L. T. RHODES AND SONS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF PLAINTIFF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA z¦c CIVIL ACTION NO. 92862 VS >< EWING WEAVER DEFENDANT VS DEN-TAL-EZ MANUFACTURING \* GARNISHEE \*

### MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF CONDEMNATION

Comes now the plaintiff in the above styled cause and moves this Honorable Court to enter a judgment of condemnation in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 88/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, representing the sum paid into this Honorable Court by the Garnishee in the above styped proceeding; which sum of mongy is still being held by the Court pursuant to that writ of garnishment filed herein.

> Thompson LeNoir Attorney for Plaintiff P. O. Box 359

Bay Minette, Alabama 36507



EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

L. T. RHODES AND SONS \* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
PLAINTIFF \* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS \* CIVIL ACTION NO. 9286
EWING WEAVER \*

DEFENDANT \*

VS \*

DEN-TAL-EZ MANUFACTURING \*
CO.

GARNISHEE \*

### MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF CONDEMNATION

Comes now the plaintiff in the above styled cause and moves this Honorable Court to enter a judgment of condemnation in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 68/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, representing the sum paid into this Honorable Court by the Garnishee in the above styped proceeding; which sum of money is still being held by the Court pursuant to that writ of garnishment filed herein.

C. LeNoir Thompson
Attorney for Plaintiff
P. O. Box 359
Bay Minette, Alabama 36507



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF L. T. RHODES AND SONS 200 BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA PLAINTIFF VS CIVIL ACTION NO. 9286% EWING WEAVER DEFENDANT VS DEN-TAL-EZ MANUFACTURING \* co. GARNISHEE

### MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF CONDEMNATION

Comes now the plaintiff in the above styled cause and moves this Honorable Court to enter a judgment of condemnation in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 88/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, representing the sum paid into this Honorable Court by the Garnishee in the above styped proceeding; which sum of monyy is still being held by the Court pursuant to that writ of garmishment filed herein.

> Thompson Attorney for Plaintiff P. O. Box 359

Bay Minette, Alabama 36507



L. T. RHODES AND SONS \* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

PLAINTIFF \* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

vs \* civil action no. 9286½

EWING WEAVER \*

DEFENDANT \*

VS \*

DEN-TAL-EX MANUFACTURING \* CO.

GARNISHEE

\*

### ORDER FOR JUDGMENT OF CONDEMNATION

This matter having come on to be heard on motion of the plaintiff for a judgment of condemnation in the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 88/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, representing that sum of money paid into this Court pursuant to a writ of garnishment filed by the plaintiff in this cause, and the Court having considered this motion, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 88/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, be and is hereby condemned and ordered paid to the plaintiff less costs of Court.

DONE this /3 day of oct her, 1977.

CIRCUIT JUDGE

L. T. RHODES AND SONS \* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

PLAINTIFF \* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS \* CIVIL ACTION NO. 9286

EWING WEAVER \*

DEFENDANT \*

VS \*

DEN-TAL-EX MANUFACTURING \*

CO.

GARNISHEE

#### ORDER FOR JUDGMENT OF CONDEMNATION

This matter having come on to be heard on motion of the plaintiff for a judgment of condemnation in the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 88/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, representing that sum of money paid into this Court pursuant to a writ of garnishment filed by the plaintiff in this cause, and the Court having considered this motion, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 88/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, be and is hereby condemned and ordered paid to the plaintiff less costs of Court.

DONE this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 1977.

· ·		
CIRCUIT	JUDGE	<del></del>

L. T. RHODES AND SONS \* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

PLAINTIFF \* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS \* CIVIL ACTION NO. 92862

EWING WEAVER \*

VS \*

DEFENDANT \*

VS \*

CARNISHEE \*

CARNISHEE \*

### ORDER FOR JUDGMENT OF CONDEMNATION

This matter having come on to be heard on motion of the plaintiff for a judgment of condemnation in the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 68/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, representing that sum of money paid into this Court pursuant to a writ of garnishment filed by the plaintiff in this cause, and the Court having considered this motion, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the sum of FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE & 88/100 (\$585.88) DOLLARS, be and is hereby condemned and ordered paid to the plaintiff less costs of Court.

DOME	this	day	o£	-	1977.

