

J. WALLACE ACKILLAN, Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS

OF

W. C. BENEE.

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA...

Respondent.

NO.82

DELAURRER

Comes the Respondent in the above styled cause and refiles this answer to the Bill of Complaint as amended and inserts the word "Eighth" between the word seventh and the word "And" in the second line of paragraph Fourth, and further answering paragraph "Eighth" of said amended bill says the matters therein alleged are untrue.

This February 6th, 1935.

W.C. Beebe, Respondent.

AISO: -

Original demurrer and answer as filed on/October 23rd, 1934 by M. A. Stone, Register., are hereby refiled this February 6th, 1935, by Robert S. Duck, Register.,

W.C.BEEBE, Respondent..

COPY Equity Final Record,

Book 6 page/30

J. W. McMILLAN,	X	
Complainant,	Ĭ.	
~ ∀ S ~	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN
W. C. BEEBE,		COUNTY, ALABAMA. EQUITY.
Respondent	·	NUMBER 82.

And now comes the complainant and gives notice of appeal to the Supreme Court of Alabama from the decree of the judge of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, dated May 1st, 1935, and filed May 6th, 1935.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

	₩e	the und	ler:	signed	j. \	N. M	cMillan,	dansan pinaka milik dan piliping paliping paga	والمستومد والمستواد	ne 'pallenne 'd think his per a bala and 'Maga a final a lebana y page	-
and	diriandere i valor e s. (penna cer	nagy ar a children i ann an	n galanceraleure, pale	rry , della coll februs, listo, a reportiti di mensu (il listo, ca la l	e. human se ay haqeey sajily	n protesta de la compansa de la comp	, acknow	ledge	our	selves se	4020
curities	for	costs	of	appeal	to.	the	Supreme	Court	of	Alabama	in
the fore	going	g cause	÷.								

Dated this October 27th, 1935.

M.M. MMillan (SEAL)

R.S. mc millan (SEAL)

Oppour Namb 1985.

82

STATE	OF	ALABAMA,	
COUNTY	O	P BALDWIN.	:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SAID COUNTY, EQUITY NUMBER

TO THE HONORABLE F. W. HARE, JUDGE:

Humbly complaining, your orator, J. Wallace McMillan, as complainant, brings this, his bill of complaint against W. C. Beebe, as respondent, and respectfully shows:

First.

Complainant and respondent are both over the age of twenty-five years and both of them reside in Baldwin County, Alabama.

Second.

Baldwin County for many years and in his business operations has accumulated much land in Baldwin County, to-wit, upwards of eleven thousand (11,000) acres, including that hereinafter particularly described in paragraph find hereof, and all of the accumulations of complainant's business operations have been invested in the purchase of said land.

Third.

Respondent, W. C. Beebe, has for many years been confidential attorney for complainant and has attended to all complainant's business matters in which the services of legal counsel were required and, in the course of his dealings with complainant, he has had the opportunity to, and has secured full and complete knowledge of all of complainant's business affairs and the value of his lands, and complainant has reposed full and implicit confidence in his said attorney and withheld no information of a business nature from him and has trusted him with the handling of all matters and preparation of all such papers, all of which facts were well known to the respondent.

Third.

Some years ago, complainant placed a loan for

SZ

forty thousand (\$40,000.00) dollars on his land, which sum was acquired from the First Joint Stock Land Bank, of Montgomery, and which was secured by mortgage, but for some several years preceding the year 1933, that being the year of the happening of the matters and things hereinafter complained of, a terrific financial depression had swept over the entire country and still exists, so that practically all businesses, including that of complainant, were practically paralyzed, and complainant was unable to meet his payments under his mortgage to the First Joint Stock Land Bank and his loan from that bank was called. In this situation, complainant and respondent, his said attorney, went to Montgomery to discuss the matter with the representative of the mortgagee and it was concluded that the mortgagee could, by and through the procurement of certain government bonds and of the handling of the same, settle the mortgage indebtedness against complainant for fifteen thousand (\$15,000.00) dollars, without loss to the mortgagee, and it was agreed to settle the said mortgage for said sum and that the complainant would pay fifteen hundred (\$1500.00) dollars of said reduced amount in cash within such time as was then stipulated, in consideration of which the mortgagee agreed to give him a period of ninety days within which to procure and to pay the remaining thirteen thousand, five hundred (\$13,500.00) dollars, and, after discussion between the complainant and respondent, it was decided by both to make application for a loan for an amount sufficient to pay said sum of fifteen thousand (\$15,000.00) dollars from the Federal Land Bank, at New Orleans.

