TRINITY UNIVERSAL

INSUPANCE COMPANY

-vs-

Plaintiff,

*

*

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

CASE NO

Defendant.

TO: Honorable James A. Brice Attorney At Law Foley, Alabama

Please take notice that at 2100 P. M. on the 24th day of July, 1959, at the office of Louise Dusenbury, Court House, Bay Minette, Alabama, the defendant Trinity Universal Insurance Company will take the deposition of Louis DiChiara whose address is Gulf Shores, Alabama, upon oral examination pursuant to an Act of the Legislature of the State of Alabama, designated as Act.No. 375, Regular Session, 1955, approved September 8, 1955, before Louise Dusenbury, an officer authorized to administer oath in the County of Baldwin, State of Alabama, and an official Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, duly authorized to take depositions and swear witnesses in said County in said State. The oral examination will continue from day to day until completed and you are invited to attend and cross-examine.

FOREMAN & BROWN

LOUIS DI CHIARA	*	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	*	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
-vs-	*	AT LAW
TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSURANCE COMPANY	-35-	CASE NO.
Defendant.	*	

I, Alexander Foreman, Jr., one of the attorneys for the defendant in the above styled cause, do hereby certify that I served the attached and foregoing notice to take the deposition upon oral examination of Louis DiChiara by mailing the same to James A. Brice, Foley, Alabama, attorney of record for the plaintiff in said cause on this lóth day of July, 1959.

Alexander Foreman, Jr.

* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

Plaintiff

* OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

- vs-

* AT LAW

TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSURANCE

COMPA NY

*

Defendant

NOTICE OF FILING OF DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF LOUIS DI CHIARA

TO: Honorable James A. Brice . Attorney at Law Foley, Alabama

You are hereby notified that the deposition taken upon oral examination of Louis DiChiara on July 24, 1959, in the above styled cause was filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, on August 11, 1959.

FOREMAN & BROWN

BY Slegandre Formand.

STATE OF ALABAMA:

COUNTY OF MOBILE:

I, Alexander Foreman, Jr., one of the attorneys of record for the defendant in the above styled cause do hereby certify that I have this day mailed a copy of the foregoing notice to Honorable James A. Brice, attorney of record for the plaintiff on this 20th day of August, 1959.

Jeefander Freman

FILED AUG 1-2-3-7

VILLE TO MINE WEGGENERS

FOREMAN & BROWN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SUITE 214 FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX

MOBILE 13, ALABAMA

ALEXANDER FOREMAN, JR. ALTON R. BROWN, JR.

July 16, 1959

Hon. Alice J. Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County County Courthouse Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Louis DiChiara vs.

Trinity Universal Insurance

Company

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Enclosed herewith you will please find the notice of the taking of the deposition of Louis DiChiara which notice we shall appreciate your causing to be filed in said cause.

Please issue a subpoena to Louis DiChiara at Gulf Shores, Alabama, requiring him to be present at the office of Louise Dusenbury at 2:00 P.M. on July 24, 1959, in accordance with the notice.

Yours very truly,

FOREMAN & BROWN

Alexander Foreman, Jr.

AFJr/bd Enclosure

issued 7. (8. B.

Plaintiff,

* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

-vs-

* AT LAW

TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSURANCE COMPANY

* CASE NO.___

*

Defendant.

TO: Honorable James A. Brice Attorney At Law Foley, Alabama

Please take notice that at 2:00 P. M. on the 24th day of July, 1959, at the office of Louise Dusenbury, Court House, Bay Minette, Alabama, the defendant Trinity Universal Insurance Company will take the deposition of Louis DiChiara whose address is Gulf Shores, Alabama, upon oral examination pursuant to an Act of the Legislature of the State of Alabama, designated as Act.No. 375, Regular Session, 1955, approved September 8, 1955, before Louise Dusenbury, an officer authorized to administer oath in the County of Baldwin, State of Alabama, and an official Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, duly authorized to take depositions and swear witnesses in said County in said State. The oral examination will continue from day to day until completed and you are invited to attend and cross-examine.

FOREMAN & BROWN

FILE J. DUCK, Clerk

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

By flefander Duma Attorneys for Defendant

LOUIS DI CHIARA		THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	* BAI	LDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
-vs-	* AT	LAW
TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSURANCE COMPANY	* CAS	SE NO.
	*	
Defendant.		

I, Alexander Foreman, Jr., one of the attorneys for the defendant in the above styled cause, do hereby certify that I served the attached and foregoing notice to take the deposition upon oral examination of Louis DiChiara by mailing the same to James A. Brice, Foley, Alabama, attorney of record for the plaintiff in said cause on this 16th day of July, 1959.

Alexander Foreman, Jr.

JUL 18 1959

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

JAMES A. BRICE ATTORNEY AT LAW FOLEY, ALABAMA

Post Office Box 298

WHITEHALL 3-3601

June 4, 1959

Mrs. Alice J. Duck Circuit Clerk Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

I enclose in quadruplicate summons and complaint on behalf of Louis DiChiara against Trinity Universal Insurance Company, a foreign corporation.

