

81373

12-11

9923

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO.
OF ALABAMA, a corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA MAE
DAVIS, jointly and separately,

Defendants.

(IN THE COURT OF GENERAL
(SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY,
(ALABAMA,

(

(

(

(

CASE NO. _____

Plaintiff claims of the defendants, jointly and separately, the
following personal property, viz:

1 walnut bookcase; 1 recliner chair, green; 1 piano, Gulbrenses;
2 radios, Trueton; 3 oval loop rugs; 4 modern table lamps;
2 television sets - one 23" Motorola, one 12" Catalina;
1 mahogany buffet; 6 mahogany chairs; 1 mahogany china closet;
1 mahogany serving table; 1 mahogany table; 1 set Rodgers silverware;
1 set china; 1 Morse sewing machine; 1 Hoover vacuum cleaner;
1 Spped Queen washing machine; 1 Philco clothes dryer;
1 roll-away-bed; 2 twin beds; 2 chest of drawers - one white and
one mahogany;

together with the hire or use thereof during the detention of same from
to-wit: August 1, 1969.

PERLOFF & REID

BY: *Charles A. Reid*
Attorneys for Plaintiff

defendants may be served:

*104 Oak Street
Birmingham Ala*

COURT OF GENERAL
SESSIONS
NOV 15 2 16 PM '69

DETINUE WITHOUT BOND

REPORT TO GENERAL SESSIONS
COURTROOM "B" FIRST FLOOR

SUMMONS

The State of Alabama,
MOBILE COUNTY

To the Sheriff of Mobile County—Greetings:

You are hereby commanded to summon Harlan E. Davis and Lula Mae Davis

to be and appear before the Court of General Sessions of Mobile County at the Courthouse of Mobile County, on the 11 day of December, 19 69 at the hour of 930 A.M., then and there to answer a complaint of Beneficial Finance Co.

in an action of DETINUE not exceeding Five Hundred Dollars.

Herein fail not and have you then and there this precept with your doings.

Witness my hand this _____ day of NOV 14 1969, 19 _____

J. D. Richardson
Clerk of the Court of General Sessions of Mobile County

Cause of Action: _____

DETINUE WITHOUT BOND

Atty. for Pltf. Perloff and Reid

Atty. for Deft.

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
OF MOBILE COUNTY

No. 81373

Ret. December 11, 19 69

Beneficial Finance Co. of Ala., a Corp.

Plaintiff

vs.

Harlan E. Davis and Lula Mae Davis
104 Oak St.
Robertsdale, Ala.

Defendant

Executed by Service on

Defendant

This day of , 19

Sheriff Mobile County

By , Deputy

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO.
OF ALABAMA, a corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA MAE
DAVIS, jointly and separately,

Defendants.

(IN THE COURT OF GENERAL
(SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY,
(ALABAMA,

(CASE NO. _____

Plaintiff claims of the defendants, jointly and separately, the
following personal property, viz:

1 walnut bookcase; 1 recliner chair, green; 1 piano, Gulbrenses;
2 radios, Trueton; 3 oval loop rugs; 4 modern table lamps;
2 television sets - one 23" Motorola, one 12" Catalina;
1 mahogany buffet; 6 mahogany chairs; 1 mahogany china closet;
1 mahogany serving table; 1 mahogany table; 1 set Rodgers silverware;
1 set china; 1 Morse sewing machine; 1 Hoover vacuum cleaner;
1 Spped Queen washing machine; 1 Philco clothes dryer;
1 roll-away-bed; 2 twin beds; 2 chest of drawers - one white and
one mahogany;

together with the hire or use thereof during the detention of same from
to-wit: August 1, 1969.

PERLOFF & REID

BY: _____
Attorneys for Plaintiff

defendants may be served:

*107 Oak St
Birmingham Ala*

DETINUE WITHOUT BOND

REPORT TO GENERAL SESSIONS
COURTROOM "B" FIRST FLOOR

SUMMONS

The State of Alabama,
MOBILE COUNTY

To the Sheriff of Mobile County—Greetings:

You are hereby commanded to summon Harlan E. Davis and Lois Mae Davis

to be and appear before the Court of General Sessions of Mobile County at the Courthouse of Mobile County, on the 11 day of December, 19 69 at the hour of 930 A.M., then and there to answer a complaint of Beneficial Finance Co.

in an action of DETINUE not exceeding Five Hundred Dollars.