Fourth.

During these negotiations and after determining to make the loan from the Federal Land Bank, respondent stated

to complainant that, instead of attempting to get this loan through one application for the entire amount, the matter should be presented to the Federal Land Bank in several applications. He did not state why and complainant did not know why, but respondent was then acting as complainant's attorney in the matter and complainant adopted the idea suggested by respondent without inquiring further. Respondent then suggested that complainant owed him some money for attorney's fees which he had rendered and said the amount of the debt was three thousand (\$3,000.00) dollars, that, in addition to this, complainant owed other persons, and respondent's plan was that complainant make a deed for some of the lands to him (respondent) and to other of the said land to one John N. Stanard, another creditor to whom complainant was also indebted. It was planned that three applications for the respective loans would be made by complainant, respondent and said Stanard, which was done, under the plan, devised and presented by the respondent.

Fifth.

Complainant further shows that following this, respondent prepared and complainant signed a deed to respondent, a copy of which is hereto attached and marked "Exhibit A", which is now referred to and by reference made a part hereof, and complainant also executed a deed to other lands similar in form to the said John N. Stanard, and the three applications were made in the name of complainant, respondent and the said Stanard, complainant paying all costs for making the said applications and filing same and paying all expenses of attempting to get the matter through as planned, and the proceeds of all of said applications or loans were to be for complainant's use. The money with which to pay the mortgage to the First Joint Stock Land Bank, hereinafter referred to, and the expenses incidental to all of the proceedings were in this

way raised within the stipulated ninety days and the mortgage to the Joint Stock Land Bank, of Montgomery, was settled, complainant raising said sum as follows:

Mortgage from complainant to the Land
Bank Commissioner \$5,000.00

Mortgage from complainant to the Home
Owners' Loan Corporation \$5,750.00

Mortgage from John N. Stanard to the Federal Land Bank \$3,400.00.

In addition to this, the respondent raised, by a private loan from J. Hamilton Smith and Hector A. Smith, fifteen hundred (\$1500.00) dollars for complainant's use, which money was raised by a mortgage on the land described in document, copy of which is hereto attached as "Exhibit A", and belivant, to complainant.

Sixth.

At the time of and during the making of the matters and things hereing set forth, complainant, who is attaining mature years, had concluded to sell all of his lands except, perhaps, his home, which it was thought could be done for a sum sufficient to pay his entire indebtedness, including his indebtednesses to respondent and Stanard hereinabove referred to, and leave complainant sufficient for support of himself and family throughout his remaining years, but a sale of the land was then pending and it was thought by all parties interested that it would materialize at an early date, but, due to the continued depression, the sale has not yet been made.

Seventh.

Complainant has stated in paragraph fighth hereof that he made a deed to respondent, which allegations are now referred to and by reference made part of this paragraph and he further alleges that, during the said negotiations and at all times it was agreed between complainant, respondent and the said Stanard that

complainant should have the right to redeem the said lands from the documents in form of deeds to respondent and the said Stanard, out of the proceeds of the sale of the said lands when made and respondent delivered to complainant a written statement, copy of which is hereto attached and marked "Exhibit B", evidencing this agreement, although complainant did not read the said document when delivered to him, leaving the preparation of that document to respondent. Respondent also drew, and had executed, an instrument of writing from John N. Stanard to complainant similar in form to that, copy of which is attached as #Exhibit B", except that it provided complainant should have two years within which to pay said money. This method was at the suggestion of and the forms used were prepared by respondent. They were not read by complainant, first, because he trusted respondent to prepare the papers and complainant would probably have not understood the legal effect of such documents if he had read them, but he alleges that the main purpose of the agreement was to secure the respondent's debt against complainant and it was never intended that complainant should convey his land to respondent absolutely for the consideration named, and complainant further alleges that the said document, though in form a deed, was intended as security for respondent's debt against complainant.

