R. E. STACEY,	)
Plaintiff, VS.	) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CON- TRACTING COMPANY, a cor- poration,	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA  NO. 4141
Defondant	1

Now comes the defendant and demurs to the complaint as last amended (the amended complaint filed on August 16, 1960) and as grounds of such demurrer assigns, separately and severally, the following:

- 1. It does not state a cause of action.
- 2. No facts are alleged on which the relief sought can be granted.
- 3. No facts are alleged to show that the alleged covenant has been breached by the defendant.
- 4. No facts are alleged to show that the alleged leaks were due to any defect in workmanship or material in the application of the roof by the defendant on the plaintiff's building.
- 5. It does not allege any facts to show the date of the alleged breach of covenant by the defendant.
- 6. It does not allege any facts to show when the alleged leakage occurred.
- 7. It does not allege any facts to show how the defendant breached the alleged covenant.
- 8. No facts are alleged to show that the plaintiff's damages were caused by any breach of the alleged covenant by the defendant.
- 9. The allegations of the amended complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
- 10. The allegations of the amended complaint are conclusions of the pleader.
- ll. No facts are alleged to describe the defects in materials.

12. No facts are alleged to describe the defects in workmanship.

13. The allegations of the amended complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain in that no facts are alleged to show the alleged defects in workmanship and materials.

EM LED

OG 18 CLERK
REGISTER

HOWELL, JOHNSTON AND LANGFORD

Attorneys for Defendant

R. E. STACEY,

Plaintiff,

IS.

INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACTING COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 4141



R. E	. STACEY,	)		
VS.	Plaintiff,	)	IN THE CIRCU	JIT COURT OF
		)	BALDWIN COUR	NTY, ALABAMA
INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACTING COMPANY, a corporation,		)	AT LAW	NO. 4141
		)		
	Defendant.	)		

Now comes the defendant and demurs to the amended complaint heretofore filed in this cause and as grounds of such demurrer assigns, separately and severally, the following:

- 1. It does not state a cause of action.
- 2. No facts are alleged on which the relief sought can be granted.
- 3. No facts are alleged to show that the alleged covenant has been breached by the defendant.
- 4. No facts are alleged to show that the alleged leaks were due to any defect in workmanship or material in the application of the roof by the defendant on the plaintiff's building.
- 5. It does not allege any facts to show the date of the alleged breach of covenant by the defendant.
- 6. It does not allege any facts to show when the alleged leakage occurred.
- 7. It does not allege any facts to show how the defendant breached the alleged covenant.
- 8. No facts are alleged to show that the plaintiff's damages were caused by any breach of the alleged covenant by the defendant.
- 9. The allegations of the amended complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
- 10. The allegations of the amended complaint are conclusions of the pleader.  $\bigcirc$

(YOWELL, JOHNSTON AND LANGFORD

73. Blankle

Attorneys for Defendant

FIRS 8-12-60

R. E. STACEY,

Plaintiff,

INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACT-ING COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

NO. 4141

Filed 8-12-

Judge

R. E. Stacey,

PLAINTIFF,

V

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW, NO. 4141

Independent Roofing & Contracting Company, a corporation,

DEFENDANT.

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled action, and amends his amended complaint heretofore filed so that same shall read as follows:

#### COMPLAINT

Plaintiff claims of the defendant One Thousand Five Hundred and No/100 (\$1,500.00) Dollars for the breach of a covenant entered into by it on the 15th day of October, 1956, a copy of said covenant being attached hereto, marked "Exhibit A", and made a part hereof by reference as though fully set forth herein; said breach of said covenant being in substance this: that defendant promised to repair any leakage occuring in the roof of a certain two story building (on the Northeast corner of Block Thirty-one in the City of Foley, Alabama, being commonly known as the Gate Way Hotel, and being owned by the plaintiff) due to defects in workmanship or materials of the defendant in application of roofing on said build ing: that in May , 1959 leakage did occur in the roof of said two story building on the Northeast corner of Block Thirtyone in the City of Foley, Alabama, being commonly known as the Gate Way Hotel, and being owned by the plaintiff, due to defects in workmanship or materials of the defendant in application of roofing on said building; that the defendant, after notice, wholly failed or refused to repair or correct the leakage occuring in the roof of said two-story building on the Northeast corner of Block Thirty-one in the City of Foley, Alabama, and being owned by the plaintiff; that plaintiff was forced to repair and correct said leakage at his own expense, all to his damage, and in breach of said covenant, hence this suit.

FILED

AUG 16 -60

AME I WUX, RESTER

Attorney for Plaintiff

216

R. E. STACEY,

PLAINTIFF,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS.

INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACTING )

COMPANY, a corporation,

DEFENDANT.

#### COMPLAINT

The plaintiff claims from the defendant One Thousand Five Hundred and No/100 (\$1,500.00) Dollars for the breach of a covenant entered into by it on the 15th day of October, 1956, by which the defendant promises to repair or correct any leakage occurring in the roof of a certain two-story brick-wood frame structure without cost to the plaintiff for a period of ten (10) years from the date of the covenant; and plaintiff avers that leakage due to defects in workmanship or materials in application of the roof on said building did occur, that notice of same was given to defendant but that defendant failed or refused to repair or correct the leakage; that plaintiff was forced at his own expense to repair and correct the leakage in said roof on said building all to his damage and in breach of this covenant, hence that suit.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Defendant may be served at its office; 955 Springhill Avenue, Mobile, Alabama.



