(2207)

 $\overline{_{\text{BOOK}}}$ 002 PAGE 480

ANSWER AS IST AMENDED

TIME DISCOUNT CORP., a Corporation, as assigned of ERUCE DUDLEY, d/b/a ALABAMA MOTORS,

Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW.

vo

.a. Handigook

Doferdant

Comes the Defendant and refiles his answer as amended herein to each count of said complaint and separately and severally shows unto this the Honorable Court as follows:

بر د ش

He denies the allegations thereof as to each count, which are unnumbered, separately and severally.

2.

The Defendant for answer to the said complaint, saith that he has paid the debt for the recovery of which this suit was brought, before the action was commenced.

3.

Defendant answer to Count Two of said Complaint saith, that the said note upon which the action is founded, is usurious and void for the interest thereon, in that the said balance due was shown on the bill of sale to be Four Hundred Minety Five & OC/100 (\$495.00) Dollars, as principal and the interest thereon was shown to be One Hundred Minety Six & 56/100 (\$196.56) Dollars.

FILED

mac, 1,19 55ALICE 1. DUCK, Clerk

Attorpey for the Deffidant.

Lefendant Jan.

TIME DISCOUNT CORP., a Corporation, as assigned of ERUCE DUDLEY, d/b/a AIABANA MCTORS,

Plaintiff

VS.

N. E. HEATHCOCK

Dofendant

ANSWER AS LAST AMENDED

FILED

MAR 1 1955

AUGE J. DUCK, Clerk

From the law offices of y C. Le Neir Thompson Attorney-At-Law Day Linette, Alabama TIME DISCOUNT CORPORATION, A Corporation, as assignee of Bruce Dudley, doing business as Alabama Motors,

Plaintiff,

VS.

J. E. HEATHCOCK,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW

Defendant.

MOTION TO STRIKE

Now comes the Plaintiff in the above styled cause, an appearing specially only for the purpose of filing this motion, and moves the Court to strike pleas numbered three, four and five heretofore filed in said cause and as grounds for said moti assigns the following:

1. The said pleas are pleas of setoff and were not served upon the Plaintiff or the Plaintiff's attorney of record in the same manner that copies of complaints are now served, as required by Section 359, Title 7 of the 1940 Code of Alabama.

FILED

Course 30, 1954

ALEE 1. BUCK, Clerk

Attorneys for Plaintiff

301954

ang kanalaga kandigayang kanalaga 🌡 Manggarang kanalaga kanalaga kanalaga

phiseupud aleppeyyi ough you byo hendres sy nyyest syne urevest More parage that Sonar-table in the the bound adjoined occurs, and

D. - The comit plans has place of estably end arms not Mogilia esa gaggaranti

 $\{a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}a}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}}a_{m{e}$. Propose activo deciminate proposable as appropriate a transfer and transfer and the contract of the contract of Topmwed appear where Pharmed DV car where Minerales have a note corregional research

TIME DISCOURT SCRP., a corporation, as assignee of ERUCH DUDLEY, d/s/a ALABADA MOTORS,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

J. E. HEATHCOCK,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALAEMA AT LAW

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and for demurrer to said Complaint says separately and severally:

1.

From aught that appears, there was no legal consideration for said debt.

2.

From aught that appears, there was a failure of consideration for said debt.

3.

It affirmatively appears that there is a risjoinder of causes of action in count 2 of said complaint, in that Attorney's fees sued for in Sount 2 relates to count 1 instead of count 2.

1.

It affirmatively appears that the different causes of action alleged in count 2 did not arise out of the same transaction.

5.

It affirmatively appears from said complaint that there is a misjoinder of causes of action in said complaint, in that counts 1 and 2 could not have arisen out of the same transaction, according to the alleged dates.

6.

That said complaint falls to state cause of action.

7.

That said complaint is multifurious.

8.

For aught alleged in said complaint the said instrument sued on was in default at time of assignment thereof.

9.

That for aught alleged in said complaint the original payee obtained said instrument by fraud.

For aught alleged in said complaint said instrument violated thelaws of usury.

Attorney for the Defendant

Defendant decands trial by Jury.

Attorney for the Defendant

April 7, 1954

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

ALMARIA EVELUCO

VS

Demurrers

J. E. HEATHCOCK,

FILED

APR 7 1954

- Plauwier,

DEFENDANT.

ALICE L. DUCK, Clark

C. LeNoir Thempson At Law Bay Minette, Alabama

TIME DISCOUNT CORP., a corporation, as assignee of BRUCE DUDLAY, d/b/a ALABANA MOTORS,

PLAINTLEF,

J. E. HEATHOOCK,

VS

DEFENDANT.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BAIDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW

Comes the Defendant and for anser to each count of said complaint separately and severally shows unto this Honorable Court as follows:

1.