Herein fail not and have you then and there this precept with your doings.

Witness my hand this _____ day of _____, 19____

NOV 14 1969

J. D. Richardson
Clerk of the Court of General Sessions of Mobile County

Cause of Action: _____

~~7/10/69~~
DETINUE WITHOUT BOND

Release
Atty. for Pltff. **Perloff and Reid**

Atty. for Deft.

**THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
OF MOBILE COUNTY**

No. 81373

Ret. December 11, 19 69

Beneficial Finance Co. of Ala., a Corp.

vs.

Harlan E. Davis Plaintiff

**Harlan E. Davis and Lula Mae Davis
104 Oak St.
Robertsdale, Ala.**

Lula Mae Davis Defendant

Executed by Service on

*Harlan E. Davis &
Lula Mae Davis* Defendant

This 24 day of Nov., 1969

Sheriff Mobile County

By _____, Deputy

107 Hall

MOBILE COUNTY, ALA.
REC'D SHERIFF, SEPT.
MOBILE COUNTY, ALA.
DEC 5 8 43 AM '69
BY

RECEIVED

696161 NOV

Sheriff claims 50
Ten Cents per mile Total \$ 5.00 miles at
BY *Harlan E. Davis*
TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff
DEPUTY SHERIFF

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF
ALABAMA, a corporation

Plaintiff

VS

HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA MAE
DAVIS, jointly and individually

Defendants

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
OF MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA

CASE NO. 81,373

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter and for replication to the defendants motion to stay, shows unto this Honorable Court as follows:

1. That heretofore and on, to-wit: the 26th day of September, 1969, the Defendants filed a petition of straight bankruptcy as mentioned in defendants suggestion and motion to stay.
2. That in said petition, the property, the basis of the law suit, was claimed as exempt.
3. That said property has been set aside by the Trustee as exempt and approved by the Court as exempt.
4. That the said property is now without the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, your Plaintiff prays that defendants motion to stay will be denied and this cause allowed to proceed.

PERLOFF & REID

BY: Perloff & Reid

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this 22nd day of December, 1969, served a copy of the foregoing pleading on counsel for all parties to this proceeding by mailing the same by United States mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid.

Perloff & Reid

COURT OF GENERAL
SESSION
DEC 30 10 40 AM '69

PERLOFF & REID

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

205 VAN ANTWERP BLDG.

MOBILE, ALABAMA 36602

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IN SENATE
CHAMBER
OF THE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
WASHINGTON, D. C.
JANUARY 10, 1933

SEN. J. H. HENRY

THE SENATE OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DOES HEREBY RESOLVE THAT THE SENATE
DOES NOT CONSENT TO THE PASSAGE OF
THE BILL FOR THE PURPOSE OF
AMENDING THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CODE, CHAPTER 22, SECTION 22-201,
AS AMENDED, TO READ AS FOLLOWS:
"SECTION 22-201. No person shall
be employed by the District of Columbia
as a police officer unless he shall
be a citizen of the United States
and shall have been duly sworn
as an officer of the police of the
District of Columbia."

REPORT OF THE
COMMISSIONER OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
POLICE DEPARTMENT

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
POLICE DEPARTMENT
WASHINGTON, D. C.

81373

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
JAN 10 1933

RECEIVED
JAN 10 1933

GIBBONS & STOKES

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
160 CONGRESS STREET
MOBILE, ALABAMA
TELEPHONE 433-2611

E. GRAHAM GIBBONS
B. F. STOKES, III
WILLIAM L. HOWELL

MAILING ADDRESS
P. O. BOX 293
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36601

July 6, 1970

Honorable Thomas F. Sweeney
Judge, Court of General Sessions
Mobile County
Mobile County Courthouse
Mobile, Alabama

Re: Case #81373 Beneficial Finance Company of Alabama vs.
Harlan E. Davis and Lula Mae Davis, jointly and
individually

Dear Judge Sweeney:

I submit to you for your consideration the following memorandum of law on the question of whether a suggestion of bankruptcy is a "general appearance".