CIRCUIT'	TO DOK

OFFICE 937-3921

# C. LeNOIR THOMPSON ATTORNEY AT LAW BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA 36507

. = -0

HOME 937-7487

September 16, 1977

Mrs. Eunice Blackmon Clerk of Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Blackmon:

Please look up civil action #9286, being L.T. Rhodes and Sons vs. Ewing Weaver vs. Den-tal-Ez Manufacturing Co., and advise me as to the payments made by Den-tal-Ez.

Yours very truly,

CLT/bbh

Down Mongan

State of Alabama Supreme Court Dept. of Court Mgmt. Form SC-FIS-17 12/76

### CIVIL COST BILL

Case Number

100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	9286%
50 J. A. 40 20	50 F A 60 20

ID.

2400

0.1.0

Ø

				ID YR	Number
IN THE		COURT OF		Market	COUNTY
PLAINTIFF	REODES & SONS	vs. DEFENDANT	PING WEAVER	MARKAN	
IN THE MATTER OF					
GARNISHEE:	DEN-TAL-EX MFG. CO. Hwy 31 South Bay Minette, Al 36507	7			
		لـ			

-		COSTS		
		PLAINTIFF AMOUNT	DEFENDANT AMOUNT	OTHER AMOUNT
1.	DOCKET FEE:			
	SMALL CLAIMS (10.00)			
	DISTRICT (25.00)			
	CIRCUIT (35.00)			
2.	JURY DEMAND (10.00)			
3.	SERVICE FEES:			
	EA. DEF. OVER ONE (5.00)			
	NON-RES. DEFEND. (5.00)			
	CERTIFIED MAIL			
	SUBPOENA FEE (2.00)			
	( 50 / DAY 05 / AW E)			
4.				
5.	POST JUDGMENT:			
	ATTACHMENT (9.00)		9,00	
	GARNISHMENT (9.00) EXECUTION (5.00)		20 0 34 55	
6.	PUBLICATION			
7.	JUDGMENTS TAY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
8.	PUBLIC LAW LIBRARY TAX COSTS FROM LOWER COURT:			
9.	CO212 PROM FOMER COOK 1:			
10	OTHER:			
	Oriainal Costs		19,40	
1,	Land of the Secretary of the Committee o		2 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	
	TOTAL COCTOS STORM AND		de the the state of the	
	TOTAL COSTS& JUDGMENT		\$958.38	

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS A TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE COSTS DUE IN THE SPECIFIED CASE.

DATE ISSUED , 19

CLERK/REGISTER

# STATE OF ALABAMA Baldwin County

		the state of the s	The second second
TO Ewing Weaver	**************	Defendant	
	•		**** *********************************
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a	Writ of Garnishme	ent has been issu	ed in the case of
L. T. Rhodes & Sons	* 	:	Plaintiff
versus Ewing Weaver			Defendant
now pending in the Circuit Court of Baldwin Cour	nty. Alabama, Law	Side, in which	
Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Comp	oany, Highway	31 South	
ha.S been named as Garnishee			
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunt	o set my hand and	affixed my seal	on this the
day of	Junice	OS) Clerk of the	Circuit Court.

## MAR 17 1977

THOMAS H. GENTON SHERTE

#### NOTICE

TO DEFENDANT OF GARNISHMENT BY

CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA TO

L.T. L.T. RHODES & SONS

Plaintiff....

DEN-TALREZ MANUFACTURING CO.

HWY 31 SOUTH

Defendant....

Sheriff Claimed \_\_\_\_ Miles At Fourteen Cents Per Mile Total \$ .-THOMAS H. BENTON, SHERIFF

H. Hall D. S.

FORM 1

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

L. T. RHODES AN	ND SONS,	X		
Dio	intiff,	x		
Pia	•	X		
vs.		X	A STATE OF THE STA	
EWING WEAVER,		x		
EWING WEAVER,		X		
Def	endant,	X	CIVAL ACTION NO	$9286\frac{1}{2}$
Vs.		X		
<b>v</b> s.		X		
DEN-TAL-EZ MATURING CO.	NUFAC-	X		
TORMY CO.		X		
Gar	nishee.	x		