Ninth.

conveyed in the said deed to respondent is worth vastly more than the amount of complainant's debt to respondent; it is in many respects the key to respondent's other land and is practically in the heart of complainant's said other land and its value is approximately ten thousand (\$10,000.00) dollars. Complainant further alleges that the

82

respondent has, since the original deeds were signed to him by complainant, recognized the security quality of the document and the ownership of the land as essential to the value of complainant's other lands, by agreeing to a further extension and the substitution of other lands for these, and has not until recently asserted absolute right and title to the land if complainant did not pay him forty-five hundred (\$4500.00) dollars by September 17th, that being the date of expiration of the document, copy of which is attached as "Exhibit B", but complainant now states that, unless the land is redeemed by October 17th, complainant's rights in the land and his rights to redeem the land will terminate, that respondent will immediately sell the land to other persons. Since the date of the document in form a deed, by which respondent claims complainant sold land to him, complainant has been in possession of said land.

Should carry out his threat and make a sale of this land to an innocent purchaser for value, in view of the form in which respondent has written up the transaction, all of complainant's rights in the land and to redeem the same will be lost. Complainant shows that, depending on the respondent and on his promises, complainant has made no extreme and connect now effort to raise the money to pay respondent's alleged debt by October 17th and that, because of the matters and things hereinabove set forth, the document executed by complainant to the respondent, though in form an absolute deed, was given as security for a debt and should be construed a mortgage.

PRAYER FOR PROCESS.

The premises considered, complainant prays that your Honor will take jurisdiction of the cause made by this bill of complaint and that by the proper process issuing to him from

this court, the said W. C. Beebe be made party defendant hereto and to be required to answer the charges herein made within the time and in all things as required by the rules and practice of this honorable court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

Complainant further prays that your Honor will order a reference to ascertain the real amount due by complainant to respondent, will decree that the instrument dated October 17th, 1933, copy of which is attached to this bill of complaint, was given as security for said indebtedness, that respondent holds title to the land described in said deed, as trustee for complainant, or that the instrument be decreed to be a mortgage and, if necessary, that it be foreclosed, preserving to complainant the right of redemption for the period secured to mortgagors under the laws of Alabama, that in event of foreclosure, the proceeds issuing from the foreclosure sale be decreed to be paid to the respondent in the amount ascertained to be due him, and the balance be paid to complainant.

Complainant prays for such other further and different relief as in equity and good conscience may be due him in the premises.

Solicitor for Complainant.

NOTE: The respondent, W. C. Beebe, is required to answer each and every allegation and paragraph of the foregoing bill of complaint, but his oath thereto is hereby expressly waived.

Solicitor for Complainant.

STATE OF ALABAMA,

COUNTY OF BALDWIN. G. Was tumphing

public in and for said state and county, personally appeared J. Wallace McMillan, who is known to me and who being sworn, says on information and belief that the allegations of the foregoing bill of complaint

Jem Millanand subscribed

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 12th day of October, 1934.

are true.

Notary Public, Baldwin County, Alabama.

"KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that we, John Wallace McMillan and Allene K. McMillan, for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other godd and valuable considerations to us in hand paid by W. C. BEEBE, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, do grant, bargain, sell and convey unto the said W. C. Beebe. all the following described real property situated in the County of Baldwin, State of Alabama, to-wit:

That part of the Joshua Kennedy Grant, Section 47, Township 1 South of Range 2 East, which lies in and would be the South half of regular Government Section 35, Township 1 South, Range 2 East, and also that part of the Joshua Kennedy Grant, Section 47, Township 2 South, Range 2 East, which lies in and would be the Southeast Quarternof regular Government Section 2, Township 2 South of Range 2 East; also that part of the J. L. Seabury Grant, Section 5, Township 2 Sout of Range 2 East, which lies in and would be the North half and the Southwest quarter of regular Government Section 2, Township 2 South of Range 2 East, containing all 960 acreas, more or less.

Together with, all and singular, the rights, members, privileges, tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto be monging or is anywise appertaining.

To have and to hold unto the said W. C. Beebe, his heirs and assigns forever. And we do for ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, covenant with the said W. C. Beebe, his heirs and assigns, that we are lawfully seized in fee simple of said premises; that they are free from all ancumbrances and that we have a good right to sell and convey the same as aforesaid; that we will and our heirs, executors and administrators shall warrant and defend the same to the said W. C. Beebe, his heirs and assigns forever, against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever.

In witness whereof we have hereto set our hands and seals on this the 17th day of October, 1933.

J. W. McMillan (SEAL) Allene K. McMillan (SEAL)

\$4.50 U. S. I. R. Stamp attached, cancelled 10/17/33, J. W. M.