In Manor vs. Manor, 189 So. 2d 336 the Court showed a disposition against the assuming or concluding a general appearance from the mere presentation of special matters for the Court's consideration as follows: "A defendant has the right to limit his appearance, in an appearance made for a special purpose ought not to be held to give the court jurisdiction over the defendant except to the extent of hearing and determining the question of which he specially presents to it for its consideration. Accord: Oliver vs. Kenny, 56 So. 203, ex parte Haisten, 149 So. 213.

In the ex parte Haisten case 149 So. 213 the Court was impressed with the fact that the defendant took precaution to limit its appearance for the purpose of "making the motion, and for no other purpose, and without submitting itself to the jurisdiction of this Court." The Court held that the defendant has an absolute right to limit his appearance and that such appearance will be so limited less such appearance goes too far as to constitute the appearance a general appearance. However, the Court went further and said even if the purported special appearance goes a step too far as to constitute it a general

July 6, 1970

appearance, the Court in its sound discretion can nevertheless treat it as a special appearance if the ends of justice will be better satisfied. Thus, even if our appearance oversteps the bounds of a special appearance, it is a matter of sound discretion of the Court to view the appearance as a special appearance and act according.

Respectfully submitted,


William L. Howell

WLH:he

81373

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF)	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL
ALABAMA, a corporation)	SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY,
Plaintiff)	ALABAMA
VS)	
HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA MAE)	
DAVIS, jointly and individually)	
Defendants)	Case No. 81373

Come now the defendants in the above styled cause, appearing for the sole, special, exclusive and limited purpose of filing the within suggestion of bankruptcy and motion and for no other, further or different purpose and without submitting to the jurisdiction of this Court, and in fact, specifically denying that this Court has jurisdiction of this matter, and insisting upon and reserving the right at a later date, if indicated, to file a plea in abatement to this cause, ^{and} say and show to this Honorable Court that heretofore on, to-wit, September 26, 1969, a petition in straight bankruptcy was filed by defendant Harlan E. Davis in the U. S. District Court for the Southern District of Alabama, Southern Division, Mobile, Alabama, Case No. 28,687 and that at the same time and in the same Court, defendant herein, Lula Mae Davis, also filed a petition in straight bankruptcy, Case No. 28,688; that the plaintiff herein was listed as a creditor in said proceeding and that all or a portion of the property included in plaintiff's law suit in detinue was included in said bankruptcy schedules; that said bankruptcy proceeding is still pending in said U. S. District Court and

WHEREFORE, defendants move this Honorable Court to stop and stay all proceedings herein, pending determination and conclusion of said bankruptcy proceedings.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 10 day of Dec -, 1969, a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all adverse parties to this proceeding by mailing the same to each by first class U. S. mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid.

B. F. Weller, Jr.
ATTORNEY FOR Defo -

B. F. Weller, Jr.
Attorney for Defendants

DEC 11 3 30 PM '69

[illegible]
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{dv^2}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{d}{dt} (v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(2v_x \frac{dv_x}{dt} + 2v_y \frac{dv_y}{dt} + 2v_z \frac{dv_z}{dt} \right) \\ &= \rho \left(v_x \frac{dv_x}{dt} + v_y \frac{dv_y}{dt} + v_z \frac{dv_z}{dt} \right) \end{aligned}$$
[illegible]

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO., OF	*	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
ALABAMA, a corporation,	*	OF MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA
Plaintiff	*	
vs		AT LAW
HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA MAE		
DAVIS, jointly & individually,*	*	CASE NO. 81,373
Defendants		


Comes now the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter by his attorney and propounds the following interrogatories to the Defendants, HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA MAE DAVIS, jointly and individually:

1. State your name.
2. State whether you are in possession of the following items of personal property, subject matter of this law suit presently pending:
 - a. One walnut bookcase
 - b. One recliner chair (green)
 - c. One Gulbrenses piano
 - d. Two Truetone radios
 - e. Three oval loop rugs
 - f. Four modern table lamps
 - g. Two twin beds
 - h. One 23" Motorola television
 - i. One 12" Catalina television
 - j. One mahogany buffet
 - k. Six mahogany chairs
 - l. One mahogany table
 - m. One Rodgers set silverware
 - m. One set china
 - o. One Morse sewing machine
 - p. One Hoover vacuum cleaner
 - q. One Speed Queen washing machine
 - r. One Philco clothes dryer
 - s. One roll-away-bed
 - t. Two chests of drawers - one white & one mahogany
3. Where you in possession of these items of personal property at the time the suit was filed?
4. What, in your opinion, is the reasonable market value of the following items of personal property which are mentioned hereinabove and are the same items which are the subject matter of this law suit?

COURT OF GENERAL
SESSION


APR 14 10 28 AM '70

5. If you are not in possession of the items of personal property mentioned hereinabove give their whereabouts and location if known.



DONALD M. BRISKMAN, Attorney
for Plaintiff

STATE OF ALABAMA
COUNTY OF MOBILE

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared DONALD M. BRISKMAN, who being by me first duly sworn deposes and says that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff, BENEVIDIAL FINANCE COMPANY OF ALABAMA, a corporation, in the above entitled cause and that the answers to the above and foregoing interrogatories, if well and truly made, will be material evidence for the Plaintiff at a trial of this cause.


DONALD M. BRISKMAN

Subscribed and sworn to before me
on this the 13 day of April, 1970.



Notary Public

Serve:

Gibbons & Stokes, Atty. for Defendant
160 Congress Street
Mobile, Alabama

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this 13
day of April, 1970, served
copy of the foregoing pleading on counsel for
parties to this proceeding by mailing the same
by United States mail, properly addressed, and
first class postage prepaid.



8/3/23

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF
ALABAMA, a corporation

Plaintiff

VS

HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA MAE
DAVIS, jointly and individually)

Defendants)

) IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

) OF MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA

) AT LAW

Case No. 81,373

Come now the defendants in the above styled cause,
appearing for the sole and limited purpose of plea in abatement
and for no other or different purpose and say as follows, to-wit:

That for the past five years or more, continuously and
without interruption, both defendants have been bona fide resi-
dent citizens of Baldwin County, Alabama, being registered voters
of that County.

WHEREFORE, affiants move the Court to abate this cause.

Harlan E. Davis
Harlan E. Davis

Lula Mae Davis
Lula Mae Davis

Sworn and subscribed to before me on this 28 day of
May, 1970.

B. F. Stokes, III
Notary Public

B. F. Stokes, III
B. F. Stokes, III
Attorney for Defendants

service accepted
Donald Brishman
Atty for plaintiff
5-28-70

5/28/70
10:00 AM
R

8/3/73

Law Offices of
PERLOFF, REID & BRISKMAN
257 ST. ANTHONY STREET
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36603

MAYER W. PERLOFF
T. DWIGHT REID
DONALD M. BRISKMAN

April 6, 1971

AREA CODE 205
TELEPHONE 433-5412

Court of General Sessions
Mobile County Courthouse
Mobile, Alabama, 36601


Re: #81373 - Beneficial Finance Co. vs. DAVIS, Harlan E.

Gentlemen:

defendant's
Sometime ago the/plea in abatement was granted in the above matter.

Please transfer this case to the Baldwin County Circuit Court and
advise me when this has been done.

Yours very truly,



DONALD M. BRISKMAN

/rms

1972 10 10

1. The first part of the report is a summary of the work done during the period from 1st July to 31st September 1972.

2. The second part of the report is a detailed account of the work done during the period from 1st October to 31st December 1972.