He denies the allegations thereof as to each count, which are unnumbered, separately and severally.

2.

The Defendant saith that the said note upon which the action is founded is usurious and void for the interest thereon.

3.

And further the Defendant, as a defense to the action of the Plaintiff says that at the time said action was commenced the Plaintiff was indebted to him in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, an unliquidated demand which he hereby offers to set off against the demand of the Plaintiff.

110

Defendant further shows unto this Honorable/that Plaintiff's assignee when negotiating the sale of the said automobile subject of this suit, the price of which the Plaintiff seeks to recover falsely and fraudulently in material particulars which are set out, misrepresented the contents of the paper writings signed by the Defendant as evidence of the contract of sale and its several stipulations, in that, said contract was executed by the Defendant in blank, the Defendant relying upon said representations, then and there executed same up the representation as to what the contract would contain; and that upon receiving the goods and discovering the fraud, the Defendant rescinded the contract and returned the goods to the said Bruce Dudley d/b/a Alabama Motors, assignor to the Plaintiff herein, prior to this suit.

Defendant further saith in answer to the complaint that there was a failure of consideration, in that, the consideration of said note sued on was the sale by the said Alabama Motors to the Defendant of a certain automobile, which was sold to the said Defendant with the warranty "to be in good condition", whereas the said automobile was not in good condition and the Defendant notified the said Bruce Dudley d/b/a Alabama Motors, his agent or employer who removed said automobile from the premises of said Defendant at the Defendant's instructions and that the said automobile was not replaced under the contract with the said Bruce Dudley d/b/a Alabama Motors, whereby the said Defendant was put to great trouble, inconvenience and expense in providing temporary transportation replacing the needs for which said automobile had been purchased, all to the damages of the Defendant in the amount of Cne Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, and Defendant hereby offers to off set against the claim of said Plaintiff, assignee of Bruce Dudley d/b/a Alabama Motors and claims judgment for the excess

Defendant demands a trial by Jury.

ALICE 1. DUCK, Clerk

as assignee of MUCE DUDLEY, d/b/a
ALAEAMA MOTORS,

PLAINTIFF,

VS

J. E. HEATHCOCK,

DEFEMDANT.

Answer

C. Le Noir Thompson
Attorney At Law
Bay Minette, Alabana

[FILE]

1954

JUN 16 1954

TIME DISCOUNT CORPORATION, A Corporation, as assignee of Bruce Dudley, doing business as Alabama Motors,

Plaintiff.

VS.

J. E. HEATHCOCK.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

NO. 2209

Defendant.

DEMURRER

Now comes the Plaintiff by its attorneys, and demurs t pleas 3, 4 and 5 heretofore filed in this cause, separately and severally and as grounds for said demurrer assigns the following separately and severally:

- 1. The said plea does not present a defense to the Complaint.
 - The said plea raises an immaterial issue. 2.
- The said plea does not state with certainty the in debtedness of the Plaintiff to the Defendant.
 - 4. The said plea is uncertain.
- The said plea is uncertain as to the damages sough to be set off or recouped.
- Said plea does not set forth any facts showing fra or misrepresentation on the part of the Plaintiff or the Plainti assignor.
- Said plea does not set forth any facts which show 7. the Defendant had the right to rescind the contract.
- The debt sought to be set off by said plea sounds damages merely.
- The said plea does not set forth sufficient facts advise the Plaintiff what it will be called upon to defend again
- The said plea does not set forth facts to show that automobile referred to was not in good condition.
- The allegation in the plea that the automobile wa not in good condition is a mere conclusion of the pleader.

12. Said plea does not allege that Plaintiff was unde any obligation to replace the said automobile.

13. It affirmatively appears from said plea that the fendant requested the Plaintiff's assignor to take possession of the said automobile.

FILED

Feb. 23, 1955

ALIGE L DOCK, Clark

Attorneys for Plaintiff

and the State of t

DEMURRER

TIME DISCOUNT CORPORATION, A Corporation, as assignee of Bruce Dudley, doing business as Alabama Motors,

Plaintiff,

VS.

J. E. HEATHCOCK,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

NO. 2209

FILED

FFB 23 1955

- . anny Clark

TIME DISCOUNT CORP., a corporation, as assignee of BRUCE DUDLEY, d/b/a ALABAMA MOTORS,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

Plaintiff,

:

يسالت بالإسمال

J. E. HEATHCOCK,

Defendant.

NO.

