. 4541

Defendant. *

GARNISHMENT

Before me the undersigned authority personally appeared Charles B. Bailey, Jr., one of the attorneys for the plaintiff in the above styled cause, who made oath in due form of law that Arnold Chandler, Jr. hath obtained judgment against Marion Howard Purvis for Three Thousand Five Hundred and No/100 (\$3,500.00) Dollars beside costs of suit before the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, and that Mobile Roofing and Construction Company, Inc., a corporation, is supposed to be indebted to or have effects of the said Marion Howard Purvis in its possession or under its control and that he believes process of garnishment is necessary against the said Mobile Roofing and Construction Company, Inc. to obtain satisfaction of said judgment.

Charles B. Bailey, Jr.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 3/st day of October, 1961.

Defendant's address: 15 Fairport Chickasaw, Alabama

Garnishee's address: Li North Dearborn Street Mobile, Alabama