The State of Alabama,	Circuit Court, Baldwin County
Baldwin County.	NoTERM, 19
TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE	STATE OF ALABAMA
You Are Commanded to Summon	INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACTING COMPANY, a
corporation	
to appear and plead, answer or den	nur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in
the Circuit Court of Baldwin Coun	ty, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against
ROOFING & CONTRACTING	COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant
by R. E. STACEY	
	Plaintiff
Witness my hand this	day of JANUARY 19 60
E4/-1-7/-62	alicate Unck, Clerk

00943 No. 4141	265
STATE of ALA Baldwin Cour	+ i
CIRCUIT C	OURT
R. E. STACEY	
	Plaintiffs
INDEPENDENT ROOFIN	G &
CONTRACTING COMPAN	Y, a Defendants
Summons and Commons and Common J. J. Spanda	complaint
Filed Filed JAN 18 1	19 Clerk
ALICE 1. DUCK, RE	Haleb Br

	Received In O	ffice
Ja.	n 20 Ly D. Brie	1960 Ige Sherift
,	I have executed this s	umons
	•	
	an 21	19 <i>6</i>
	ng a copy with	
m	4-2. I. Spai	ilding /S
		Mage
		00
	40	
		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
4.00		No
		e de la companya de l
		:
		1 1
	-	:.
-		
-	- Live	
R	ry D. Bride	ne
	ba acc	Sheriff
	D	eputy Sheriff

Defendant lives at

JAMES A. BRICE

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant's Attorney

R. E. STACEY,	)	IN THE	CIRCUIT	COUI	RT OF
Plaintiff,	)	BALDWI	IN COUNTY	. AL <i>A</i>	ABAMA
INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACT ING COMPANY, a corporation,	'-)	AT LAV	I •	NO.	4141
Defendant.	) }				

#### DEMURRER:

Now comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and for demurrer to the complaint, assigns separately and severally, the following:

- 1. It does not state a cause of action.
- 2. No facts are alleged to show where the building referred to in the complaint is located.
- 3. The covenant referred to in the complaint is not set out in it.
- 4. The substance of the alleged covenant is not set out in the complaint.
- 5. The allegations of the complaint are vague, indefinite and uncertain.
- 6. The allegations of the complaint are conclusions of the pleader.
- 7. No facts are alleged to show if the alleged covenant was oral or written.
- 8. No facts are alleged to show that there was any consideration for the alleged covenant.
- 9. No facts are alleged to show plaintiff's right to maintain this action in Baldwin County, Alabama.
- 10. No facts are alleged to show when the alleged breach of covenant occurred.

(HOWELL, JOHNSTON AND LANGFORD

1. TS. Blackbown

By: 7- 0. / fourth

Attorneys for Defendant.

Defendant demands a trial of said cause by a Jury.

HOWELL, JOHNSTON AND LANGFORD

By: 7- 0. / famel

Attorneys for Defendant.

FILED FED 1 1960

ALICE J. DUCK, CLERK

4141

## DEMURRER

R. E. STACEY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACTING COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA NO. 4141. AT LAW.



## INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACTING COMPANY

MOBILE, ALABAMA

# Zuarantee

# of Workmanship and Material in Application of Roofing

OVNER:

LOCATION:

NO OF SQUARES AND TYPE OF ROOF: AND

DATE OF COMPLETION

TYE OF BUILDING:

We hereby agree that will be period of this Guarantee which espires

only due to any detect in work assistip or material is the application of roof on the above building, case will be repaired or corrected with the remarks with the repaired or corrected.

Nothing herein shall be construed to impose any liability upon this company for decrease with the

\$d\$\$\$ 《 图题**》**(1975年) 2012年 日本

Nothing herein shall be construed to impose any liability upon this company for damage which may for any reason be caused to solibuilding, or the contents to each other than the liability of repairing or correcting any defects in the application or material of the pol.

This Guarantee shall not be reastrued to cover damage caused by isospect, hall, fire, or other exprordingly means or causes

This Guarantee is person of the present owner of the herein described building, and is not assignable to any future owner the four but shall cease to be in force and effect in the event of transfer of ownership unless renewed at the time of such transfer by

вножнуважит воотню ало сондрастию со

S/Lene J

Maller

E > 2

R. E. STACEY,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

INDEPENDENT ROOFING & CONTRACTING COMPANY, a corporation,

DEFENDANT.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW, NO. 4141

#### AMENDMENT

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled action, and amends his complaint heretofore filed so that same shall read as follows:

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff claims of the defendant One Thousand Rive Hundred and No/100 (\$1,500.00) Dollars for the breach of a covenant entered into by it on the 15th day of October, 1956, a copy of said covenan being attached hereto, marked "Exhibit A", and made a part hereof by reference as though fully set forth herein; said breach of said covenant being in substance this: that defendant promised to repair any leakage occuring in the roof of a certain two-story building (on the Northeast corner of Block Thirty-one in the City of Foley, Alabama, being commonly known as the Gate Way Hotel, and being owned by the plaintiff) due to defects in workmanship or materials of the defendant in application of roofing on said building; that such leakage did in fact occur; that defendant was given notice of such, but wholly failed to repair or correct the leakage; that plaintiff was forced to repair and correct said leakage at his own expense, all to his damage, and on breach of said covenant, hence this suit.

JUN 21 1960 JUN 21 1960 AUGE I. DUCK, CLERK REGISTER