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of, to-wit, THREE HUNDRED TWENTY-SEVEN AND 59/100 (\$327.59) DOLLARS damages for the breach of a written agreement entered into by the Defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1953, by which he promised to pay to Alabama Motors the sum of Fifty-seven and 63/100 (\$57.63) Dollars each month, commencing on the 6th day of June, 1953, and continuing on the 6th day of each month thereafter until the sum of SIX HUNDRED NINETY-ONE AND 56/100 (\$691.56) DOLLARS had been paid for the purchase of an automobile; said written instrument provided that in the event of a default in said payments, the entire amount would then become due, and the Plaintiff could seize said automobile and sell same at a public or private sale, with or without advertisement, with or without notice to the Defendant, and apply the proceeds of said sale to the remainder due under the said written instrument, and in the event of a deficiency the Defendant agreed to pay the amount of the deficiency. Plaintiff avers that said written instrument, together with all rights thereunder, was assigned to it by the said Alabama Motors, before default in said written instrument, for which a valuable consideration has been paid.

Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant defaulted in said written instrument in that he failed to make the payments provided for therein, leaving a balance of principal due of, to-wit, SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-TWO AND 59/100 (\$652.59) DOLIARS; that the automobile mentione therein was seized and sold and that the sum of THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FIVE AND NO/100 (\$325.00) DOLIARS was received for the automobile, which sum the Plaintiff alleges was the reasonable market value of

the automobile at the time of the seizure and at the time of the sale and that after applying the amount received from the sale of the said automobile to the balance due under the said written instrument, a balance of THREE HUNDRED TWENTY-SEVEN AND 59/100 (\$327.59) DOLLARS remains due and unpaid.

Plaintiff claims the benefit of a waiver of personal property exemption contained in said written instrument.

Plaintiff claims the additional sum of Sixty-five and 51/100 (\$65.51) Dollars as a reasonable attorney's fee, averring that Sixty-five and 51/100 (\$65.51) Dollars is a reasonable attorney's fee as is provided for in said written instrument.

Defendant's Address:

Brookley, Field,

(Machinist)

FILED 3-15-54

ALICE J. BUCK, Clerk

and the second to the end of the end of the present of the end of $x = x_0 + x_0 +$ BULLED SELENDED AND CONTROL OF THE BEST DOOR TO DESCRIPT AND THE SELENDED SELENDED. and the state of t interpretation who can be made to the control of th

official state of the state of

purpose to the first of the fir

este de republika kalam es kalam eske grande e kristin a akkalam de k

at a season part of the area of the property of the season of the season

JURY LIST

2009 Dine Osbor

Spring Term, March 14, 1955

NAME OCCUPATION **ADDRESS** Thomas. V. Abercrombie, Jr., butcher, Robertsdale Berglin, creamery, Fairhope) J. Carlisle Childress, merchant, Foley J. Jurliewize, merchant, Summerdale 1012 Iriving Kamper, merchant, Fairfrope Vernon Wheat, reserve fleet, Bay Minette Landie L. Langham, Newport, Bay Minette Roger Baynini, farmer, Loxley ... Robert L. Teem, farmer, Foley 12 Barnard Laurendine, laborer, Foley Edward McDaniel, farmer, Robertsdale M. Robert F. Helton corporter, Foley _15 David Golden, merchant, Foley 16) Edward W. Engel, farmer, Summerdale 17 Joseph Kral, farmer, Robertsdale 18 Grover McBride, mechanic, Foley 19 F. L. Soesbe, mechanic, Foley 20 Carl Slanghten farmer, Tensaw 21 Brad Neimier merchant Fairhope Robert T Cowles, laborer, Enithope 23 Marshalf N. Harris, farmer, Foley Charles C. Hand, Ir. real estate, Bay Minett Quitman Cooper, veteran, Bon Secour 26 John A. Ebert, clerk, Ecley Elmer G. Anderson, contractor, Fairhope 28 Max Griffin, oil distributor, Foley George Hertel, farmer, Elberta John E. Plowers, farmer, Bon Secoun Frank Knowles electricism, Bay 32 J. Hilary Brown, cobbler, Bay Minette 33 Martin Simmons, surveyor, Bay Minette 34 Herman Tau, butane work, Foley

35 Elroy McKenzie, Sr., farmer, Fairhope

36) William Gulledge, farmer, Robertsdale

37

M XXXX J

ine State of Alabama. I	Circuit Court, Baldwin County	
Baldwin County. No. 2209	TERM, 19_	
TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA.).	
J. E. Heathresek		

the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Witness my hand this

13 M day of March

1954

Much Much

Clerk

to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in

ALICE I. DUCK, Clerk

849	7
No. 2209 Page	Defendant lives at
The State of Alabama Baldwin County	
CIRCUIT COURT	Received In Office
Jame Decount Cor	6- Mar 15 1959
a. Cupp, as assigned	I have executed this summons
VS. VS.	this 3 - 1954 by leaving a copy with
J. Vancus	J.E. Heathcock
Summons and Complaint	
Filed 3-15- 19-54	
aucif-herick Clerk	
Plaintiff's Attorney	W. H. Holamb Sheris
Defendant's Attorney	W. H. Holcomb Sheris R. H. Matthew & Deputy Sheris