

STATE OF ALABAMA:  
BALDWIN COUNTY.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT-LAW SIDE.

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:-

You are hereby commanded to summon John Hons, Jim Hovorka, Vincent Pecher, Jim Kaiser, Charles Brom, Jim Volovecky and Independent Cooperative Growers & Shippers, a Corporation, to appear within thirty days from the service of this Writ in the Circuit Court to be held for said County at the place of holding same, then and there to answer the Complaint of Martin Krchak.

Witness my hand this 9th day of January, 1952.

*J. W. Reservoir*  
Clerk.

COMPLAINT.

MARTIN KRCHAK,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

JOHN HONS, JIM HOVORKA,  
VINCENT PECHER, JIM  
KAISER, CHARLES BROM,  
JIM VOLOVECKY, and IN-  
DEPENDENT COOPERATIVE  
GROWERS & SHIPPERS, a  
Corporation,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT-LAW SIDE

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00) damages for maliciously, and without probable cause therefor, causing the Plaintiff to be arrested under a Warrant issued by J. J. Ganus, a Justice of the Peace, Baldwin County, Alabama, on to-wit, the 10th day of June, 1951, on a charge of embezzlement which charge, before the commencement of this action, has been judicially investigated and said prosecution ended and the Plaintiff discharged.

*Hybart, Heard & Russ*  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Plaintiff demands trial by  
Jury.

*Hybart, Heard & Russ*  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

MARTIN KRCHAK,

Plaintiff

vs.

JOHN HONS, JIM HOVORKA,  
VINCENT PECHLER, JIM  
KAISER, CHARLES BROM,  
JIM VOLOVECKY and IN-  
DEPENDENT CO-OPERATIVE  
GROWERS & SHIPPERS, a  
Corporation,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF  
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

AT LAW.

DEFENDANTS

Now comes the defendants, each separately and severally, and file the following pleas, each separately and severally to the complaint in this cause, which said please are as follows:

1. Not guilty.

2. For further plea, defendant says that prior to the swearing out of said warrant that he made a full statement of facts in the case to an attorney-at-law, who was then and there licensed to practice in the County of Baldwin, and in the State of Alabama, and who was then and there a practicing attorney, and that after making such full statement to said attorney, the attorney advised him that the plaintiff was guilty of embezzlement and further advised him to have a warrant issued for the arrest of said plaintiff, charging him with embezzlement; and defendant further says that acting upon the advice of said attorney-at-law, and acting in good faith, the warrant was issued, wherefore, this defendant says that he is not liable for the matters complained of in law.

*Garvey Edington Beigh*  
ATTORNEYS FOR EACH OF THE ABOVE  
NAMED DEFENDANTS

RECORDED

*[Handwritten notes]*

*[Handwritten notes]*

*[Handwritten notes]*

*[Handwritten notes]*

matters complained of in law.

wherefore, this defendant says that he is not liable for the

attorney-at-law, and seeing in good faith, the warrant was issued,

and defendant further says that seeing upon the advice of said

for the arrest of said plaintiff, charging him with embezzlement;

of embezzlement and further advised him to have a warrant issued

attorney, the attorney advised him that the plaintiff was guilty

formed, and that after making such full statement to said

State of Alabama, and who was then and there a practicing at-

there licensed to practice in the County of Baldwin, and in the

of facts in the case to an attorney-at-law, who was then and

the arresting of said warrant just he made a full statement

for further dis- defendant says that prior to

Not guilty.

each separately and severally

each separately and severally

each separately and severally

London England

Original  
RECORDED

Walter K. ...

John ...

...

Filed Feb 6 1932

...

...

...

...

...

DEFENDANTS

GEORGE S. SHIBERS, &  
DEPENDENT CO-OPERATIVE  
LIM VOI LOEOKY and IN-  
KAZIER, CHARLES BROM,  
VINCENT BECHER, JIM  
JOHN HOMS, JIM HOAOKY,

vs.

Plaintiff

MARLIM KROCHER,

NAMED DEFENDANTS

ATTORNEYS FOR EACH OF THE ABOVE

...

AT LAW.

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Martin Krchak,  
Plaintiff,

Vs.

John Hons, Jim Hovoraka,  
Vincent Pecher, Jim Kaiser,  
Charles Brom, Jim Volovecky,  
and Independ Co-operative Growers  
& Shippers, a Corporation,  
Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF  
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

AT LAW.

Orvis M. Brown appears for the defendant, Corporation, in  
the above styled cause and reserves the right to demur or  
plead specially.



Attorney for Defendants.

RECORDED

*Duck*

7. 437

*Filed Feb. 17, 1936*

*Robert A. Duck,*

*Clerk.*

MARTIN KROCHAK,  
Plaintiff

vs.

JOHN HONS, JIM HOVORKA,  
VINCENT PECHER, JIM  
KAISER, CHARLES BROM,  
JIM VOLOVECKY AND IN-  
DEPENDENT CO-OPERATIVE  
GROWERS & SHIPPERS, a  
Corporation,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN  
COUNTY, ALABAMA.

AT LAW.

Now comes the Plaintiff and files the following  
demur to plea number 2, and assigns as grounds therefor:

1. That said plea is a mere conclusion of the pleader.
2. That said plea presents no defense to this cause of action.
3. That said plea fails to state that a full statement of all of the facts in the case was made to an attorney at law.
4. That said plea fails to state that a true and fair statement of all of the facts in the case were made to an attorney at law.
5. Said plea fails to state that a full and true statement of all of the facts in the case were made to an attorney at law.
6. That said plea fails to state that a true statement of facts in the case were made to an attorney at law.
7. That said plea fails to state that a fair statement of the facts in the case were made to an attorney at law.
8. That said plea fails to state that defendants caused said warrant to issue.

9. That said plea fails to state that the defendants honestly sought the advice of said attorney at law.

10. That said plea fails to state that said defendants acted honestly upon the advice of said attorney at law.

11. That said plea fails to aver that defendants used reasonable diligence to ascertain all of the facts in the case, and that they fully and fairly advised said attorney at law as to all of the facts in the case that they knew or could have ascertained by reasonable diligence.

*Hyatt, Head & Shaver*  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Dimmons

Filed Feb 25/1932  
J. M. Riccio  
Clerk