

JACOB H. REICHERT,
Plaintiff,

-VS-

JEROME H. SHEIP, Inc., and
FANNIE I. BECKER,
Defendants.

CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY.

AT LAW.

Now comes the plaintiff in the above entitled cause and moves the Court to set-aside the verdict in said cause and to grant him a new trial, and for grounds of said motion assigns separately and severally, the following:

1. Because said verdict is contrary to the evidence in the case.
2. Because said verdict is contrary to the great weight of the evidence in the case.
3. Because said verdict is contrary to the great preponderance of the evidence in said case.
4. Because said verdict is contrary to law.
5. Because said verdict is contrary to the law and the evidence in said case.
6. Because the Court erred in refusing to give the general affirmative charge requested in writing by the plaintiff.
7. Because the verdict is contrary to the undisputed evidence in the case.

Claude Hamilton

Harry J. Smith Cappy
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

June 6, 1924.

The above motion having been considered and understood by the Court, ^{the Court} is of the opinion that same is not well taken and should be overruled.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said motion be and same hereby is overruled and denied -
Done in term time at Bay Minette, Ala. this the 6th day of June 1924.

John A. Liggett
Judge of 26th Judicial Circuit
for Alabama