### ANSWER OF GARNISHEE

Comes Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, a corporation, which makes this Answer by and through Harry D. Smith, Jr., its agent hereunto duly authorized, and for answer to the Writ of Garnishment served on it in this cause, says:

That it is indebted to the said Defendant, in the sum of \$163.62 Dollars, being for wages, salary or other compensation for one week, for the personal services of said Defendant, who is a resident of the State of Alabama, and is a laborer or employee of this Garnishee; that it is indebted to said Defendant in no other sum, either at the time of the service of said garnishment or at the time of making this answer or at any time intervening service of said garnishment and making this answer; that it will not be indebted to said Defenant in the future by virtue of a contract then or now existing except for said contract of employment; nor will it be liable to the Defenant by virtue of a con-

tract then or now existing for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which may be discharged prior to the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property; that this Garnishee has not in its possession or under its control, money or effects belonging to said Defendant; that it has no contract with said Defenant, and is not liable to him in any other manner than as is hereinabove set forth.

That the Garnishee is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief, states that the judgment herein is based upon a consumer transaction within the meaning of Title 5, Section 326, Code of Alabama. Deductions required by law to be withheld from the Defendant total \$15.22. The Defendant's disposable earnings as defined by the aforesaid code section are \$148.40 and not more than \$29.68 should be withheld from each of the Defendant's normal weekly pay check, and which the Garnishee holds subject to the orders of this Court, wherefore, the Garnishee prays that it may be discharged with its reasonable cost.

FILED

APR 4 1977

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CLERK

DEN-TAL-EZ MANUFACTURING COMPANY

By: Jan. Sulf.
Garnishee

STATE OF ALABAMA
BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Harry D. Smith, Jr., who, after being by me first duly and legally sworn, stated that he is the agent of Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company and is duly authorized to answer the Writ of Garnishment in this cause and that he has personal knowledge of the facts averred in said Answer.

Harry D. Smith, Jr.
Employee Relations Manager

Sworn to and subscribed before

me this \_\_/\_ day of April,

19 <u>//</u>.

Madeline M. Trice Notary Public, Baldwin County, Alabama

My Commission Expires <u>3/26/19</u>

FILED

APR 4 1977

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT

THE STATE OF ALABAMA,  BALDWIN COUNTY	TERM, 19
BALDWIN COCKLI	
To any Sheriff of the State of Alabama, Greetings:	
WHEREAS, on the <u>lOth</u> day of <u>March</u>	, 19 71 in the Circuit
Court of Baldwin County, being a regular day of said term,  L. T. Rhodes & Sons	Plaintiff
recovered judgment againstEwing Weaver	portures and the state of the s
Tecovered Judgment against	Defendant
for the sum of Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars &	
and affidavit having been made by <u>C. LeNoir Thompson</u> that process of garnishment is believed to be necessary to obtain satisfathe following named persons or corporations, viz:	action of such Judgment, and that
Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highw	ay 31 South
has or believed to have in their possession, or under their control medefendant Ewing Weaver or that it is, or defendant or to be liable to them, or to one of them on a contract for on a contract for the payment of money which may be discharged by or which is payable in personal property.	is believed to be indebted to said the delivery of personal property,
You Are Therefore Hereby Commanded to Summon	-Ez Manufacturing
Company, Highway 31 South	
to file an answer in duplicate to the Circuit Court for Baldwin Count the city of Bay Minette, within 30 days from the service of the garnish or at any time intervening the time of serving the garnishment, and maindebted to said defendant and whether it/they will not be indebted contract then existing, and whether by a contract then existing it/is, or for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money while livery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property and	ment, or at the making of answer, aking the answer it/they was/were in future to said defendant by a
possession or under their control money or effects belonging to the defen	dant <u>Ewing Weaver</u>
YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED TO NOTIFY GARNISH SALARY, OR OTHER COMPENSATION, SUBJECT TO GARNISH DUE TO SAID DEFENDANT, DURING SUCH PERIOD OF TIME N	IMENT, DUE OR TO BECOME
THE SUM OF \$ 929.98 WHEN SAID SUM IS ACCUMULATED SAID GARNISHEE IS REQUINTO COURT IMMEDIATELY, AND IF EMPLOYMENT OF DIBEFORE SAID SUM IS ACCUMULATED, THEN GARNISHEE TERMINATION AND PAY INTO COURT WITHIN 15 DAYS AFT WITHHELD.	EFENDANT IS TERMINATED IS REQUIRED TO REPORT
Witness my hand March 17 107	, <i>~</i>

• If Garnishee fails to file sworn answer in Circuit Clerk's Office within 30 days from date Writ is received the Plaintiff can proceed for Judgment against Garnishee for amount of Plaintiff's claim plus Court Costs.

