

Slaughter,
 Archer W. and Dupree Slaughter,)
 Plaintiffs.)
 VS) Circuit Court, Baldwin County.
 Dan Jones,)
 Defendant.) Fall Term 1901.

Come the Plaintiffs in the above stated Cause, and move the Court to grant them a new trial in the above stated Cause, on the following grounds:

1st.

The verdict of the Jury was contrary to the evidence.

2nd.

The verdict of the Jury was contrary to the law in this, that the Plaintiffs showed title to a distinct and definitely described piece of land sued for in the complaint, and the verdict of the Jury was in favor of the defendant.

Further in this: that the legal title to the land sued for was shown by uncontradicted evidence to be in the plaintiffs, and the jury, in disregard of the law, and the rights of the plaintiffs, found its verdict in favor of the defendant.

further in this: that the court gave the general affirmative charge in favor of the defendants, and in disregard of this charge, the jury found in favor of the defendant.

3rd

The Court erred in its charges to the Jury in this, that it left to the Jury the question of the sufficiency of the description of the land in the defendant's possession, when the Court should have, as a matter of law, declared the description sufficient.

The court further erred, in that its written charges given at the request of the plaintiffs, were contradicted by its general charge, and by the written charges given at the request of the defendant.

Rose & Mullins,
 Attys for the Plaintiffs.

Filed November 6th, 1901

This Motion is granted on the condition that Plaintiff pays all the costs of this cause - This Nov 8th 1901

Att's Audited It is allowed 30 days to file objections