STATE OF ALABAMA, I BALDWIN COUNTY.

I, J. P, Beebe, a Notary Public in and for said county, in said State, hereby certify that John Wallace McMillan and Allene K. McMillan, his wife, whoes names are signed to the foregoing conveyance, and who are known to me, acknowledged before me on this day, that, of the conveyande, they executed the same voluntarily on the day the same bears date.

And I do further certify that on the 17th day of October 1933, came before me the within named Allene K. McMillan, known to me to be the wife of the within named John Wallace McMillan, and who being examined separate and apart from her husband touching her signature to the within conveyance, acknowleded that she signed the same of her own free will and accord and without fear, constraints or threats on the part of her husband.

Give under my hand and seal on this the 17th day of October, 1933.

(SEAL)

J. P. Beebe, Notary Public, Baldwin County, Alabama.



STATE OF ALABAMA, BALDWIN COUNTY.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that W. C. Beebe and CLARICE BEEBE, his wife, first party, in consideration of the sum of FIVE DOLLARS (\$5.00) to them in hand paid by J. W. McMillan second party, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, do hereby give and grant to said second party, for a period of one (1) year from date, the right and option to purchase at the price and under the conditions herein set fortgm the following described lands situated in Baldwin county, Alabama, to-wit:

That part of the Poshua Kennedy Grant, Section 47, Township 1 South of Range 2 East, which lies in and would be the South half of regular government Section 35, Township 1 South, Range 2 East, and also that part of the Joshua Kennedy Grant, Section 47, Township 2 South, Range 2 East, which lies in and would be the Southeast quarter of regular Government Section 2, Township 2 South of Range 2 East; also that part of the J. L. Seabury Grant, Section 5, Township 2 South of Range 2 East, which lies in and would be the North half and the Southwest quarter of regular Government Section 2, Township 2 South of Range 2 East, containing in all 960 acres, more or less.

The purchase price to be paid to first party by second party, in the event he purchase said lands hereunder, shall be Forty-Five Hundred Dollars (\$4500.00), with interest thereon, plus any taxes paid by first party, together with 8% interest thereon from date, payable in cash; whereupon first party will execute and deliver to second party a statutory warranty deed conveying said lands.

This option is not transferable without the written consent of the first party.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, first party have hereunto set their hands and seals, this the 17th day of October, 1933.

W. C. BEEBE (SEAL)

CLARICE BEEBE (SEAL)

STATE OF ALABAMA, BALDWIN GOUNTY.

I, J. P. Beebe, a Notary Publice in and for said county, in said State, hereby certify that W. C. Beebe and Clarice Beebe, his wife, whose names are signed to the foregoing instrument, and who are known to me, acknowledged before me on this day that, being informed of the contents of the instrument, they executed the same voluntarily on the day the same bears date.

Given under my hand and seal on this the 17th day of October, 1933.

J. P. Beebe.

Notary Public, Baldwin County,

Alabama.

J. W. MCMILLLAN.

COMPLAINANT:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

MUMBER 82.

VS

BALDWIN COUNTY, IN EQUITY,

W. C. BIRED.

.

RESPONDENT.

The Complainant amends his bill by adding to and as part of paragraph "BIGTH" the following:

"Complainant further alleges that based on his agreement and understanding with the Respondent as hereinabove and hereinafter set forth and reposing full and complete confidence in the respondent and believing that the respondent would prepare the papers for complainant to sign exactly in accordance therewith and for the protection of complainant, he signed all papers presented by the respondent for him to sign at a time when complainant was a very sick man. He had been taking bromides in order to alleviate his suffering and was not in a condition physically or mentally to fully understand the nature, character or import of the papers he was signing, all of which facts were known to the respondent."

SOLIGIPOR FOR COMPLATIVATIVE

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, BALLWIN COUNTY.

CIRDUIT COURT, IN EQUITY. NO. 88

JATITEOM TO

Compleinent,

Notice of application to be made before

the Hegistar/for Oral Examination of

Thiseses Norw. C. Beeve, Respondent.

Defendant. Rog 2 2

MOMILLAN, SORE Ding of B. K. MORELLAN, his blistor,

in the above styled dause.

