

State } Charge Grand Larceny
 vs. } March 31st 1897
 Jim Price }

Cornes the defendant and moves the court to discharge him because at the time the jury brought in the verdict in this cause he was not present but the said verdict was brought in by the jury and the jury thereupon discharged by the court with out his consent while he was in the corner of the court house and back of the jury some eight or ten feet ~~at the time they returned their verdict and were discharged as aforesaid~~ and he was in the custody of the Sheriff, handcuffed, in said corner of the court house at said time

Stewart Brooks Esq.
 Solicitor
 Contra

Oscar Hall & Charles Bromberg Esq.
 Attys for Jim Price

State } Charge Grand Larceny
 vs. } April 1st 1897
 Jim Price }

Cornes the defendant and moves the court ~~to grant him a new trial and to set the verdict of the~~ jury in this case aside and to grant him a new trial

1st Because the verdict returned by the jury was not the verdict of the jury

2nd Because some of the jury agreed to return the verdict in this cause under the mistaken idea that it was the proper thing to do under the circumstances and did not believe beyond all reasonable doubt that defendant was guilty and so stated their belief at the time they consented to return said verdict

3rd Because the court erred in admitting evidence in this cause.

4th Because the court erred in refusing to charge the jury as requested by the defendant

Stewart Brooks Esq.
 Solicitor Contra

Oscar Hall & Charles Bromberg Esq.
 Attys for Jim Price

Motion refused & apt excepted
 Reported
 April 1/97
 Wm. J. Bromberg
 Judge