ALCE J. GUERK REGISTER

Sollie M Brand, Plaintiff's Attorney.

No.	91	2	9
	, ,		7

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, **BALDWIN COUNTY**

CIRCUIT COURT

Defendant.

DETINUE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Defendant's Attorney

To The Sheriff of Said County:

Whereas, the Plaintiff in the within stated cause has made affidavit and given bond as required by law you are hereby required to take the property mentioned in Complaint into your possession unless the Defendant gives bond payable to the Plaintiff with sufficient surety in double the amount of the value of the property, with condition that if the Defendant is cast in the suit he will within thirty days thereafter, deliver the property to the Plaintiff, and nav all damages

nd costs which	ch may accrue from	the detention
		, Clerk
	1944 1944 - John Holler 1941 - John Holler	

Defendant lives at

Received in office

Vaylor Wilkins

I have executed this summons

by leaving a copy with

Sherrel Jacobs

Smorth claims

Ten Cents per mile Total \$_ TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff

DEPUTY SHERIFF

Deputy Sheriff

HENRY PATRICK and ISABELLE PATRICK,)	IN THE CIRCUITCOURT OF
·)	· · · ·
Plaintiff,)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
-VS-)	
SHERRIL JACOBS,)	IN LAW CASE #9229
Defendant.)	

Comes the Defendant in the above styled cause and respectfylly request trial by jury of said cause.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT.

I, C. LeNOIR THOMPSON, Attorney for the Defendant in the above styled cause, hereby catify that I have this day mailed a copy of the above instrument to Honcable Tolbert M. Brantley, Bay Minette, Alabama, Attorney for the Plaintiff, by depositing a copy of same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid.

This the 19 day of March, 1971.

C. Lenoir THOMPSON

FILED

MAR 19 1971

EUNICE B. BLACKMON CLERK

HENRY PATRICK and ISABELLE PATRICK, Plaintiffs,		I	
		Ĭ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Vs.		l Y	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
SHERRIL JACOBS,		¥. Y	AT LAW
Defendant.		I.	CASE NO. 9229

Plaintiff files the following demurs to Answers 1, 2, 3, and 4.

1.

The matters alleged therein is no defence to this suit.

WILTERS & BRANTLEY

Attorney for the Plain liff

de hereby certify that I have on this day of the foregoing pleading on confidence to this proceeding by making his same by United Mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid.

By: _____

APR 8 1971

EUNICE B, BLACKMON CIRCUIT

HENRY PATRICK and ISABELLE PATRICK,)	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiffs,)	
-VS-)	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
SHERRIL JACOBS,	`	
Defendant.	,	AT LAW CASE # 1229

ANSWER:

Comes Sherril Jacobs, Defendant in the above styled cause and for answer to the complaint filed in said cause shows unto this Honorable Court as follows:

- (1) That during the month of April, 1969, the said Plaintiffs rescinded their purchase agreement for the 1966 automobile, subject of this suit, and filed suit against your said Defendant in Civil Case #8669 in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, for damages. Said Plaintiffs testified in said Civil Case #8669 that they had returned said automobile to the said Defendant. Following which the Plaintiffs, who had rescinded said contract by returning said automobile, filed Civil Case #8669 as an action for decit against the said Defendant to recover monies paid out for the saud automobile, and the said Plaintiffs recovered judgment at the hands of a jury of twelve.
- (2) For a further and separate reply, Defendant alleges that the said Plaintiffs are estopped and ought not be admitted to say that they claim the said automobile for the resson that on to-wit, Aprill 22, 1969, the said Plaintiffs sued your said defendant in Civil Case #8669 in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, and testified before a jury that they had returned to your said Defendant the said automobile, to-wit a 1966 Comet, due to the mechanical condition of the said automobile, and the said plaintiffs thereupon obtained a judgment against the Defendant in said cause of Twelve Hundred Fifty (\$1250.00) Dollars.

- (3) For further answer your said Defendant shows unto this Honorable Court that this said suit is inconsistent with the suit previously asserted by the said Plaintiffs and which constitutes an estoppel of the instant case.
- (4) For further answer, prior to the trial of the said suit on to-wit, April 22, 1970, said Plaintiffs filed the instant suit to-wit, an action in detinue; and with two suits pending said Plaintiffs elected to proceed with Civil Case #8669 and to abandon Civil Case #_____.

 Having made an election, service was not had on the instant case until on or about March 9, 1971.

(5) Nondetinet.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT.

MAR 31 1971
EUNICE B. BLACKMON CIRCUIT