ROBERT T. JERKINS, a minor suing herein by	χ	
W. R. JERKINS, as his Father and next friend,	χ	
Plaintiff,	χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	χ	
vs.	X	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
	χ	
POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., a corporation, and LEE FRED ODOM,	X ~~	AT LAW NO. 9945
	χ	
Defendants.	χ	427

INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED TO THE DEFENDANT POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC.

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and propounds the following Interrogatories to the Defendant Poole Truck Line, Inc.:

- 1. Please state your correct corporate name.
- 2. Were you, on the 21st day of June, 1971, the owner of a 1966 Mack Truck, Identification Number R607T-1507, bearing Alabama license tag number 21X7-263 for the year 1971 which was involved in an accident in Baldwin County, Alabama on said date on U. S. Highway Number 90 approximately 2 miles South of Loxley in Baldwin County, Alabama, at the intersection of said Highway with DuBose Road?
- 3. If the answer to the above Interrogatory is in the affirmative, please state whether you were the owner of the trailer attached to said truck.
- 4. If your answer to the foregoing Interrogatory is in the affirmative, please state whether there was any cargo on said trailer, the type of cargo, if any, and the owner of such cargo.
- 5. On June 21, 1971, was Lee Fred Odom your agent, servant or employee?

- 6. If your answer to the foregoing Interrogatory is in the affirmative, then please state whether Lee Fred Odom was, on that day, involved in an accident on U. S. Highway Number 90 approximately 2 miles South of Loxley in Baldwin County, Alabama, at the intersection of said Highway with DuBose Road, while driving the tractor referred to in Interrogatory Number 2.
- 7. If your answer to the foregoing Interrogatory is in the affirmative, was Lee Fred Odom at said time and place acting within the line and scope of his employment as your agent, servant or employee?

CHASON, STONE & CHASON

у:_____

ttorneys for Plaint

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Norborne C. Stone, Jr., who is known to me and who, after being by me first duly and legally sworn, did depose and say under oath as follows:

That he is one of the attorneys of record for the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and that the answers to the Interrogatories hereinabove propounded to the Defendant, Poole Truck Line, Inc., will be material evidence in the cause.

orbonne C. Stone, Jr.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 22^{-4} day of July, 1971.

Lula 21. Brock

Notary Public, Baldwin County, Alabama

JUL 22 1971

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

Received in office this the day of
, 19
I have executed the within by leaving a copy
thereof witth Ma Am Mice
Stale Fuch from
Gesendant named herein, on this the 23
$1 \sim 1 \sim 1 \sim 10^{-7}$
NAMES M. BROCK, Sheriff
day of AMES M. BROCK, Sheriff By A Lance Deput

ROBERT T. JERKINS, a minor suing herein by W. R. JERKINS, as his Father and next friend,

Plaintiff,

vs.

POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., a corporation, and LEE FRED ODOM,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED TO THE DEFENDANT, POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC.

CHASON, STONE & CHASON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P. O. BOX 120
BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

ROBERT T. JERKINS, a minor suing herein by W. R. JERKINS, as his

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Father and next friend,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

Plaintiff,

vs.

AT LAW

POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., a corporation and LEE FRED ODOM,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 9945

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

Comes now the defendant POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC. in the above captioned cause and for answer to the interrogatories propounded to it states as follows:

- l. Poole Truck Line, Inc.
- 2. Yes.
- 3. Yes.
- There was a cargo on the trailer. The cargo consisted of bags of cement which were owned by Ideal Cement Company of Mobile.
 - 5. Yes.
 - 6. Yes.
 - 7. Yes.

POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC.

STATE OF ALABAMA

COUNTY OF

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned authority in and for said county in said state, Conecuh County, Alabama , whose name as Walter Poole of Poole Truck Line, Inc., who is known to me and who, after having been duly sworn, on oath, stated that he has read the foregoing answers to interrogatories previously propounded to Poole Truck Line, Inc. by the plaintiff in this law suit, and that the answers are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Given this ____ day of May, 1972.

MAY 8 1972

Notary Public

EUNICE B. BLACKIMON CIRCUM

EVOL 69 PAGE COSE

ROBERT T. JERKINS, a minor suing * IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF herein by W. R. JERKINS, as his Father and next friend, * BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA Plaintiff, * *

POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., a corporation and LEE FRED ODOM, *

Defendants. * CASE NO. 9945

<u>ANSWER</u>

Come now the defendants in the above styled cause and for answer to the plaintiff's complaint therein and to each count thereof, separately and severally, make and file the following separate and several pleas:

- 1. Not guilty.
- Defendant denies the material allegations thereof.

INGE, TWITTY, DUFFY & PRINCE

By June The Sydney R. Prince, III

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this 5th day of June, 1972.

Aftorney for Defendants

WILED

JUH 9 1972

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

ROBERT T. JERKINS, a minor suing herein by) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
W. R. JERKINS, as his Father and next friend,) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Plaintiff,) AT LAW
vs.))
POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., a corporation, and LEE FRED ODOM,)))
Defendants) CASE NO. 9945

DEMURRER

Comes now the defendants POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC. and LEE FRED ODOM in the above captioned cause, and demurs to the complaint of the plaintiff heretofore filed against him in said cause, and to each count thereto, separately and severally, and for grounds of demurrer sets down and assigns the following, separately and severally, to-wit:

- 1. That it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
- 2. For that negligence is therein alleged merely as a conclusion of the pleader.
- 3. For that it is vague, indefinite and uncertain, in that it does not apprise this defendant with sufficient certainty against what act or acts of negligence this defendant is called on to defend.
- 4. For that it does not appear with sufficient certainty what duty, if any, defendant may have owed to the plaintiff.
- 5. For that it does not appear with sufficient certainty wherein defendant violated any duty he may have owed to the plaintiff.
- 6. For that it does not sufficiently appear that the defendant owed any duty to the plaintiff which defendant negligently failed to perform.
- 7. For that there does not appear sufficient causal connection between defendants's aid breach of duty and plaintiff's injuries and damages.
- 8. No facts are alleged to show that plaintiff sustained any damage or injury as the proximate result of any negligence or breach of duty on the part of the defendant.

