	State of Alaba BALDWIN COUNT	TY	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Total Control		Defendant	CONTRA TUTEVEY CONTRA CONTR	ME ON CVENICHERS	
The desired of the Control of the Co	YOU ARE HEREE	BY NOTIFIEL) that a W	Vrit of Gari	i e			200-1 200-1 200-1	1
JŅĪVĒI	RSAL C. I. T. (1 20	. ** . **. . *	And the second s	, Plaintiff	
versus	ROBERT B. CO	OMALANDER		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	: 5		,	ੁ Defendant	,
מאמי ד	pending in the Circu	uit Court of	Baldwin (County, Ala	bama. La	w Side in	which		
F	ELMER G. ANI) 				***************************************		
ha S	been named as Gar		ave hereu	nto set mv	hand and	l affixed my	seal on th	is the	
day o					A.				Notes

Clerk of the Circuit Court.

	State of Alabama					:	:	:		25%					:-	
	BALDWIN COUNTY					:			¥	The second secon				30 V E		
TO	ROBERT B. COMALANI	ER	÷ .	Marine State Barra				Defe	ु mdan	2 2 4		Section (1)	Section 1			
TTATT (FU	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTI						ment	mas i	been	issued	in	the	case	of		
versu	RSAL C.I.T. CREDIT CORPOR ROBERT B. CO			CORPO				•		30-15 30-15	L.,	·····•		aintiff ≥ndant	į	, ,
now	pending in the Circuit Court			n Cou	nty, A	laban	na, L	aw Si	ide, i	in whi	ch	*******	••••••	***********	••••••	•
******	EIMER G.	A NDER	SON			•••••			•••••			.,		••••••		
ha	been named as Garnishee	****						·	and the second	Name of the last o		·			, v	D

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal on this the 29

Clerk of the Circuit Court.

E. G. RICKARBY

BANK BUILDING

FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA April 19, 1957

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk of Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Universal C.I.T. Robert B. Comalander Inre: Our File: 3577

No. 29352

You have a garnishment proceeding in Universal C.I.T. you have a garnishment proceeding in Universal C.I.T. you have a garnishment proceeding in Universal C.I.T. you have a garnishment proceeding in Universal C.I.T.

Please recall papers from the sheriff as Mr. Anderson, Trease recall papers from the sherfit as Fire Afficerson, the garnishee, saw me and told me where I could locate the garnishee, saw me and longer in his amount Mr. Comalander, who was no longer in his employ.

EGR/ts 14-30-57

TELEP

HONE WA 8-9836

LAW OFFICES

E. G. RICKARBY

P. O. BOX 71

BANK BUILDING FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA April 19, 1957

Mrs. Alice Duck Clerk of Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama No. 2935-2

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Inre: Universal C.I.T.

Vs: Robert B. Comalander

Our File: 3577

You have a garnishment proceeding in Universal C.I.T. vs. Robert B. Comalander, and Elmer Anderson, garnishee.

Please recall papers from the sheriff as Mr. Anderson, the garnishee, saw me and told me where I could locate Mr. Comalander, who was no longer in his employ.

Yours very truly,

EGR/ts L-30-57 all works

UNIVERSAL C. I. T. CREDIT CORP., A Corporation

PLAINTIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS

ROBERT B. COMALANDER

DEFENDANT

Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared E. G. RICKARBY, as Attorney for the Plaintiff, who being duly sworn, deposes and says that UNIVERSAL C. I. T. CREDIT CORPORATION, A Corporation, on the 21st day of August, 1956 recovered a judgment against ROBERT B. COMALANDER for the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE DOLLARS AND NINTY FIVE CENTS (\$585.95, and costs of suit; and that he believes the process of garnishmentagainst ELMER G. ANDERSON is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said judgment, and that the said ELMER ANDERSON is supposed to be indebted to or have the effects of said defendant in its possession or under its control.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27 day of

1956•

Baldwin County, Alabama

UNIVERSAL C. I. T. CREDIT CORP., A Corporation) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
PLAINTIFF) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS	
ROBERT B. COMALANDER	
DEFENDANT	

Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared E. G. RICKARBY, as Attorney for the Plaintiff, who being duly sworn, deposes and says that UNIVERSAL C. I. T. CREDIT CORPORATION, A Corporation, on the 21st day of August, 1956 recovered a judgment against ROBERT B. COMALANDER for the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE DOLLARS AND NINTY FIVE CENTS (\$585.95, and costs of suit; and that he believes the process of garnishment against ELMER G. ANDERSON is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said judgment, and that the said ELMER ANDERSON is supposed to be indebted to or have the effects of said defendant in its possession or under its control.

