

State of Alabama

^{vs}
Adolph Seitz

And now comes the defendant and moves the court to set aside the verdict of the jury rendered in the above case, and to grant him a new trial and as grounds for said motion the defendant shows to the court the following

I
The verdict is contrary to the law in the case

II
The verdict is contrary to the weight of the evidence in the case

III
The verdict is contrary to the law and the evidence in the case

IV
The court erred in not granting the general charge in favor of the defendant as the case of the evidence offered on behalf of the state

V
The court erred in refusing to give to the jury charges "a" and "e" requested by the defendant

VI
The court erred in not ~~not~~ charging the jury that there was no evidence that ~~unless they state~~ had proven that the still charged to be the property of the defendant was in his possession at the time of his arrest and incarceration

VII
The court erred in not charging the jury that there was no evidence that it was the team of the defendant that the officers searched and found they designated

VIII

The court erred in not protecting
 the defendant against the prejudicial
 remarks made by the solicitor in the
 closing argument ridiculing defendant
 because he had not acquired perfect
 control of our American language

Adolph Sutz
 Defendant