## LYONS, PIPES AND COOK

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

516-519 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

JOSEPH H. LYONS SAM W. PIPES, III WALTER M. COOK

P. O. BOX 265

July 24th, 1957.

Mrs Alice Duck, Clerk,

Circuit Court, Baldwin County,

Bay Minette, Alabama.



Dear Mrs Duck: -

Enclosed herewith please find complaint which we ask that you file in the case of S. H. Spann, as Receiver of James Talcott, Inc, vs. Mitchell and Nall, Inc.

We do not wish a trial by jury.

Thanking you,

Yours very truly,

Lyons, Pipes and Cook.

Sam W. Pipes 141,

ÈWCL.

CC.

Messrs Fisher and Hepner, Pensacola, Fla. S. H. SPANN, as Receiver for the use and benefit of

JAMES TALCOTT, INC., a corporation, under and by virtue of Chancery Decree dated March 8, 1956, of the Escambia County Circuit Court, Florida,

PLAINTIFF

MITCHELL AND NALL, INC., a corporation,

DEFENDANT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,

NO.

Comes the defendant in the above styled cause and for answer to plaintiff's complaint and each count thereof separately and severally says:

- 1. The matters therein alleged are untrue.
- 2. That the debt sued on has been paid.

BEEBE & SWEARINGEN

Filed 6-30-59

Attorneys for defendan

S H. SPANN, as Receiver for the use and benefit of	)	
TOT CIAC GOO GIAC DOLLARS TO		IN_THE_CIRCUIT_COURT
JAMES TALCOTT, INC., a Corporation,	)	o <del>f</del>
under and by virtue of Chancery Decree dated March 8, 1956, of the Escambia	(	<u>of</u>
County Circuit Court, Florida,	`	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALA.
•	)	AM TATA MO
PLAINTIFF.	)	AT LAW. NO
	,	
MITCHELL AND NALL, INC., A Corporation,	(	
DEFENDANT.	)	
DEEENDANT.	,	

## COUNT ONE.

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Two thousand seven hundred and seventy-five and 80/100 (\$2775.80) Dollars, due from it by account between the defendant and Hugh Gilmore Refrigeration Service, Inc., a Corporation, on, to-wit. the 30th day of November, 1954, which sum of money, with interest thereon, is still unpaid and is the property of the plaintiff.

## COUNT TWO

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Two thousand seven hundred and seventyfive and 80/100 (\$2775.80) Dollars, due from it on account stated between the defendant and Hugh Gilmore Refrigeration Service, Inc., a Corporation, on, to-wit, the 30th day of November, 1954, which sum of money, with interest thereon, is still unpaid and is the property of the plaintiff.

## COUNT THREE.

The plaintiff claims of the defendant Two Thousand seven hundred and seventy-five and 80/100 (\$2775.80) Dollars, due from it for merchandise, goods and chattels sold by Hugh Gilmore Refrigeration Service, Inc., a Corporation, to the defendant on, to-wit, the 30th day of November, 1954, which sum of money, with interest thereon,

is still unpaid and is the property of the plaintiff.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF, 517 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. MOBILE, ALABAMA.

Defendant' address: Bay Minette, Ala.

COUNSEL

----TERM, 19---

The	State	of Alabama,
Poldmin Country		

Circuit Court, Baldwin County

No: 3294

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon Mitchell & Nall Inc., A Corporation

to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against

Mitchell & Nall, Inc. A Corporation

Defendant

by S. H. Spann, as Receiver for the use of James Talcott, A Corp, under and by virtue of Chancery Decree dated March 8, 1956 of the Escambia Circuit Court, Florida

Witness my hand this 25th day of July 1957

S. H. SPANN, as Receiver IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF for the use and benefit of

JAMES TALCOTT, INC., a corporation, under and by virtue of Chancery Decree dated March 8, 1956, of the Escambia County Circuit Court, BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, Florida,

PLAINTIFF

VS

MITCHELL AND NALL, INC., a corporation,

DEFENDANT

Comes the defendant in the above styled cause and demurring to plaintiff's complaint and each count thereof separately and severally says:

- 1. That the said complaint does not state a cause of action.
- 2. That the said complaint does not allege sufficient facts to apprise the defendant of what it is called upon to answer.
  - 3. That the said complaint is vague and indefinite.

Filed 8-21-57

Beebe & Swearingen

Attorneys for defendant