3. The third part of the report is a summary of the work done during the period from 1st January to 31st March 1973.

4. The fourth part of the report is a summary of the work done during the period from 1st April to 31st June 1973.

5. The fifth part of the report is a summary of the work done during the period from 1st July to 31st September 1973.

6. The sixth part of the report is a summary of the work done during the period from 1st October to 31st December 1973.

81323

THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY

Case No. # 81373

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALA., (
A CORPORATION)

Plaintiff, (

VS (

HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA MAE)
DAVIS)

Defendant.)

Amount of Claim:)

Filed:

November 13, 1969

Summons Issued:

November 14, 1969

Returnable:

December 11, 1969

Service Had:

November 24, 1969

Cause of Action:

Detinue Without Bond

Attorney for Plaintiff: PERLOFF & REID

Attorney for Defendant: BEN STOKES

January 22, 1970 - Pleadings
December 11, 1969 - Defendant's Motion to Stay filed
December 30, 1969 - Plaintiff's Replication to Defendant's Motion to Stay filed
February 5, 1970 - Pleadings
February 5, 1970 - Motion to Stay Denied

Thomas F Sweeney

February 19, 1970 - Set for Trial
March 19, 1970 - Set for Trial
April 2, 1970 - Trial - Last Setting
April 16, 1970 - TRIAL
April 14, 1970 - Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant Filed
May 28, 1970 - Pleadings
May 28, 1970 - Plea in Abatement filed
June 11, 1970 - Pleadings
May 28, 1970 - Defendant's Plea in Abatement filed
June 25, 1970 - Pleadings
July 23, 1970 - Pleadings
July 31, 1970 - Plea in Abatement Granted

Thomas F Sweeney

April 8, 1971 - Cause Transferred to Baldwin County Circuit Court -(See letter in file)

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the above styled cause, as it appears on record and in the files of The Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Alabama.

Witness my hand this the 13 day of July, 1971.

J. D. Richardson

Clerk of The Court of General Sessions
of Mobile County,
Alabama.

COST BILLPERLOFF & REID
ATTORNEYS FOR PLTF:—

General Sessions (Civil Div.) Court of Mobile, Mobile County Court House, Mobile, Ala.

~~BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALABAMA, A CORPORATION~~Plaintiff
HARLAN E. DAVIS AND LULA MAE DAVIS

Defendant

CASE NO. 81373

Garnishee

COURT FEES

Summons and proceedings thereon to judgment

\$1.00

Docketing each cause

.10

Law Library Fee

1.00

Garnishment

TOTAL \$

SHERIFF'S FEES

Levying Attachment

6.00

Entering and returning same

.25

Summoning Garnishee and making return

1.50

Serving Summons and other mesne process, and returning the same

1.50

Collecting execution for costs only

1.50

Serving any summons not herein provided for, and making return

1.50

TOTAL \$ 13.85

GRAND TOTAL

\$

I respectfully call your attention to the above Court Cost Bill which if not paid by
19_____, it will be my unpleasant duty to issue execution on your property for the recovery of the same.

J. D. Richardson, Clerk

TRANSFER

NOTICE of ~~APPEAL~~

STATE OF ALABAMA,)
MOBILE COUNTY)

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALABAMA, A CORPORATION

Plaintiff

VS.

HARLAN E. DAVIS AND LULA MAE DAVIS

Defendant

To HARLAN E. DAVIS AND LULA MAE DAVIS

in said Cause:

You are hereby notified that BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALABAMA, A CORP.

the PLAINTIFF in the above entitled cause has prayed and obtained a transfer to the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, from the COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY, and having complied with the requirements of the law in such cases made and provided, the same has been granted to the next term of the CIRCUIT COURT of Baldwin County, to be held for said County, you are hereby notified accordingly.

Given under my hand this the 14 day of July 19 71

J. D. Richardson
Clerk, Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Civil Division

Case No. 81373

FILED

JUL 15 1971

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALABAMA, A CORPORATION

ELUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT
CLERK

Plaintiff,

VS

HARLAN E. DAVIS AND LULA MAE DAVIS

Defendant.

NOTICE OF ~~APPEAL~~ Transfer

Returnable To The Circuit Court of
Baldwin County

Issued: July 14, 1971

Serve On: GIBBONS AND STOKES, Attys. for Defendants
160 Congress St.

