KENNETH EUGENE SKEEN,

Plaintiff.

VS.

WILLIAM DODSON, CAROLYN DODSON,
BEATRICE ANDERSON, JAMES HORAK,
INDIVIDUALLY, AND D/B/A GRAND
VIEW SPORT PARACHUTE CENTER, A
PARTNERSHIP, GRAND VIEW SPORT
PARACHUTE CENTER, INC., A CORPORATION, A, A PERSON, B, MANUFACTURER OF A PARACHUTE WHOSE
NAME AND LEGAL IDENTITY IS OTHERWISE UNKNOWN AND WILL BE ADDED BY
AMENDMENT, C, THE DISTRIBUTORS OF
A PARACHUTE MANUFACTURED BY B,
WHOSE NAME AND LEGAL IDENTITY IS
OTHERWISE UNKNOWN AND WILL BE ADDED
BY AMENDMENT.

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
OF BALDWIN COUNTY,
ALABAMA

AT LAW

CASE NO. 11 366

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. The Plaintiff is a resident citizen of the State of California, residing at 333 First Street, Seal Beach, California, and at the time the cause of action arose was a member of the Armed Forces of the United States of America stationed at Ellis Field, Pensacola, Florida and the Defendants WILLIAM DODSON, CAROLYN DODSON, BEATRICE ANDERSON, JAMES HORAK and Defendant GRAND VIEW SPORT PARACHUTE CENTER, INC., are residents of Baldwin County, Alabama.
- 2. The matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$10,000.00).
- 3. The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000.00) damages, for that heretofore and on, to-wit: February 27, 1971, the Defendants so negligently operated a parachute jumping school that after one hour and forty-five minutes instruction induced the plaintiff to jump from an airplane owned by or under the control of the Defendants at a point over the Foley Municipal Airport, Foley, Baldwin County, Alabama, so as to cause or allow Plaintiff to fall at an unsafe rate of descent to the ground, and the Plaintiff alleges that as a direct and proximate consequence of the Defendants, as aforesaid, he was injured and damaged as follows: He received a broken vertebrae which severed

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

KENNETH EUGENE SKEEN		(
	Plaintiff	(
VS		(CIVIL ACTION NO: 10,266
WILLIAM DODSON	, et al	(
	Defendants	(

ORDER OF DISMISSAL FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

This cause coming on to be heard on Defendants' motion to dismiss the action for failure of the Plaintiff to prosecute, and it appearing to the Court that the Plaintiff has failed to prosecute the action with due diligence, it is,

ORDERED that this action be and it is hereby dismissed with prejudice for want of prosecution, with cost to the Plaintiff.

This the 1720 day of October, 1973.

Telfair J. Mashburn, Judge
Baldwin County Circuit Court

Minute Book 13 Page 283