KATHERINE VRACHALUS,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Plaintiff,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS.

AT LAW

STURGIS LEE LOWMAN, individually and doing business as

THE SEAGATE MOTEL,

Defendant.

CASE NO. <u>10001</u>

COUNT ONE

Plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of FIFTEEN THOUSAND AND NO/100 (\$15,000.00) DOLLARS, damages, for that heretofore and on, to-wit, August 25, 1970, plaintiff was a paying guest in Unit Number Six of the public motel of the defendant, located at Orange Beach, Baldwin County, Alabama, in which unit was a gas oven placed therein for the use and benefit of the occupants of said room. Plaintiff avers that the defendant negligently maintained said gas oven in that the knob controlling the flow of gas into said oven would not completely cut off the flow of gas and as a proximate result thereof, gas flowed into said oven and ignited and injured and damaged the plaintiff as follows: her body was bruised and broken; she was made sick, sore and lame; she was caused to suffer mental and physical pain and anguish, still so suffers and will so suffer in the future; she was permanently scarred; she was caused to lose time from her work, hence this suit.

> CUNNINGHAM, BOUNDS & BYRD ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

FILED

ROBERT T. CUNNINGHAM

AUG 24 1971

70 PAGE 193 VOL

Trial Attorney: Robert T. Cunningham

Address of Defendant: Sturgis Lee Lowman The Seagate Motel Orange Beach, Alabama

FILED

AUG 24 1971

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

STATE	OF	ALABAMA
10	_7.7	Commen

Circuit Court, Baldwin County

_____TERM, 19......

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon Sturgis Lee Lowman, individually and doing business as The Seagate Motel

byKatherine Vrachalus
Plaintiff.....

Witness my hand this 24th day of August 1971

70-195

24-9-2 -71

STATE OF ALABAMA

Baldwin County

CIRCUIT COURT

KATHERINE VRACHALUS

Plaintiffs

STURGIS LEE LOWMAN, ; Ind & d/b/a

Defendants

The Seagate Motel

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Filed August 24, 19.71

Eunice B. Blackmon Clerk

AUG 25 1971

Cunningham, Bounds & Byrd

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant's Attorney

Defendant lives at

Received In Office					
august 25 1971					
Jankon Welken Sheriff					
I have executed this summons					
this Lept 2 1971					
by leaving a copy with					
It - Leave					
July 1					
Lownon, The					
Legate Motil					
100					
(7-(-)					
Sheriff claims miles at					
Sheriff Claims					
Ten Cents per mile Total \$					
BY HOGE WITH					
DEROTY SHERWE					
Laylon Welking Sheriff					

KATHERINE VRACHALUS, : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

Plaintiff, : OF BALDWIN COUNTY,

VS. : ALABAMA

STURGIS LEE LOWMAN, : AT LAW

individually and doing business
as THE SEAGATE MOTEL, :

Defendant. : CASE NO. 10,001

DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT

Comes now the Defendant STURGIS LEE LOWMAN, individually and doing business as THE SEAGATE MOTEL, separately and severally, and demurs to the Plaintiff's complaint herein and each count thereof, separately and severally, on the following separate and several grounds, to-wit:

- 1. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to state a cause of action.
- 2. The allegations set forth therein are so vague, uncertain and indefinite that said Defendant is not sufficiently apprised of what it is called upon to defend against in this cause.
- 3. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the existence of any legal duty owing from said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained of therein.
- 4. For aught appearing therein, said Defendant did not breach any legal duty owed by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of therein.
- 5. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the breach of any legal duty owing by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained of therein.
- 6. For aught appearing therein, Plaintiff's damages complained of were not proximately caused by the breach on the part of said Defendant of any legal duty owing by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained of therein.

- 7. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show a sufficient causal connection between the Plaintiff's damages complained of therein and the breach of any legal duty owing by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained of therein.
- 8. It does not sufficiently appear therein that the Plaintiff's damages complained of therein were proximately caused by the breach of any legal duty owing by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained of therein.
- 9. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show as a matter of law that said Defendant breached any legal duty owed by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of therein in negligently maintaining said gas oven.
- 10. For aught appearing therein, the Plaintiff's damages complained of therein did not proximately result from any negligence on the part of said Defendant alleged therein.
- 11. For aught appearing therein, the ignition of gas referred to therein did not proximately result from the breach on the part of said Defendant of any legal duty owed by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of therein.
 - 12. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show as a matter of law that said ignition of gas referred to therein proximately resulted from the breach on the part of said Defendant of any legal duty owed by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of therein.
 - 13. The averment in said complaint that the knob controlling the flow of gas into said oven would not completely cut off the flow of gas does not show as a matter of law that the said Defendant breached any legal duty owed by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of.
 - 14. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show as a matter of law that said Defendant breached any legal duty owed by said Defendant to Plaintiff at the time and place complained of therein because the knob controlling the flow of gas into said oven would not completely cut off the flow of gas.

