COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY ATTORNEYS AT LAW FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING MOBILE, ALABAMA February 8, 1956

FRED G.COLLINS THOMAS M.GALLOWAY M.THOMAS MURPHY

> Hon. Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County Baldwin County Court House Bay Minette, Alabama

> > Re: Joe Penton and Chester Sapp Vs: E. C. Wiggins

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Please file the enclosed demurrer and have a copy of same served upon the attorney for the Defendant.

Very truly yours,

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

<u>relawa</u>y BY:

TMG:mah

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY ATTORNEYS AT LAW FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING MOBILE, ALABAMA December 14, 1955

FRED G. COLLINS THOMAS M.GALLOWAY M.THOMAS MURPHY

Hon. Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Joe Penton and Chester Sapp Vs: E. C. Wiggins

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Would you please file the enclosed suit and contact me when same is filed.

Very truly yours,

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

BY: Phomas M. (78

TMG:mah Enc.

JOE PENTON and CHESTER SAPP Individually and d/b/a			IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	
SOUTHERN CITY MOTORS, a co-partnership, Plaintiff,		*	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA	
		*	AT LAW	
VS:				
E. C. WIGGINS,		* NO.		
	Defendant.	*		
		*		

COUNT ONE

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-SIX AND 40/100 (\$236.40) DOLLARS damages for the breach of a contract entered into by him on the 17th day of April, 1954, by which he promised to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of FOUR HUNDRED SIXTEEN AND 40/100 (\$416.40) DOLLARS for the purchase of a motor vehicle, and Plaintiff avers that said payment was to be made in payments of TWENTY AND 00/100 (\$20.00) DOLLARS each due on the 1st and 15th of each successive month beginning May 1, 1954, and Plaintiff avers that after the payment of ONE HUNDRED AND 00/100 (\$180.00) DOLLARS in installments the Defendant has failed and/or refused to pay further, hence this suit.

COUNT TWO

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-SIX AND 40/100 (\$236.40) DOLLARS damages for breach of an oral agreement, entered into by him on the 17th day of April, 1954, by which he promised to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of FOUR HUNDRED SIXTEEN AND 40/100 (\$416.40) DOLLARS for the purchase of a motor vehicle, and Plaintiff avers that said payment was to be made in payments of TWENTY AND 00/100 (\$20.00) DOLLARS each due on the 1st and 15th of each successive month beginning May 1, 1954, and Plaintiff avers that after the payment of ONE HUN-DRED EIGHTY AND 00/100 (\$180.00) DOLLARS in installments the Defendant has failed and/or refused to pay further, hence this suit.

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

By: Thomas tor Plaintiff

Address of Defendant: Stapleton, Alabama

			Baldwin Times
IMMONS AND COMP	LAINT		
THE STATE C	of Alabama,	· ·	T, BALDWIN COUNTY
	· · · ·	No.	
BALDWIN	1 COUNTY	J 1 •	TERM, 19
O ANY SHERIFF OF I	THE STATE OF ALABA	MA:	
en e			
ou Are Hereby Comm	anded to Summon E.	. C. Wiggins	
t Handalan Geragian Tanan ang tanàna amin'ny tanàna mandritry dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina	· · ·		
<u> </u>			:
anna an	lannan an tha an		the complaint filed in
•		thirty days from the service hereof, t Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	o the complaint filed in
he Circuit Court of Ba	aldwin County, State of	thirty days from the service hereof, t Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	
•	aldwin County, State of	Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	, Defendant
the Circuit Court of Ba	aldwin County, State of		, Defendant
he Circuit Court of Ba <u>B. C. Wiggir</u> by	aldwin County, State of	Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Chester Sapp Incividually an	, Defendant
he Circuit Court of Ba <u>E. C. Wiggir</u> by Southern	aldwin County, State of ns Joe Penton and City Motors, A C	Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Chester Sapp Incividually an co-partnership	, Defendant na a/b/a/ , Plaintiff
he Circuit Court of Ba <u>B. C. Wiggir</u> by	aldwin County, State of ns Joe Penton and City Motors, A C	Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Chester Sapp Includelly an co-partnership day of	, Defendant na a/b/a/
he Circuit Court of Ba <u>E. C. Wiggir</u> by Southern	aldwin County, State of ns Joe Penton and City Motors, A C	Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Chester Sapp Incivicually an co-partnership day of	, Defendant na a/b/a/ , Plaintiff

t

JOE PENTON AND CHESTER SAPP, Individually and	Ŷ		
doing business as Southern City Motors, a Co-Partnership,	(IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF		
PIAINTIFF VS	♦ BALDWIN COUNTY, ALATACA,		
	ý AT LAW .		
B. C. WIGGINS	ý CASE NO. 2795		
DEFENDANT	$\int_{V} \int_{V} v = v = v$, which is that is the momentum of v is the space of the complete momentum vector V		