Take notice had it is Beebe Respondent in said cause,

desires the evidence of the Lebes, J. P. Beers, Ida M. Turnbull, Handolph MoGowan, John M. Japhdard and Jesse M. Smith, material witnesses for the Respondent in said osuse, to be taken orally before the Register; and you are further notified that on the 3rd day of November, 1934, application will be made before the Register, at his office in Bay Minette, Alabama, to appoint a time for the teking of testimony of said witnesses orally before the Register at his office in Bay Minette, Alabama, to-wit: on the 8th day of Movember, 1934, beginning at 9 o'clock L. M., and to

sitness this 30th dey of Cotober, A. D. 1934.

of said witnesses is fully taken and completed as eforeseid.

be continued from day to day, if necessary, watil the testimony

100 Respondent.

Copy This nature lodes This all 30, 1834.

girly to JW. Mc Miles Complained week to BAMO Miles, his overesty

We Bulle

200

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, BALDWIN COUNTY.

J. W. MCMILLIAM,

Compleinant,

J. Beebe

Ida M. Turnbull

Randolph McGowan

John M. Standard

said witnesses reside in the County of Baldwin, State of Alabama.

M. A. Stone, the Register of this Court, is suggested as a suitable person to be appointed Commissioner to take the deposition of said witheseas on such oral exemination.

CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN

n behal

COUNTY, ALLEANA.

THE ROOF

NO. 82.

The State of Alabama, Circuit Court of Baldwin County, In Equity. Baldwin County.

it Cou of Sur
of Sur
-

The State of Alabama Baldwin County---Circuit Court

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA-GREETING:

whereas, at a term of the	Circuit Court of Baldwin County,	neid on the
	Monday in	193
in a certain cause in said Court where	ein J W Mc Millan.	
	Plaintiff, and W. C. Beebe	
	dear	
	Defendant, axjadgn	rentxwas rendered against said
J W McMillan.		
to reverse which	the said <u>Decre</u>	<u>:e</u>
	<u> </u>	
		*
half on this day applied for and	•	
	•	
xSupreme XSpring Term of	of our Con	art of the State of Alabama, to
be held at Montgomery, on the	day of	193 next.
•		
and the necessary bond having been	given by the said J J Mill	en.
	with MM	Memillan and
**		,
R D McMillan.		sureties,
		116 F. J. C.
		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
•		
Now, You A	re Hereby Commanded, with	out delay, to cite the said
W C Bee	be.	
or		attorney, to appear at the
Spring.	Term of our said Supreme Co	urt, to defend against the said
Appeal, if He	think manon	
Appear, ii	- unita proper.	
Robert S I	Ouck. Son, Clerk of the Circuit Court of	said County, this 31
day ofOctober		
	Attest:	
	Beelet S.	100,06

J.	W.	McMILLAN,	, X	v v
		COMPLAINANT,	X	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
		VS	Ø	BALDWIN COUNTY, IN EQUITY,
W.	C.	BEEB E ,	Ž ·	NUMBER 82.
.0		RESPONDENT.	ð	

The Complainant amends his bill by adding to and as part of paragraph "EIGTH" the following:

"Complainant further alleges that based on his agreement and understanding with the Respondent as hereinabove and hereinafter set forth and reposing full and complete confidence in the respondent and believing that the respondent would prepare the papers for complainant to sign exactly in accordance therewith and for the protection of complainant, he signed all papers presented by the respondent for him to sign at a time when complainant was a very sick man. He had been taking bromides in order to alleviate his suffering and was not in a condition physically or mentally to fully understand the nature, character or import of the papers he was signing, all of which facts were known to the respondent."

SOLICITOR FOR COMPLAINANT.

$J_{rac{13}{4}}^{rac{13}{4}}$	₩.	Mc.Millan,)	
		Complainant,)	
	V	3 .)	Circuit Court, Baldwin County,
₩.	₫.	Beebe,)	Alabama.
		Respondent.)	In Equity.
			}	

This cause coming on to be heard is submitted for decree on demurrer to the Bill of Complaint as amended, and salso for final decree on the pleadings and proof as noted by the Register.