TRANSFER

NOTICE of APPEAL

STATE OF ALABAMA,)
MOBILE COUNTY)

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALABAMA, A CORPORATION

Plaintiff

VS.

HARLAN E. DAVIS AND LULA MAE DAVIS

Defendant

To

HARLAN E. DAVIS AND LULA MAE DAVIS

in said Cause:

You are hereby notified that BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALABAMA, A CORP.

the ~~PLAINTIFF~~ in the above entitled cause has prayed and obtained a transfer to the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, from the

~~JUDGE OF THE~~ COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF MOBILE COUNTY, and having complied with the requirements of the law in such cases made and provided, the same has been granted to the next term of the CIRCUIT COURT of Baldwin County, Alabama, to be held for said County, you are hereby notified accordingly.

Given under my hand this the 1st day of July 1971

J. D. Richardson
Clerk, Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Civil Division

7/17 7/17 9923 - R

Case No. 81373

REC'D SHERIFF DEPT
MOBILE COUNTY, ALA
JUL 15 9 26 AM '71

BY

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALABAMA, A CORPORATION

Plaintiff,

VS

HARLAN E. DAVIS AND LULA MAE DAVIS

Defendant.

NOTICE OF ~~APPEAL~~ Transfer

Returnable To The Circuit Court of
Baldwin County

Issued: July 14, 1971

Serve On: GIBBONS AND STOKES, Attys. for Defendants


This 22 day of July, 1971
by serving a copy of the within on

Mr. Bridges
RAY D. BRIDGES, Sheriff
By P. Fawcett D.S.

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO.)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
OF ALABAMA, a corporation,)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
Plaintiff,)	AT LAW
vs.)	
HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA)	
MAE DAVIS,)	
Defendants.)	Case No. 9923

Comes now the plaintiff in the above styled cause and shows unto this Honorable Court that on to-wit: the 14th day of March, 1972, the above styled cause was dismissed for want of prosecution; and plaintiff moves this matter be reinstated on the trial docket and for reason therefore says as follows: that at the time this case was set for trial, the attorney handling the case was engaged in a special session of the legislature and because of his attendance at the special session, was prevented from being in Court.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that the above captioned cause be reinstated on the trial docket.


 Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED

MAR 30 1972

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT CLERK

BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO.
OF ALABAMA, a corporation

Plaintiff

VS

HARLAN E. DAVIS and LULA
MAE DAVIS

Defendants

) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
) OF BALDWIN COUNTY,
) ALABAMA.
) AT LAW.
)
) CASE NO. 9923

Comes now Ben Stokes, attorney for defendants, and moves the Court to allow him to withdraw as attorney of record for the defendants and states that he has notified the defendants by letter but that to his knowledge, said address is obsolete and movant does not know an accurate address on the defendants.

GIBBONS, STOKES & CLARK

BY:

BEN STOKES

Attorney for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 10th day of March 1972 a copy of the foregoing pleading has been served upon counsel for all adverse parties to this proceeding by mailing the same to each by first class U. S. mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid.

BEN STOKES
ATTORNEY FOR Def.

FILED

MAR 15 1972

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT
CLERK

[illegible]

$\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$

Abstract

Figure 1. The effect of the initial concentration of the monomer on the polymerization of α -methylstyrene initiated by TiCl_4 in CH_2Cl_2 at -78°C . The polymerization was carried out in the presence of 0.01 mole of TiCl_4 and 0.01 mole of CH_2Cl_2 in 10 ml of CH_2Cl_2 . The initial concentration of the monomer was varied from 0.01 to 0.1 mole/l. The polymerization was carried out for 10 min. The polymerization was carried out in the presence of 0.01 mole of TiCl_4 and 0.01 mole of CH_2Cl_2 in 10 ml of CH_2Cl_2 . The initial concentration of the monomer was varied from 0.01 to 0.1 mole/l. The polymerization was carried out for 10 min.

