

*James etc*  
 Frank Fessler, plaintiff, )  
 vs. ) Circuit Court, Fall Term, 1912.  
 D. R. Peteet & S. A. Scott, ( )  
 defendant. )

Now comes the plaintiff and moves the court to set aside the verdict in the above entitled cause and grant him a new trial upon each of the following separate grounds:

- First. The verdict was contrary to the evidence.  
 Second. The verdict was contrary to the law.  
 Third. The verdict was contrary to the law and the evidence.  
 Fourth. The verdict was contrary to the charge of the Court.

*Leslie Hall*  
 Attorney for Plaintiff.

W. S. Anderson *Eng*  
 Attorney for defendants.

Nov. 27<sup>th</sup> 1912 -

*Motion overruled + Refr w/cpts*

*A. E. Gamble*  
*Judge*