Defendant has no registered agent for service of process so I will appreciate your serving the Superintendant of Insurance for the State of Alabama.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

tbh

FOREMAN & BROWN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SUITE 214 FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX

MOBILE 13, ALABAMA

ALEXANDER FOREMAN, JR. ALTON R. BROWN, JR. KEENER T. BLACKMARR

December 17, 1959

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County Bay Minette, Alabama

> Re: Louis DiChiara vs. Trinity Universal Insurance Company

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Enclosed herewith you will please find a demurrer which we shall appreciate your causing to be filed in the above referred to case.

Your courtesy in this regard will be appreciated.

Yours very truly,

FOREMAN & McNALLY

AFJr/bd Enclosure

LOUIS DI CHIARA,)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
PLAINT	TIFF)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.)	AT LAW.
TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSUR	ANCE)	
DEFEND	ANT.	

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and amends special replication two (2) heretofore filed to the plea in Abatement filed by said defendant, as follows:

(2)

That J. E. Davidson represented himself to the Plaintiff as being the duly authorized agent of said Defendant.

That the said J. E. Davidson on or about ten (10) days after the fire which destroyed Plaintiff's building and contents on December 3, 1958, obtained a list of merchandise and articles destroyed in said fire from the said Plaintiff.

That the said J. E. Davidson told the Plaintiff that it was not necessary that the Plaintiff submit a formal proof of loss and made the same statement to George S. Salley, a disinterested party.

That in reliance upon the statement by the said J. E.

Davidson that no formal proof of loss was necessary to be submitted to the Defendant, the Plaintiff took no further action.

That the defendant, by its agent, the said J. E. Davidson has received proof of loss within the time provided for in that policy of insurance carried by the said defendant.

That the defendant is estopped to deny that it has received no proof of loss.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

I hereby certify that a copy of this pleading has been sent Alexander Foreman, Jr., attorney for Defendant

Filed 12-15-59

1.50

thank take

SUMMONS

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA, GREETINGS:

You are hereby commanded to summons the Trinity Universal Insurance Company to appear before the Circuit Court, to be held for said county at the place of holding same, within thirty (30) days from service of this process, then and there to answer the complaint of Mr. Louis Di Chiara.

Witness my hand this <u>\$</u> day of

Enf 6-9-59

COMPLAINT

Louis DiChiara, Plaintiff VS. Trinity Universal) Insurance Company, Defendant)

The Plaintiff claims of the defendant Six Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$6,500.00), the value of the contents, including stock and fixtures of the one-story, concrete block, aping stock and fixtures of the one-story, concrete block, approved roof building, occupied as a grocery, located at 217 Gulf Boulevard, w/s, Gulf Shores, Baldwin County, Alabama, also the value of the household furniture located in apartment connected to and in the rear of the above described building, which the defendant on the 12th day of November 1958, insured against loss or injury by fire or other perils in the policy of insurance mentioned, for the term of one year, which building with contents was wholly destroyed by fire on the 3rd day of December 1958, of which the defendant has had notice. which the defendant has had notice.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JUN 9 1959 M. S. BUTLER, Sheriff

Louis Di Chiara.
Plaintiff.

Trinity Universal Insurance Defendant

OWNER

EXECUTED BY SERVING A COPY OF THE WITHIN

This the I - day of Jane 1952 M. S. BUTLER
Sheriff Montgomery County

Deputy Sheriff

6-19

The Sheriff claims 2 miles at 10c per mile for a total 01\$ _____20

M. S. Butler, Sheriff Montgomery County, Ala.

LOUIS DICHIAPA

Plaintiff,

-VS-

TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSUBANCE COMPANY

Defendant.

* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

* AT LAW

* CASE NO.____

·.

÷

Comes now the defendant in the above styled cause and, appearing specially and only for the purpose of filing the following separate and several pleas in abatement to the complaint and each count thereof and files the following separate and several pleas:

PLEA ONE

This cause should abate and be dismissed out of this Honorable Court, for that, in the policy of insurance sued on in this cause it is covenanted and agreed that:

"That within sixty days after the loss, unless such time is extended in writing by this Company, the insured shall render to this Company a proof of loss, signed and sworn to by the insured, stating the knowledge and belief of the insured as to the following: the time and origin of the loss, the interest of the insured and of all others in the property, the actual cash value of each item thereof and the amount of loss thereto, all encumbrances thereon, all other contracts of insurance, whether valid or not, covering any of said property, any changes in the title, use, occupation, location, possession or exposures of said property since the issuing of this policy, by whom and for what purpose any building herein described and the several parts thereof were occupied at the time of loss and whether or not it then stood on leased ground, and shall furnish a copy of all the descriptions and schedules in all policies; that the amount of loss for which this Company may be liable shall be payable sixty days after proof of loss, as herein provided, is received by this company; and that no suit or action on this policy for the recovery of any claim shall be sustainable in any court of law or equity unless all the requirements of this policy shall have been complied with, and unless commenced within twelve months next after inception of the loss."