0978-6449(200609)28:3;1-B

DOI 10.1002/jbm.b

Abstract The purpose of this study was to determine whether the use of a computerized program designed to teach the basic concepts of epidemiology would increase knowledge about epidemiologic methods among students in a health care program. A pretest-posttest design was used. Students completed a written test before and after completing the course. The mean score increased from 60% to 87%. This suggests that the program may be useful as a teaching tool.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA
BALDWIN COUNTY

CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY

TERM, 19.....

To any Sheriff of the State of Alabama, Greeting:

WHEREAS, at a regular..... Term, 19....., of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: On the 7th day of June, 19..... 72, being a regular day of said term,

Beneficial Finance Co. of Alabama, a corporation,

recovered judgment against Harlan E. Davis and Lula Mae Davis

for the sum of \$29.85 Dollars, and cost of suit, and affidavit having been made by T. Dwight Reid that process of garnishment is believed to be necessary to obtain satisfaction of such Judgment, and that the following named persons or corporations, vis:

K Mart Discount Store, Division of S. S. Kresge Company,
a corporation, 2600 Government Street, Mobile, Alabama,

has or is believed to have in its possession, or under its control money or effects belonging to said defendant Harlan E. Davis or that it is, or is believed to be indebted to said defendant or to be liable to them, or to one of them on a contract for the delivery of personal property, on a contract for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property.

You Are Therefore Hereby Commanded to Summon

K Mart Discount Stores, Division of S. S. Kresge Company

to file an answer in duplicate to the Circuit Court for Baldwin County, at the Court House thereof, in the city of Bay Minette, within 30 days from

the service of the garnishment, or at the making its answer, or at any time intervening the time of serving the garnishment, and making the answer it was indebted to said defendant and whether it will not be indebted in future to said defendant by a contract then existing, and whether by a contract then existing it

is, or are, liable to said defendants for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property, and whether it has not in its possession or under its control money or effects belonging to the defendant, Harlan E. Davis

Herein fail not, and have you then and there this Writ.

Witness, Clerk of said Court, this day of A. D., 1912

Issued day of A. D., 1912

ATTEST:

..... Clerk

REC'D CLERK DEPT.
MOBILE COUNTY, ALA.

JAN 31 8 28 AM '73

BY _____

C. J. Sullivan
#9796 *Mobile*
CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY

No. 9923 1/2

*Beneficial Finance Co
of Ala.*

VS. } GARNISHMENT ON JUDGMENT

N F
Hulan E. Davis

Received 31 Day of Jan 1973
and on 1 Day of Feb 1973
I served a Copy of the within Garnishment Issued 14 day of Dec 1972
on H. Mart Discount Store
by service on Mr. B. Ayers, Mgr. Returnable RECEIVED day of _____ 19____

RAY D. BRIDGES, Sheriff
By J. H. H. D. S.

JAN 30 1973
TAYLOR WILKINS
SHERIFF

Perlozz, Reid & Briskman
Attorney

Moore Printing Co. - Bay Minette, Ala.

4184 Kmart
2550 GOVERNMENT BOULEVARD
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36606

The State of Alabama
Circuit Court
Baldwin County
Bay Minette, Ala.

Dear Sir:

Harlan E. Davis is not employed by K-Mart Discount Store.
To my knowledge he has never been employed by
K-Mart Discount Stores, or any Division of S.S. Kresge Co.

Sincerely,

B.M. Ayers,
Store Mgr.


Sworn and subscribed before me, this ***2---day of Feb 1973
in testimony whereof, I have set my hand and Seal

Verna W. Smith
Verna W. Smith, Notary

FILED

FEB 5 1973

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT
CLERK



THE STATE OF ALABAMA,
BALDWIN COUNTY

}

CIRCUIT COURT

9923 1/2

the undersigned authority

Personally appeared before me, ~~Alice J. Dwyer, Clerk of the Circuit Court for Baldwin County~~ and
State aforesaid Perloff, Reid & Briskman

who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular Term

of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: on the 7th day of June

19 72, Beneficial Finance Company of Alabama, a corporation,

recovered a judgment against Harlan E. Davis and Lula Mae Davis

for the sum of

\$29.85

Dollars

besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that

K Mart Discount Store, Division of S.S. Kresge Company, a corporation,
2600 Government Street, Mobile, Alabama is

supposed to be indebted to or have effects of the said Harlan E. Davis

in its possession, or under its control, and that he believes process of

Garnishment against said K Mart Discount Stores, Division of S. S. Kresge Co.

is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said judgment.