15	ļ	Received
	CASE NUMBER	
	COURT	
	COUNTY, ALABAMA	This Writ
	*	
	Plaintiff	
	vs.	DATE
-	Defendant	
		BY:
	Garnishee	
	Address	The space below use for keeping a
	GARNISHMENT ON	
	JUDGMENT	
	\$Judgment	
	\$Court Costs	<u> </u>
		<b>&amp;</b>
	\$ Mileage	
	\$TOTAL	<b>*</b>
		<b>&amp;</b>

Received	19
	SHERIFF
RET	URN
Thic Writ Evecut	ted by serving copy o
THIS WITE EXECUT	ted by serving copy of
DITE	10
DATE	
	SHERIFF
BY:	D. S.
BY:  The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.
The space below is pro- use for keeping a record.	D. S.
The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.
The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.
BY:  The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.
BY:  The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.
The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.
The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.
BY:  The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.
BY:  The space below is prouse for keeping a record.	D. S.

## ANSWER OF GARNISHEE 1. DEFENDANT EMPLOYED BY GARNISHEE when Writ received, or when making this answer, or during intervening time, and WILL WITH-CASE NUMBER HOLD from the salary, wages, or compensation, as required, and pay total into Court. 2. Defendant NOT EMPLOYED - Garnishee NOT INDEBTED when Writ received, or when making this answer, or during intervening time, and have not in possession or control any belongings of said Defendant. Plaintiff VS. 3. Defendant is NOT SUBJECT to garnishment under the provisions of Section 11 of the Alabama Consumer Credit Act. Defendant Sworn to and subscribed before me, on the COURT \_County, Alabama RUBERTS & SON, BIRVINGHAW W **NOTARY PUBLIC**