Upon consideration of the demurrer to the said amended Bill of Complaint I am of the opinion that said demurrer is not well taken, and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said demurrer be, and same hereby is, overruled.

by the pleading and proof I am of the opinion that Complainant has failed to make out a case entitling him to the relief prayed for in the Bill; in fact, aside from the general prayer for relief, Complainant prays for nothing that he is entitled to pray for except that the deed to the Respondent by declared a mortgage. Complainant does not follow this up with a prayer to be allowed to redeem. If the deed in question could be declared a mortgage under the proof,—which the testimeny does not justify—, his only right then would be that of redemption. A mortgager has no right to compel a fereclosure, as in funged far in the bill.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that Complainant is not entitled to relief, and his Bill is dismissed. Costs are taxed against Complainant, for which let execution issue.

This May 15t, 1935.

F. W. Stare

THE STATE OF ALABAMA—JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

October Term, 19235-6 To the Register of the Cicuit Court Baldww County-Greeting: Whereas, the Record and Proceedings of the Will Court of said county, in a certain cause lately pending in said_Court between____ Wallace mª Millan, Appellant, adversely to said appellant....., were brought before our Supreme Court, by appeal taken, pursuant to law, on behalf of said appellant: NOW, IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED, That it was thereupon considered by our Supreme Court, on day of may 1936, that saidCourt be in all things affirmed, and that it was further considered that the appellant, and J. W. M. Millan m. m. m= millaw and C. K. m= millaw speal the costs accruing on said appeal in this Court and in the Court below..... Witness, Robert F. Ligon, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alabama, at the Capitol, this the 144

Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alaband

J. WALLACE MOMILLAN,

Complainant,

VS.

W. C. BEEBE.

Respondent.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

IN EQUITY.

NO. 82.

It is agreed between the parties that the depositions of witnesses, W. C. Beebe, John N. Standard, J. P. Beebe, Jesse M. Smith, Ida M. Turnbull, Randolph McGowan and Frank B. Nihart, be taken before Ora Sirmon without the issuance of Commission; that the depositions of said witnesses as taken and returned by her be treated as evidence in said cause, and that all formalities in the manner of taking and certifying and returning said depositions are hereby waived, and that the same be considered with the same force and effect as if formal Commission had issued regularly and the taking, certifying and returning of the same were in full compliance with the law, and that Randally methors and fresh Randally methors to the same are waived.

This the 6 day of February, 1935.

Solicitor for Complainant.

Solicitor for Respondent.

Bay Minette, Alabama. February 6, 1935.

R. S. Duck, Register in Chancery, Baldwin County, Alabama.

In Account With,

ORA SIRMON

Services rendered as Commissioner in case of J. Wallace McMillan, Complainant, vs. W. C. Beebe, Respondent....\$50.00

A

J. WALLACE MCMILLAN,

Complainant,

VS.

W. C. BEEBK.

Respondent.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

IN EQUITY.

NO. 82.

This cause is submitted on behalf of respondent on the following:

- 1. His demurrers and answers and exhibits thereto attached, viz.: Exhibits a β c $\forall \omega$
- 2. Deposition of W. C. Beebe and Exhibits themste
- 3. Deposition of J. P. Beebe and exhibits thereto attached, viz.: Exhibits # 3 + 6
 - 4. Deposition of Ida M. Turnbull.
- 5. Deposition of John N. Standard and exhibits thereto attached, viz.: Exhibits A.
 - 6. Deposition of Randolph McGowan.
- 7. Deposition of Jesse M. Smith and exhibit thereto ettached, viz.: Exhibit
 - 8. Deposition of Frank B. Nihart.
- 9. Agreement of counsel as to depositions of witnesses, W. C. Beebe, John N. Standard, J. P. Beebe, Jesse M. Smith, Ida M. Turnbull, Randolph McGowen and Frank B. Nihart.

Red Shuch

THE STATE OF ALCRAMA * * * * STREETAL DEPARTMENT

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O

2000000 2000 2030-00

L TY* LI*

J. Wellows Perulian

W o

Appeal from Saldwin Circuit Court, (In Squity).

GARLINE, Justico.

The bill seeks to have a deed declared a new yours.

but unecompanied by any offer of redemption. On the contrary, the bill declared complainant's inability to pay
the debt, and his testimony is to like offert.

Compleinent has proceeded upon the theory that the court will assume jurisdiction morely for the purpose of declaring the dead a mericage. Though there are authoristics anotalning this view (Al Carmas Nuris 2004, note 27), yet a different rule has long toom entablished in this factor of a different rule has long toom entablished in this day.

seeks equity suct offer to do equity, and that equity will not do justice by piece-meal, but delignes to quiet litigation, this fourt, in <u>literally indicated</u> in the conveyance is really a security for a debt, and there fore a mortgage, there must be an offer to pay the debt of the court will not interfere's and it large to pay the debt of the court will not interfere's number to the point so offer was made to pay the debt.

