

J. R. Pressley, ^{Plff.}
 vs.
 Louisville & Nashville
 Railroad Company
 Def.

Spring Term, 1893.

Now comes the defendant and moves the court to set off a judgment in favor of the defendant against the plaintiff in the sum of Thirty One & 7/100 Dollars rendered at the Spring Term, 1893, of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: the 3rd day of May, 1893, against the judgment rendered in the above stated cause at the present term of the court in the sum of Twenty five Dollars and costs.

Guy C. Sibley, Esq.
 Counsel

Chas. P. Jones &
 Benj. Helmer
 For Motion

Granted
 Jones & Helmer

Samuel L. Willey

Spring term 1893

or
 W. H. Gasque

Now comes the plaintiff Samuel H. Willey and moves the court to grant him a new trial in this cause on

the ground that the court erred in its charge to the jury in that the court in substance instructed the jury that if it was shown to the Judge of Probate W. H. Gasque that plaintiff consented to the marriage of his daughter under eighteen years of age, by proof that was reasonably satisfactory to him when he issued the marriage license, there could be no recovery in this case even though the jury ~~might~~ might believe from the evidence that plaintiff did not in fact consent to said marriage and that defendant issued the license without requiring the consent of the parents or guardian to the marriage of