		CIRCUIT COURT, BA	LOWIN COLINI	Ϋ́
THE STATE OF ALABAMA,	>	w. r		
BALDWIN COUNTY	•		TE	RM, 19
To any Sheriff of the State of Alabama, C	Freetings:			
WHEREAS, on the day of	_	retz	19 72 i	n the Circui
T .				
Court of Baldwin County, being a regular	_	term,		Plaintiff
recovered judgment against				r ramitin
ecovered judgment against				Defendan
for the sum of Nine Handred Twe	nity <b>–</b> niin	e Dollars & 98,	100 Dollars, and	
and affidavit having been made by	LeNoir		'	
that process of garnishment is believed to the following named persons or corporation	o be necessa ons, viz:	ary to obtain satisfaction	on of such Judgm	ent, and tha
Den-Tal-Es Massifactu	ring Co	ayany, Kichway	31 South	
nas or believed to have in their possess	sion or line	der their control mone	y or effects below	nging to said
-			-	
defendant or to be liable to them, or to	one of then	or that it is, or is to one a contract for the	delieved to be inc e delivery of perso	nebted to said
on a contract for the payment of money	which may	be discharged by the	delivery of perso	onal property
or which is payable in personal property.		6 ,		
You Are Therefore Hereby Command			z Nenufectu	<b>2 1</b> 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1
			z Manufactu	
You Are Therefore Hereby Command			z Nemufactu	
You Are Therefore Hereby Command to file an answer in duplicate to the Cithe city of Bay Minette, within 30 days for at any time intervening the time of seindebted to said defendant and whether contract then existing, and whether by a for the delivery of personal property, or	rcuit Court from the ser erving the g it/they will contract the for the pay	for Baldwin County, a vice of the garnishment and makin I not be indebted in en existing it/is, or they ment of money which	at the Court Hount, or at the making the answer it/tifuture to said de y/are, liable to samay be discharge	se thereof, in the second seco
You Are Therefore Hereby Command to file an answer in duplicate to the Cithe city of Bay Minette, within 30 days for at any time intervening the time of seindebted to said defendant and whether contract then existing, and whether by a for the delivery of personal property, or livery of personal property, or which is property of personal property, or which is property of personal property, or which is property of personal property.	reuit Court from the ser erving the g it/they wil contract the for the pay payable in p	for Baldwin County, a vice of the garnishment and making I not be indebted in an existing it/is, or they ment of money which ersonal property and we	at the Court Hount, or at the making the answer it/t. future to said dey/are, liable to sa may be discharge/hether it/they ha	se thereof, ing of answer hey was/wer fendant by id defendant ed by the des not in thei
You Are Therefore Hereby Command to file an answer in duplicate to the Cithe city of Bay Minette, within 30 days for at any time intervening the time of seindebted to said defendant and whether contract then existing, and whether by a for the delivery of personal property, or livery of personal property, or which is property of personal property, or which is property of personal property, or which is property of personal property.	reuit Court from the ser erving the g it/they wil contract the for the pay payable in p	for Baldwin County, a vice of the garnishment and making I not be indebted in an existing it/is, or they ment of money which ersonal property and we	at the Court Hount, or at the making the answer it/t. future to said dey/are, liable to sa may be discharge/hether it/they ha	se thereof, ing of answer hey was/wer fendant by id defendant ed by the des not in their
	reuit Court from the ser erving the g it/they wil contract the for the pay payable in p	for Baldwin County, a vice of the garnishment and making I not be indebted in an existing it/is, or they ment of money which ersonal property and we	at the Court Hount, or at the making the answer it/t. future to said dey/are, liable to sa may be discharge/hether it/they ha	se thereof, ing of answer hey was/wer fendant by id defendant ed by the des not in their
You Are Therefore Hereby Command to file an answer in duplicate to the Cithe city of Bay Minette, within 30 days for at any time intervening the time of seindebted to said defendant and whether contract then existing, and whether by a for the delivery of personal property, or livery of personal property, or which is possession or under their control money of the c	reuit Court from the ser erving the g it/they wil contract the for the pay payable in p or effects bel	for Baldwin County, a vice of the garnishment and makind in existing it/is, or they ment of money which ersonal property and work on the defendant of the defen	at the Court Hount, or at the making the answer it/the future to said de future to said de future to said be discharge whether it/they hand to RETAIN TO RETAIN TO	se thereof, ing of answer hey was/wer fendant by id defendant ed by the des not in their the wages
You Are Therefore Hereby Command to file an answer in duplicate to the Citche city of Bay Minette, within 30 days for at any time intervening the time of so indebted to said defendant and whether contract then existing, and whether by a for the delivery of personal property, or livery of personal property, or which is prossession or under their control money of the Salary, OR OTHER COMPENSATION OF SAID DEFENDANT, DURING THE SUM OF \$ 2000 COUNT IMMEDIATELY, AND BEFORE SAID SUM IS ACCUMULATED TERMINATION AND PAY INTO COUNT IMMEDIATELY, AND TERMINATION AND PAY INTO COUNT IMMEDIATELY.	reuit Court from the ser erving the g it/they wil contract the for the pay bayable in p or effects bel  DED TO N ON, SUBJE G SUCH PE  D SAID GA D IF EMPI ATED, TH	for Baldwin County, a vice of the garnishment and makind I not be indebted in en existing it/is, or they ment of money which ersonal property and was longing to the defendant of the	TO RETAIN TO RESARY TO ACTUDGMENT & CENDANT IS TERESTARY TO ACTUDED TO REQUIRED TO THE	se thereof, in ng of answer hey was/wer fendant by id defendant ed by the des not in their the wages to be a second cumulation of the costs, and pay sami erminately of the costs.
You Are Therefore Hereby Command to file an answer in duplicate to the Cithe city of Bay Minette, within 30 days for at any time intervening the time of seindebted to said defendant and whether contract then existing, and whether by a for the delivery of personal property, or livery of personal property, or which is prossession or under their control money of the control money of the control money of the sum of \$2.000.  YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANIA SALARY, OR OTHER COMPENSATION THE SUM OF \$2.000.  THE SUM OF \$2.000.  WHEN SAID SUM IS ACCUMULATED THE SAID SUM I	reuit Court from the ser erving the g it/they wil contract the for the pay bayable in p or effects bel  DED TO N ON, SUBJE G SUCH PE  O SAID GA O IF EMPI ATED, TH URT WITH	for Baldwin County, a vice of the garnishment and makind I not be indebted in en existing it/is, or they ment of money which ersonal property and word longing to the defendant I of Garnishment of Time NECT TO GARNISHEE IS REQUIR LOYMENT OF DEFIEN GARNISHEE IS IN 15 DAYS AFTER	TO RETAIN TO ESSARY TO ACCUDGMENT & CED BY LAW TO TERMINATION	se thereof, in ng of answer hey was/werfendant by id defendant ed by the des not in their the wages to be a second cumulation of the costs, and pay same a second cumulation of the costs.
You Are Therefore Hereby Command to file an answer in duplicate to the Cithe city of Bay Minette, within 30 days for at any time intervening the time of seindebted to said defendant and whether contract then existing, and whether by a for the delivery of personal property, or livery of personal property, or which is possession or under their control money of	reuit Court from the ser erving the g it/they wil contract the for the pay bayable in p or effects bel  DED TO N ON, SUBJE G SUCH PE  O SAID GA O IF EMPI ATED, TH URT WITH	for Baldwin County, a vice of the garnishment and makind I not be indebted in en existing it/is, or they ment of money which ersonal property and word longing to the defendant I of Garnishment of Time NECT TO GARNISHEE IS REQUIR LOYMENT OF DEFIEN GARNISHEE IS IN 15 DAYS AFTER	TO RETAIN TO ESSARY TO ACCUDGMENT & CED BY LAW TO TERMINATION	se thereof, in ng of answer hey was/werfendant by id defendant ed by the des not in their the wages to be a second cumulation of the costs, and pay same a second cumulation of the costs.