The Commerce to the Mill in the inclent case also took.
The point, and chould have been quotal sed, rather than ever-

mortours foreclosed, and in such a maner complainant would obtain the two year period for states; redomption. But a mortgagor is in no position to recure a fermiology, to the mortoure,
the collection of the debt are those belonging to the mortoure,
which he may pursue and as to which the mortgagor cannot compolas clotion. * I the debt of the mortoury.

Under the rule here will ectablished, therefore, the bill was vilual by the proof, and those considerations justified the discussion of the bill.

The question of fact involved, in view of this conclusion, to the determined,

Let the course stand of trans.

Anderson, C.J., Bouldin and Poster, JJ., concur.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA—JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

1st	919	•	eur vy Sa		
Div., No.	_	illa	., 'u		, Appellant,
	vs.				
N.C. Die	66			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, Appellee,
From Bald	MILL				Circuit Court.
The State of Alabama,	7				Survive Court.
City and County of Montgomery.	•				
I, Robert F. Ligon, Clerk of the Sup	reme Court of	Alabama, de	o hereby	certif	fy that the fore-
going pages, numbered from one to					e and correct copy
of the opinion of said Supreme Court in	the above stat	ed cause, as	s the san	ne app	ears and remains
of record and on file in this office.					
		-			of the Supreme
	(ourt of Al	abama, (at the	Capitol, this the
	14 A	Clerk of the	M J se Supre	ay me con	19 36
		•			\$*

	T. Original Bill of Complaint	
	2. Demurrer and Answer	
	Amended Bill of Complaint	Ob # 9
	Refiling of Demurrers to Amended Bill	
	25. Complainant's Testimony	
	Agreement of Counsel	
	Defendant's Testimony	
	Complainant's Note of Testimony	
	19. Note of Testimony for Respondent.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	H. Notice of appeal Security for	r Cost
	1/2. Citation of appeal	
,	12. Clerks certificate 14. assignment og Errors	
	14. assignment of Errors	
ear mean of the	The control of the co	and the second s
32	Role 1 + S Nontgomery, Ala., Je	ly 7th 193 6
<i>Mr</i>	Clarks	Dr.
	per CCCC	
	Bay mette, Alabam	a,
	To ROBERT F. LIGON,	
	Clerk of the Supreme C	
BROWN F	PRINTING CO., MONTGONERY, ALA., 1934	Montgomery, Ala.
193	To Costs of Appeal in Supreme Court, By Items Docketing Cause	
	Div. No. 919 Entering Attorney Appeal or Writ of Error	
	Wallace Mc Millan Annellant Order	.50
	vs. Continuance	
	W. C. Becke, Appellee, Mandate or Certificate to	o Court Be- 2.00
	Writ of Fieri Facias	(1.00)

From Baldwin Circuit Court Taxing cost, copying, and entering satisfaction

Fee in lieu of State Tax...

No S	K R	ECOR DED
the state of the s	State of BALDWIN CO	Alabama
	IN EQU Court of Bal	I T Y dwin County
22	Malla_	1770 milla
[]	Bul	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VS.	
NOT	E OF TES	TIMONY
Filed in Or	en Court this	lc
day of	alut &	Juck REGISTER
MOORE PRINTING	944	

	0	
J. Wallock merrillon Complainant Vs.	THE STATE OF	ALABAMA
Complainant	Baldwin Co	ounty
vs.		
n. C. Bester	IN EQU	ITY
	Circuit Court of Ba	ldwin County
<u></u>	Bulge	aug lose of
This cause is submitted in behalf of Complainant	upon the original Bill of Comp	plaint, Curese
write to Bill of complained	, deposition of I Walls	re reguello
Exhibits thereto, deposition of 7	vallour Killerane,	deposition of
	hu/tellarease,	-/
of M. M. Memilean, Differi	tion of Marx Cour	ley, drposi
tion of ATP. M. JADOBLAN, S	refacilion of mis	Trollars
mortillen, Deposition of J. We	flow merrillon	m ^a .
and in behalf of Defendant upon		*
7.4		₹ .
tiloQ_		
	0 12	
, -	Robert & Du	ek
		Kegister.