Par Ee Ruy

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27 day of ______,

Notary Public,

Baldwin County, Alabama

The State of Alaba Baldwin County	ma, {		BALDWIN COUNTYTERM, 19
To any Sheriff of the	State of A	labama, Greetin	5:
WHEREAS, at a regular	August	Term,19_ <u>56_</u> , of the	Circuit Court of Baldwin County,
to-wit: On the 21	day ofA	igust,	19 <u>56</u> , being a regular day of
said term, UNI			
recovered judgment against	ROBERT &	- COMALANDER	
and the state of t	and the second s		many franchista - Same and manufacture and manufacture and manufacture and the second
for the sum of	NDRED EIGHTY	FIVE AND 95/100 -	(\$585.95) Dollars, and cost of suit,
and affidavit having been made	by	E.G. Rickarby	
			on of such Judgment, and that the
following named persons or corp	porations, viz:		
The second secon	ייי איז די ידי	er G. Anderson	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	EIPI	er G. Anderson	- Alla Personal Control of the Contr
	ите		HTS
10 g 10 m			HIScontrol money
You Are Therefore	Hereby Comman	ded to Summon EIME	R G. ANDERSON
to be and appear before the hon	orable Judge of th	ne Circuit Court for Balo	lwin County, at the Court House
thereof, in the city of Bay Mine	ette, on the	Monday in_	A. D. 19_ <u>56</u> _
then and there within the three	first days of the	term, to answer on oath,	whether at the time of the service
of the garnishment, or at the	time making_h	isanswer, or at any	time intervening the time of serv
			indebted to said defendan
 "The state of the state of the			
is, or are, liable to said defenda	nts for the delive	ry of personal property,	or for the payment of money which
			eayable in personal property, and er_hiscontrol money o
			ertarbcontrol money o
Herein fail not, and hav	e you then and th	nere this Writ.	1. 1. 16
Witness, ALICE J. DUCK, Cl	erk of said Court,	thisday of	Q.J., A.D., 19 B
Issuedday of			
		_	

ATTEST:
Olive, Clerk

P. O. BOX 71

E. G. RICKARBY

BANK BUILDING

FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA

September 26, 1956

Mrs. Alice Duck Bay Minette, Alabama Clerk Circuit Court

Dear Mrs. Duck:

In Re: Universal C.I.T.

vs.

Robert Comalander Our File No. 3577 Civil Case No. 2935

With this we are handing you garnishment proceedings in the above matter.

Please process and oblige.

ERG/rl c/c Univ. C.I.T. 10-3-56 Yours very truly,

	The State of Alabama, { CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY }
	TERM, 19
	To any Sheriff of the State of Alabama, Greeting:
	WHEREAS, at a regular August Term, 19_56, of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County,
	to-wit: On the 21 day of August , 1956, being a regular day of
	said term, UNIVERSAL C. I. T. CREDIT CORPORATION, a corporation
	recovered judgment against ROBERT B. COMALANDER
	for the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE AND 95/100(\$585.95) Dollars, and cost of suit,
	and affidavit having been made by E. G. Rickarby
	that process of garnishment is believed to be necessary to obtain satisfaction of such Judgment, and that the following named persons or corporations, viz:
	ELMER G. ANDERSON
	has or is believed to have in his possession, or under his control money
	discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property. You Are Therefore Hereby Commanded to SummonELMER G. ANDERSON
	to be and appear before the honorable Judge of the Circuit Court for Baldwin County, at the Court House
	thereof, in the city of Bay Minette, on theMonday inA. D. 19_56,
	then and there within the three first days of the term, to answer on oath, whether at the time of the service
	of the compilement and the time
	of the garnishment, or at the time making his answer, or at any time intervening the time of serv-
Doew	ing the garnishment, and making the answerwas indebted to said defendant
_	
Ro	ing the garnishment, and making the answer was indebted to said defendant Robert Comalander whether he will not be indebted in future to said defendant bert Comalander a contract then existing, and whether by a contract then existing he is, or are, liable to said defendants for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which
Ro	ing the garnishment, and making the answer was indebted to said defendant Robert Comalander whether he will not be indebted in future to said defendant bert Comalander a contract then existing, and whether by a contract then existing he is, or are, liable to said defendants for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property, and
Ro	ing the garnishment, and making the answer was indebted to said defendant Robert Comalander whether he will not be indebted in future to said defendant bert Comalander a contract then existing, and whether by a contract then existing he is, or are, liable to said defendants for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property, and
Ro	ing the garnishment, and making the answer was indebted to said defendant Robert Comalander whether he will not be indebted in future to said defendant bert Comalander a contract then existing, and whether by a contract then existing he is, or are, liable to said defendants for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property, and whether he has not in his possession or under his control money or
Ro	ing the garnishment, and making the answer
Ro	Robert Comalander whether he will not be indebted in future to said defendant bert Comalander whether existing, and whether by a contract then existing he is, or are, liable to said defendants for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property, and whether has not in his possession or under his control money or effects belonging to the defendant Robert Comalander
Ro	Robert Comalanderd whether he will not be indebted in future to said defendant bert Comalander whether he will not be indebted in future to said defendant bert Comalander a contract then existing, and whether by a contract then existing he is, or are, liable to said defendants for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property, and whether he has not in his possession or under his control money or effects belonging to the defendant Robert Comalander Herein fail not, and have you then and there this Writ. Witness, ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk of said Court, this 29 day of A.D., 1952