## Circuit Court

92862

Personally appeared before me, Eunice B. Blackmon, Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for	Baldwin Coun	ty and
State aforesaid C. LeNoir Thompson		*********
who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular		Term
of the Ciruit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: on the 10th day of March		••••
19.71 L. T. Rhodes & Sons		
recovered a judgment against Ewing Weaver		
	for the s	sum of
Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars & 98/100 (\$92	9.98) r	Dollars
besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: t	hat	
Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South	·	***********
***************************************	***************************************	***********
supposed to be indebted to or have effects of the said Ewing Weaver	***************************************	•••••
in <u>its</u> possession, or under <u>its</u> Control, and that he		
Garnishment against said Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 S	outh	**********
is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said judgment.		
Sworn to and subscribed this	118	<i>l</i> a a
day of A. D. 19.27 AR 17.1977	WKD 5570	Show I
Clunus Blacknow		
CIEMEUNICE B. BLACKMON CIERK		

# STATE OF ALABAMA Baldwin County

## Circuit Court

Personally appeared before me, Eunice B. Blackmon, Clerk	of the Circuit Court in an	d for Baldwin Coun	ity and
State aforesaid C. LeNoir Thompson		<u></u>	
who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular			Term
of the Ciruit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: on the 10th			
1971 L. T. Rhodes & Sons			
recovered a judgment againstEwing Weaver		; 	
	***************************************	for the	sum of
Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars & 98/100			
supposed to be indebted to or have effects of the said	Weaver		
in		•	
Garnishment against said Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Co	_	•	
		2 <del></del>	
is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said judgment.			
Sworn to and subscribed this	1º/ Zo/)	Des Thom	pen
day of A. D. 19		` /	
		7	
Clerk.	•		