- 15. The allegations set forth therein charge said Defendant with a higher degree of care to the Plaintiff at the time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained of therein than is imposed upon said Defendant by law.
- 16. The quo modo of the alleged negligence on the part of said

 Defendant charged therein is not sufficient to show as a matter of

 law that said Defendant was guilty of actionable negligence at the

 time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained

 of therein.
- 17. The quo modo of the alleged breach of legal duty on the part of said Defendant charged therein is not sufficient to show as a matter of law that said Defendant was guilty of the breach of any legal duty owed by said Defendant to Plaintiff at the time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained of therein.
- 18. It does not sufficiently appear from the allegations set forth therein how and in what respect said Defendant was negligent at the time and place complained of.
- 19. It does not sufficiently appear from the allegations set forth therein how and in what respect said Defendant breached any legal duty owing by said Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of therein.
- 20. For aught appearing therein, said Defendant exercised a degree of care at the time and place and with respect to the matters and things complained of therein commensurate with the duty owed.
- 21. For aught appearing therein, Plaintiff's damages complained of therein were proximately caused by an act for which said Defendant was in no way legally responsible or liable to the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of therein.
- 22. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show as a matter of law that the Plaintiff's damages complained of were proximately caused by an act for which said Defendant was legally responsible or liable to the Plaintiff at the time and place complained of therein.

- 23. For aught appearing therein, some intervening act and not the alleged negligence of said Defendant proximately caused the Plaintiff's damages complained of therein.
- 24. For that it affirmatively appears from the complaint that the relationship of the Plaintiff to the Defendant at the time and place referred to therein was that of licensee and the averments of negligence in said complaint do not state a cause of action against this Defendant.
- 25. For that it affirmatively appears from the complaint that the relationship of the Plaintiff to the Defendant at the time and place stated in the complaint was that of licensee and the averments of said complaint do not show the breach of any legal duty owing by the said Defendant to the Plaintiff.

STURGIS LEE LOWMAN, individually and doing business as THE SEA GATE MOTEL DEFENDANT

attorney for STURGIS LEE LOWMAN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this 26 day of April, 1973, served a copy of the foregoing pleading on counsel for all parties to this proceeding by mailing the same by United States mail, properly addressed and first class postage prepaid.

attorney for STURGIS LEE LOWMAN,

FEB 20 1973

FILED

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

Law Iffices

Cunningham, Bounds and Eyrd

1350 DAUPHIN STREET
P. O. BOX 4486
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36604

ROBERT T. CUNNINGHAM RICHARD BOUNDS ROBERT L. BYRD, JR. WARREN L. HAMMOND, JR.

August 23,1971

AREA CODE 205 TELEPHONE 438-6188

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Clerk Circuit Court of Baldwin County Baldwin County Courthouse Bay Minette, Alabama

Re: Katherine Vrachalus vs.
Lowman, etc.

10,001

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Will you please file the enclosed bill of complaint. I would appreciate it very much if you would call me collect when you this case on Wednesday, August 25.

I am placing this bill of complaint in the mail today, August 23, and I want to be absolutely certain it reaches you on Tuesday, August 24, and is filed on that date.

Very truly yours,

CUNNINGHAM, BOUNDS & BYRD

Polsed T ().

ROBERT T. CUNNINGHAM

RTC.bcs

Enclosures

KATHERINE VRACHALUE	Ž	
Plaintiff,	Ž	
-VS-	1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
STURGIS LEE LOWMAN,	Ĭ	OF BALDWIN COUNTY
individually and doing business	Ž	IN BOUITI
as THE SEAGATE MOTEL,	\(\)	CASE NO. 10,001
Defendant,	Ĭ	

ANSWER

Comes now the Plaintiff and for answer to the Complaiant heretofore filed in this cause, saith:

- 1. Not Guilty
- 2. General issue.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

FILED

FEB 20 1973

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

KATHERINE VRACHALUS,)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
	Plaintiff.) ·	OF BALDWIN COUNTY,
-vs-)	ALABAMA.
STURGIS LEE LOWMAN, indi- vidually and doing business)	AT LAW.
as The Sea Gate Motel,	•)	CASE NO. 10,001
	Defendant)	

Comes now Robert T. Cunningham and withdraws as attorney for the Plaintiff in the above cause.

CUNNINGHAM, BOUNDS & BYRD

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

day of July 1972, served a copy of the toregang pleading on counsel for all parties to this proceeding by sociling the same by United States mail, properly addressed,

and first class postage prepaid.

JUL 1 1 1972

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

10 PAGE 196

BROWN, HUDGENS, FULFORD, SINTZ & RICHARDSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SUITE 210 VAN ANTWERP BUILDING

MOBILE, ALABAMA

36602

Mr. Sturgis Lee Lowman The Sea Gate Motel 9900 East Gulf Boulevard Gulf Shores, Alabama 36542