- 9. It is not alleged with sufficient certainty where said accident occurred.
- 10. It is not alleged that the negligence complained of proximately caused the accident, the injuries and damages complained of.
 - 11. The averments thereof are conflicting and repugnant.
- 12. For that no causal connection appears between the defendant's alleged negligence and the injuries and damages complained of by the plaintiff.
- 13. For that it is not alleged that the willful or wanton conduct complained of proximately caused the accident, the injuries and damages complained of.
- 14. For that the facts averred in said complaint do not constitute willful or wanton negligence.
- 15. For aught that appears from said complaint, plaintiff's injuries and damages were not the direct and proximate result of any willful or wanton negligence on the part of this defendant.
- 16. For that it is not alleged that this defendant willfully or wantonly injured the plaintiff.
- 17. For that the willful or wanton conduct complained of is but the conclusion of the plaintiff with no facts alleged in support thereof.

INGE, TWITTY, DUFFY & PRINCE

Y: / / C(C)

SYDNEY R. PRINCE. III

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsul for all parties to this proceeding, by mailing the same to each by First Class United States Mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on this 20 day of 200, 1975.

Attorney for

FILED

AUG 26 1971

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

STATE OF ALABAMA

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT - LAW SIDE

BALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon Poole Truck Line,
Inc., a corporation, and Lee Fred Odom, to appear within thirty
days from the service of this Writ in the Circuit Court to be
held for said County at the place of holding same, then and there
to answer the complaint of Robert T. Jerkins, a minor suing herein
by W. R. Jerkins, as his Father and next friend.

Witness my hand this 20 day of July, 1971.

Eunic Blackma)

ROBERT T. JERKINS, a minor suing herein by	χ	
W. R. JERKINS, as his Father and next friend,	χ χ	
Plaintiff,	γ χ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	χ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
	χ	
POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., a corporation, and LEE FRED ODOM, Defendants.	X	AT LAW 9945
	χ	AI DAW / / /O
	χ	
	X	

COUNT ONE

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of
Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) as damages for that on heretofore, to-wit: the 21st day of June, 1971, at a point on U. S.
Highway Number 90 approximately 2 miles South of Loxley in
Baldwin County, Alabama, at its intersection with DuBose Road,

the Defendant Lee Fred Odom, the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant Poole Truck Line, Inc., a corporation, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such so negligently operated a motor vehicle as to cause or allow the same to run into upon and against an automobile in which the Plaintiff was then and there riding and as a proximate consequence and result of the negligence of the Defendant Lee Fred Odom aforesaid, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant Poole Truck Line, Inc., a corporation, the Plaintiff was seriously and permanently injured in this: he suffered a concussion of the brain; the muscles in his neck and spine were injured and damaged; he received severe lacerations to his head and scalp; he was permanently scarred and disfigured about his face and head; his right elbow was lacerated; he suffered severe contusions to various parts of his body; he suffered shock and loss of memory; he was caused to be hospitalized; he suffered and continues to suffer pain and mental anguish; all to the damage to the Plaintiff, wherefore, he brings this suit and asks judgment in the above amount.

COUNT TWO

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) as damages for that on here-tofore, to-wit: the 21st day of June, 1971, at a point on U. S. Highway Number 90 approximately 2 miles South of Loxley in Baldwin County, Alabama, at its intersection with DuBose Road, the Defendant Lee Fred Odom, the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant Poole Truck Line, Inc., a corporation, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as such, willfully or wantonly injured the Plaintiff by then and there so willfully or wantonly operating a motor vehicle as to cause or allow the same to run into, upon or against an automobile in which the

Plaintiff was then and there riding and as a proximate consequence and result of such willful or wanton misconduct of the Defendant Lee Fred Odom aforesaid, while acting within the line and scope of his employment as the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant Poole Truck Line, Inc., a corporation, the Plaintiff was seriously and permanently injured in this: he suffered a concussion of the brain; the muscles in his neck and spine were injured and damaged; he received severe lacerations to his head and scalp; he was permanently scarred and disfigured about his face and head; his right elbow was lacerated; he suffered severe contusions to various parts of his body; he suffered shock and loss of memory; he was caused to be hospitalized; he suffered and continues to suffer pain and mental anguish; all to the damage to the Plaintiff, wherefore, he brings this suit and asks judgment in the above amount.

By: Attorneys for Plaintiff

The Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial of this cause by a jury.

EVOL 69 PAGE 840

Ex 8-30-7)

9945

ROBERT T. JERKINS, a minor suing herein by W. R. JERKINS, as his Father and next friend,

Plaintiff,

vs.

POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., a corporation, and LEE FRED ODOM,

Defendants

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

* * * * * * * * * * * * *

CHASON, STONE & CHASON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P. O. BOX 120

BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

Received 22 day of Received 30 day of Received a copy of the within 840

By service on TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff
By It. When the Service of the William of the