

THE STATE OF ALABAMA.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

-VS-

STATE OF ALABAMA

DAVID STEPHENSON

BALDWIN COUNTY.

Comes the defendant in the above styled cause and moves the court to set aside the verdict rendered in this cause and grant to this defendant a new trial and for grounds of this motion assigns the following:-

1. That the verdict is not sustained by the great preponderance of the evidence.
2. That the verdict is contrary to law.
3. That the verdict is contrary to the great weight of the evidence and to the law in the case.
4. That the defendant has newly discovered evidence, material for him, which he could not, with reasonable diligence, have discovered and produced at the trial.

Wherefore the defendant prays that said verdict be set aside and a new trial granted him in said case.

Noelborn, Horner

Atty for Defendant

The motion in the Case of The State vs David Stephenson having been duly Considered by the Court, the Court is of the opinion that the evidence offered by the State was not sufficient - to sustain a verdict, when considered along with evidence of the defendant.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decree that said motion be and the same is hereby granted

This the 23 day of April 1927

*John D. Lingle
Judge of the 1st Judicial
District of Alabama*

Front
2-2-1927
176/128
171/116