And the defendant further alleges that the plaintiff did not within sixty days after the loss alleged in the complaint, render to the defendant company a proof of loss signed and sworn to by the assured stating the time and origin of the loss, the interest of the insured and of all others in the property, the actual cash value of each item thereof and the amount of loss thereto, all encumbrances thereon, all other contracts of insurance, whether valid or not, covering any of said property, any changes in the title, use, occupation, location, possession or exposures of said property since the issuing of this policy, by whom and for what purpose any building herein described and the several parts thereof were occupied at the time of loss and whether or not it then stood on leased ground, and did not furnish a copy of all the descriptions and schedules in all policies.

FOREMAN & MCNALLY

By: Sleyandu Dunon Attorneys for the Defendant

STATE OF ALABAMA: COUNTY OF MOBILE:

Alexander Foreman, Jr.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1859.

Notary Public, State of Alabama, At Large

Filed 6-15-59

Plaintiff

-VS-

TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSURANCE COMPANY -

Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

Comes the Plaintiff and for replication to each plea of the Defendant says:

1. That it joins issue thereon.

And for special replication Plaintiff states as follows:

- 2. That the Defendant was duly notified by its agent,
- J. E. Davidson, of said loss; and that by the conduct of the Defendant since being notified, the Defendant is estopped to deny that it has received no proof of loss.

James A. Brice

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff demands trial by jury

Of Counsel

FILED

AUG 1 1950

THE T DANK STEERS

Plaintiff,

-vs-

TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSUPANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

OF BALDWIN COUNTY, *

ALABAMA ×

AT LAW *

-X-NO.

Comes now the defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the separate and several replication 2 filed by the plaintiff to the special plea filed by the defendant in said cause and for grounds therefor, assigns the following, separately and severally:

- 1. That said replication is no answer to said plea.
- 2. Said replication neither confesses nor avoids the matters and things set up in said plea.
 - 3. Said replication does not traverse said plea.
- 4. Said replication confesses but does not avoid the matters and things set up in said plea.
- 5. That said replication does not answer each and every matter alleged in said plea.
 - 6. Said replication is a departure from the complaint.
- 7. That said replication neither traverses nor confesses and avoids the matter pleaded nor presents matter of estoppel.
- 8. That said replication contains conclusions of the pleader with the averment of sufficient facts upon which to base such conclusion.
- 9. That the averment in said replication "that by the conduct of the Defendant since being notified, the Defendant is estopped to deny that it has received no proof of loss" is a conclusion of the pleader without the averment of sufficient facts upon which to base such conclusion.

FOREMAN & BROWN

By: Attorney for the Defendant

AUG 7 1959 ALICE I DUCK, CLERK REGISTER

Plaintiff,

-VS-

TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

* OF BALDWIN COUNTY

-14-ALABAMA

* AT LAW

NO.

Comes now the defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the separate and several replication 2, as last amended, and filed by the plaintiff to the special plea filed by the defendant in said cause, and for grounds therefor, assigns the following, separately and severally:

- 1. That said replication is no answer to said plea.
- 2. Said replication neither confesses nor avoids the matters and things set up in said plea.
 - 3. Said replication does not traverse said plea.
- 4. Said replication confesses but does not avoid the matters and things set up in said plea.
- 5. That said replication does not answer each and every matter alleged in said plea.
 - 6. Said replication is a departure from the complaint.
- 7. That said replication neither traverses nor confesses and avoids the matter pleaded norpresents matter of estoppel.
- 8. That said replication contains conclusions of the pleader with the averment of sufficient facts upon which to base such conclusion.
- 9. For aught appearing J. E. Davidson was not acting within the line and scope of his employment as an agent, servant or employee of the defendant in representing himself as being a duly authorized agent of said defendant.
- 10. For aught appearing said J. E. Davidson was not acting within the line and scope of his employment as an agent, servant or employee of the defendant in obtaining a list of merchandise and articles destroyed in said fire from the said plaintiff.
- 11. For aught appearing said J. E. Davidson was not acting within the line and scope of his employment as an agent, servant or employee of the defendant in telling the plaintiff that it

was not necessary that the plaintiff submit a formal proof of loss.

- 12. For aught appearing the said J. E. Davidson was not an agent, servant or employee of the defendant and was not acting within the line and scope of his employment as an agent, servant or employee of the defendant at the time and on the instances referred to in said plea.
 - 13. For that said plea was not sworn to.

FOREMAN & BROWN

By Attorney for the Defendant

I hereby certify that a copy of this pleading has been sent James A. Brice and Richard C. Lacey, attorneys for the Plaintiff.

DEC 18 2000 AUGE J. DUM, REGISTER

leleyou fremon