# STATE OF ALABAMA Baldwin County

# Circuit Court

Personally appeared before me. Eunice B. Blackmon, Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for Baldwin County and State aforesaid G. LeNoir Thompson Term who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular of the Ciruit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: on the loth day of the Ciruit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: on the loth day of 1971 L. T. Rhodes & Sons recovered a judgment against Ewing Weaver for the sum of Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars & 98/100 (\$929.98) Dollar besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Control, and that he believes process	U appeared before me. Eunice B. Blackmon, Clerk of	the Circuit Court in and for Balo	TWITE COURTS
who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular  of the Ciruit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: on the loth day of  1971 L. T. Rhodes & Sons  1971 L. T. Rhodes & Sons  recovered a judgment against for the sum of  wine Hundred Twenty-nine Bollars & 98/100	Personally appeared before me. Eunice B. Blackmon, Clem su		
who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular  who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular  of the Ciruit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: on the loth day of  L. T. Rhodes & Sons  1971 L. T. Rhodes & Sons  recovered a judgment against for the sum of  Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Bollars & 98/100 (\$929.98)  Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Bollars & 98/100 (\$929.98)  Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Control and that he believes process	Personally appeared bearing	:	**********
who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular  who being duly sworn, on oath says, that a regular  of the Ciruit Court of Baldwin County, to-wit: on the loth day of  L. T. Rhodes & Sons  1971 L. T. Rhodes & Sons  recovered a judgment against for the sum of  Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Bollars & 98/100 (\$929.98)  Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Bollars & 98/100 (\$929.98)  Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Castrol and that he believes process			· · · · · · · ·
I. T. Rhodes & Sons  1971 L. T. Rhodes & Sons  recovered a judgment against for the sum of the force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly			1 61111
I. T. Rhodes & Sons  1972 L. T. Rhodes & Sons  recovered a judgment against for the sum of the force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly	ate aforesare that a regular	No. of the second secon	
I. T. Rhodes & Sons  1971 L. T. Rhodes & Sons  recovered a judgment against for the sum of the force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly	no being duly sworn, on oath says, that	ay of	
recovered a judgment against	C I Roldwin County, to the		
recovered a judgment against	the Ciruit Court of Standard & Sons	***************************************	,
Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars & 98/100  besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that  Den-Tal-52 Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Les he indebted to or have effects of the said  Central and that he believes process			
Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars & 98/100  besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that  Den-Tal-52 Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Les he indebted to or have effects of the said  Central and that he believes process	Ewing Weaver		for the sum of
Nine Hundred Twenty-nine Dollars & 98/100  besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains wholly unsatisfied and in full force and effect: that  Den-Tal-52 Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Les he indebted to or have effects of the said  Central and that he believes process	covered a judgment against		62)
besides costs of suit; that said judgment remains  Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Den-Tal-Ez Manufacturing Company, Highway 31 South  Les he indebted to or have effects of the said  Control and that he believes process	- A In Or	(6929	Dollars
supposed to be indebted to or have effects of the said	Den-Tal-88 remarks	***************************************	,
supposed to be indebted to or have effects of the said	The state of the s	Weaver	**********************
ander	supposed to be indebted to or have effects of the said	Control, and that he	believes process of
possession, or uncer	accession, or under	Highway 31 S	outh
in	48 40 Eu		
Comishment against said	inpossession, or under		
an obtain Salisiacuos.	in		
is necessary to obtain	Garnishment against said		aD: m
Sworn to and subscribed this	ohtain Sausiacuta.	( Lo Doin	Thomps
A. D. 19	is necessary to obtain satisfaction	Cfo Dai	Thomps
day of	is necessary to obtain satisfaction	Cfo Dois	Thimps
	ohtain Sausiacuta.	C Jo Dois	Phomps
Clerk.	Sworn to and subscribed this	C fo Dois	Thomps

	Boall
NO.	7286/1

### Circuit Court

VS.

# AFFIDAVIT GARNISHMENT ON JUDGMENT

Filed	this			*******	*******	•••••	 day of
	il I	4	1/9				
••••••		••••••	••••		••••••		 19

Clerk

MOORE Prig. Co., Bay Minette

STATE	OF	ALABAMA
Ba	aldwin	County

		1 . 1	***		. :			13	***		. 1
TO .	Ewing	Weaver				:	I	)efenda	nt ·		2
	· ·	:				••••••				,	:
	YOU ARE	HEREBY	NOTIFIED	that a	Writ of	Garnis	shment h	as been	issued in	the ca	ise of
		Rhodes	& Sons						F	laintiff	£
versus											
	pending in the										**********
ha S	been named a	s Garnishe	e		•	•	•				
-	IN WITNESS	WHERE	OF, I have	hereunte	set my	hand a	and affixe	ed my se	eal on thi	is the	
day o	f		19				•				
					***************************************	•••••••	C	lerk of	the Circ	uit Cou	urt.