

STATE OF ALABAMA.

-VS-

ISAAC HAYES,

Comes the defendant and moves this court to set aside the verdict of conviction in this case and grant him a new trial and for grounds of said motion assigns the following:-

1.

That the verdict was contrary to the law and the evidence in the case

2.

That the verdict is contrary to the law of the case.

3.

That the verdict is contrary to the evidence in the case.

4.

That the court erred in not sustaining the objection of the defendant to the question propounded by the State to Witness Stuart asking said witness what he found on the premises of the defendant, it not being shown that the said witness, as Sheriff, had a legal search warrant at the time of the search.

5.

That the court erred in not sustaining the motion of the defendant to exclude the testimony of the witness Stuart which was in answer to question what said Sheriff found on the premises of the defendant, it not having been shown that said sheriff had a legal search warrant and the defendant having already objected to the question before it was answered by the Sheriff.

6.

That the court erred in not giving the affirmative charge for the defendant as requested in writing by the defendant.

STONE & STONE

Attorneys for Defendant.

May 31/21

Noted & allowed
Jury of 12

Granted - June 3/21 -
John D. Lige
Judge