Comes now the Defendant in the above styled cause and files the following demurrers to the Plaintiff's complaint and to each and every count thereof:

. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action.

The Complaint fails to state that the monies such for are due and un-

2.

1.

3.

The Complaint fails to set out the terms of the contract under which he sues.

The Defendant files the following demurrers to Count 1 of the Plaintiff's Complaint.

1.

It fails to set out the written contract on which this count is based.

2.

It fails to set out the terms of the written contract on which this count is based.

Wilt**ws** & Brantley aller m

The Defendant demands a trial by jury.

Wilters & Brantley Ulle torneys

JOE FENTON AND CHESTER SAPP, Individually and doing business as Southern IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ŷ City Motors, a Co-Parternship, BALEVIN COUNTY, ADARAMA, Ş. PLAINTIFY AT LAW N N VS CASE NO. 2795 Ŷ E. C. WIGGINS ŷ DESERTIVES FIELS

The Defendant files the following Plea to the Plaintiff's Complaint and to each and every count thereof separately and severally:

3..

Not guilty.

2.

That he does not owe the Plaintiff the amount sued for nor any amount.

3.

That the Plaintiff when negotiating a sale of the car, the price of which the Plaintiff seeks to recover, agreed to sell the Defendant this car for the sum of EMO HUNDRED FIFTY (\$250.00) DOLLARS and allow him Eighty Dollars "trade in" value for his old car. The Plaintiff falsely and fraudulently represented to theDefendant that the contents of the paper writing signed by the Defendant, as evidence of the contract of sale, showed the sale to be for this price. The Defendant relying upon such representation signed the contract without knowing the contents and that he did not discover this fraud until a much later date, at which time the Defendant rescinded the contract and offered to return the car, which offer being refused by the Flaintiff, the Defendant has since held the car as bailee for the Flaintiff. The Defendant avers that he gave the Flaintiff bis cld car as trade in and paid his One Hundred Eighty (\$180.00) Dollars in addition thereto prior to the discovery of this fraud.

4.

The Plaintiff's agent, servant or employee, then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment when negotiating a sale of the car, the price of which the Plaintiff seeks to recover, agreed to sell the Defendant this car for TWO HUNDRED FIFTY (\$250.00) DOLLARS and allow him Edghty \$80.00) Dollars "trade in" value for his old car. The Defendant's agent, servant or employee then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment falsely and fraudulently represented to the Defendant that the contents of the paper writing signed by the Defendant, as evidence of the contract of sale, showed the sale to be for this price. The Defendant relying upon such representation signed the contract without knowing the contents and that he did not discover this fraud until a much later date, at which time the Defendant rescinded the contract and offered to return the car, which offer being refused by the Plaintiff, the Defendant has since held the car as bailee for the Plaintiff. The Defendant avers that he gave the Plaintiff his old car as trade in and paid him One Hundred Eighty (\$250.00) Dollars in addition thereto prior to the discovery of this fraud.

Wilters & Brantley

JOE PENTON AND CHESTER SAPP, Individually and d/b/a Southern City Motors,	*	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
a Co-Partnership,	*	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Plaintiff		
VS:	*	AT LAW
E. C. WIGGINS,	*	NO. 2795
Defendant.	*	
and a second	· 75	

Comes now the Plaintiffs in the above styled cause and demur to the pleas three and four filed herein, separately and severally, and assign the following separate and several grounds of demurrer:

O N E

The plea does not state a defense of the cause of action

<u>T W O</u>

The plea does not state a defense to the complaint heretofore filed in this matter.

THREE

The plea consists of conclusions of the pleader.

FOUR

The pleas as set out allege fraud, but do not state facts supporting the same.

COLLINS, GALLOWAY & MURPHY

BY: <u>Attorneys</u> for Plaintiff