

THE STATE

VS.

CHARLIE GRISSETT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

SPRING TERM. 1931.

And now comes the Defendant in the above entitled cause, by his attorneys, Rickarby & Cobb, and moves the Court to set aside the ^{Verdict} judgment in this cause and grant the Defendant a new trial, and for grounds in support of said motion says:

1. The verdict of the Jury was contrary to the evidence.
2. The verdict of the Jury was contrary to the law.
3. The verdict of the Jury was contrary to the law and the evidence.
4. The Court erred in refusing to give to the Jury written charges number requested by the Defendant.
5. The Court erred in over ruling Defendant's motion to transfer this cause to the Juvenile Court of Baldwin County, Alabama.
6. The Court erred in refusing to grant the Defendant a continuance of this trial.
7. The Court erred in over ruling Defendant's motion to quash the venire in this cause.
8. The Court erred in refusing to permit Defendant to file plea of misnomer in this cause.
9. The Defendant's counsel was serving in this case by appointment of court and did not have reasonable time within which to properly prepare the defense.
10. The Defendant has newly discovered evidence which is material and which he could not with reasonable diligence have discovered and produced at the trial of this cause.

The above and foregoing motion for a new trial is overruled and denied - Motion overruled before sentence & deft. Excepts
This May 11, 1931. J. W. Hare
Judge

Rickarby & Cobb
Attys for Defendant

The State
vs
Charlie Gussert

Newton for New
York

Filed May 11/93

Robert J. Jensen

[illegible]