Sworn to and subscribed this 13th

day of December A. D. 19 72

NOTARY PUBLIC

STATE AT LARGE

T. Bright Reid

NO.

9923 1/2

CIRCUIT COURT

Beneficial Finance Co. of
Alabama

VS.

Harlan E. Davis

AFFIDAVIT Garnishment on Judgment

Filed this _____ day of

_____, 19____

Clerk.

MOORE PRINTING CO., BAY MINETTE, ALA.

STATE OF ALABAMA

Baldwin County

TO HARLAN E. DAVIS
....., Defendant.....:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a Writ of Garnishment has been issued in the case of..

Beneficial Finance Co. of Alabama,
....., Plaintiff.....,versus Harlan E. Davis
....., Defendant.....,

now pending in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, Law Side, in which

K Mart Discount Store, Division of S. S. Kresge Company
.....has^s been named as Garnishee.....

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal on this the

3rd day of Jan, 1973Ernie B. Blackman
Clerk of the Circuit Court.

RECORDS DIVISION OF DEPT.
MOBILE COUNTY, ALA.

JAN 31 8 23 AM '73

BY _____

#9795
Mobile

9923 1/2

NOTICE

TO DEFENDANT OF GARNISHMENT

BY

CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

TO

Beneficial Finance Co. of Ala.

JAN 20 1973

TAYLOR WILKINS

SHERIFF

Plaintiff....

VS.

Harlan E. Davis
c/o his employment, K Mart
Discount Store
2600 Government Street
Mobile, Alabama

Defendant....

Perley Reid + Bridges
RETURNED *2-8-73*

Not found in my County after dili-
gent search and inquiry.

RAY D. BRIDGES, Sheriff

By

[Signature] D.S.

STATE OF ALABAMA

Baldwin County

TO HARLANEE. DAVIS Defendant.....:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a Writ of Garnishment has been issued in the case of..

Beneficial Finance Co. of Alabama, Plaintiff.....versus Harlan E. Davis Defendant.....

now pending in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, Law Side, in which

K Mart Discount Store, Division of S. S. Kresge Companyhas^s been named as Garnishee.....

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal on this the

34 day of Dec, 1933Emmie B. Blackman
Clerk of the Circuit Court.

Exhibits

NOTICE

TO DEFENDANT OF GARNISHMENT

BY

CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

TO

Beneficial Finance Co. of Ala.

Plaintiff....

Not Corp vs.

Harlan E. Davis
c/o his employment, K Mart
Discount Store
2500 Government Street
Mobile, Alabama

Defendant....

B Ayers
Wg

Bay Minette, Ala.,

1973

To the Sheriff of Mobile County, Alabama

I enclose herewith a Writ for service on
K Mart Discount Store, 2600 Gov't.
St., Mobile, Ala. Notice for service on
Harlan E. Davis & employment.

Please serve and return as early as possible.

REC'D. SHERIFF DEPT
MOBILE COUNTY, ALA.
JAN 30 8 18 AM '73

Jayson Wilkins


Sheriff, Baldwin County, Alabama

(If not found in your county, please advise promptly giving information as to present location if possible)

THE ~~INTERIOR~~ CIVIL COURT OF MOBILE

MOBILE COUNTY COURT HOUSE

MOBILE, ALABAMA 36602



MRS. ALICE J. DUCK, Circuit Clerk
Baldwin County Court House
Bay Minette, Alabama

The enclosed papers numbered from 1 to 6, include all the original
papers in the case of BENEFICIAL FINANCE CO. OF ALA., A CORP. VS
HARLAN E. DAVIS AND LUIA MAE DAVIS Case No. #81373

J. D. Richardson
Clerk of The Court of General Sessions of Mobile County, Ala.

992
22