STATE OF ALABAM EALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALLEJA:



f- weich

You are hereby commanded to surmon HCRACE KENNEDY and JACK H. LEWIS, Individually, and JACK H. LEWIS d/b/a J. H. LEWIS ADVERTISING CC., to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court, to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of PERRY W. TADER.

WITNESS my hand, this the 90 day of May, 1953.

PERRY W. HADER,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

PLAINTIFF,

PALDWIN COUNTY, ALABARA

VS

AT LAW.

HOPACL KENNEDY and
JACK H. LEWIS, Individually,
and JACK H. LEWIS d/b/a

J. H. LEWIS ADV TRISING CO.

DEFENDANT.

Plaintiff claim of the Defendants \$25,000.00, damages for that on, to-wit, April 1, 1953, for the purpose of selling goods, to-wit Gro-Crop, a product invented and prepared by Perry W. Hader, the Defendants did by to-wit, printing, writing and figures falsely misrepresent the formula of said Gro-Crop to the damage of the said Perry W. Hader, whose product aforesaid is licensed by the Departments of Agriculture of the following states:

Alabara, Mississippi, Texas, Arkansas, Missouri, Tennessee, Kentucky, Georgia, Florida, Morth Carolina, South Carolina, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Montana and Mansas.

in the name of Perry W. Mader.

That the said Defendants did publish said misrepresentations in the following named publications: The Flower Grower, Progressive Farmer, Farm and Ranch, Southern Seedsman, The Florida Grower, and Texas Farming and Citriculture, with circulation in several of the States aforesaid giving in said advertisements false analysis to the damage and detrirent of the Plaintiff in that said representations were false and then known to be or ought to have been known by said Defendants to have been false.

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants \$25,000.00 for that on, to-wit,
the 1st day of April, 1953, for the purpose of selling goods, to-wit,
Gro-Grop said Befendants represented in writing, printing or figures that
said laboratory test was 9-25-6 upon authority of A. W. William Inspection
Go., hobile, Alabama. That the said test made by the A. W. William Inspection
Go., was made at the instace of Perry W. Mader and that the said A. W.
Williams Inspection Go., expressly denied the said Ferry W. Mader permission
to use the said laboratory test in advertising that derend has been made
upon the said Perry W. Mader by the A. W. Williams Inspection Company to
cease and desist using the name A. W. Williams aforesaid in said advertising
that the said Defendants had no legal right to use the said A. W. William
Inspection Company report in said advertising, which was fraudulently
and falsely changed as to the detriment or the damages of the Flaintiff
in the amount of \$25,000.00.

3.

Plaintiff Claims of the Defendants the sum of \$25,000.00 for that on, to-wit, the 1st day of arril, 1953, Defendants advertised by circulars and in the following named publications: The Flower Grower, Progressive Farmer, Farm and Ranch, Southern Seedsman, The Florida Grower, and Texas Ferming and Citriculture; that the Associated Seed Growers of Atlanta, Georgia did distribute Gro-Grop, a fertilizer invented and prepared by Perry W. Mader for Gro-Grop, Inc., and that said representations were false and then then known to be or ought to have been known by the Defendants to have been false, and that such misrepresentation was damaging to the Flaintiff herein in the amount of \$25,000.00.

Plaintiff demands a trial by Jury.

FILED

5-9-53

ALCE I BECK, Clork

this // day of /// 1953
TAYLOR WILKING, Sheriff

Executed may 12, 1953
By Serving Copy on
Narace Kennedy

Sheriff Taylor Wilkins By Edleigh Steadham Jul 200 1989

PERRY W. MADER,

PLAINCIPY, .

VS

HORACH HEMHEDY and JACK H. LEWIS, Individually And JACK H. LEWIS d/b/a
J. H. LEWIS ADVERTISING CO.

DEFEND NI

FILED
MAY 9 1953
ALICE I. DUCK, Clerk

PERRY W. MADER,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

HORACE KENNEDY and JACK H. LEWIS, individually, and JACK H. LEWIS, d/b/a J. H. LEWIS ADVERTISING CO.,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW.

Comes the defendant, Horace Kennedy, acting by and through his attorney and appears specially and only for the purpose of filing this plea, says that the said Perry W. Mader, plaintiff in this cause ought not to have and maintain his said action and for ground thereof says seperately and severally the following, to-wit:

FIRST:

That the plaintiff sues as the owner of the formula of Gro-Crop, whereby Gro-Crop, Inc., a corporation, under the laws of the State of Alabama, manufactures and sells Gro-Crop, and that the said Perry W. Mader is the owner of twenty-five (25) shares of stock in Gro-Crop, Inc., for which payment was made and discharged by the transfer of property consisting primarily of all rights to a formula for the preparation of a liquid fertilizer or plant food as shown by the Articles of Incorporation, which are of record in the office of the Judge of Probate of Baldwin County, Alabama, and therefore, that the said Perry W. Mader has no authority to maintain this action in his name, but that action, if any, should be maintained in the name of Gro-Crop; Inc., a corporation.

SECOND:

That Horace Kennedy, one of the defendants of the above styled cause, is an officer of Gro-Crop, Inc., and that no advertisement or other representations have been made by the said defendant, Horace Kennedy, concerning Gro-Crop, other than in the name of the corporation and by and through its officers and directors thereunto duly authorized, and that any action by the plaintiff herein for damage should be maintained against Gro-Crop, whose principal place

of business is Summerdale, Alabama.

THIRD:

800H 006 PAGE 111

That any use made of any report of laboratory tests by A. W. William Inspection Company, Mobile, Alabama, which has been made, was made by Gro-Crop, Inc., an Alabama corporation, and if any damage has thereby resulted, action should be had and maintained against said corporation.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Horace Kennedy, says that this suit should be abated and should not be allowed to proceed.

Horace Kennedy

ey: PAPKas

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, Manual Land Notary Public in and for said County and said State, personally appeared C. G. Chason, who, being duly sworn deposes and says: That he is attorney for Horace Kennedy, one of the defendants in the above styled cause and authorized to make this affidavit; that he is cognizant of the facts set forth in the above and foregoing plea in abatement, and that said facts are true and correct.

C. C. Chason

Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public, on this the day of June, 1953.

Notary Public, Baldwir County State of Alabama

FILED

6-15-5-3

AIRE L COCK, Clark

PLEA IN ABATEMENT

PERRY W. MADER,

Plaintiff,

- VS -

HORACE KENNEDY and JACK H. LEWIS, individually, and JACK H. LEWIS, d/b/a J. H. LEWIS ADVERTISING CO.,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW.

OOOGPEEDOOOOOO

JUN 15 1953

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk CECIL G. CHASON

> ATTORNEY AT LAW FOLEY, ALABAMA

CECIL G. CHASON

ATTORNEY AT LAW FOLEY, ALABAMA

June 13, 1953

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Clerk Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Enclosed herewith is Plea in Abatement in the case of Mader -vs- Kennedy, et al.

Yours very truly,

CGC:fm

encl. 1

STATE OF ALABAMA BALINIA UDUNTY

TO ANY SHEWLER OF THE STATE OF ALABABA:

You are here'y commanded to summon HORAGE KANNEDY and JACK B. IEWIS, Individually, and JACK M. IEWIS d/b/a J. H. IEWIS aDVERTISING CO., to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court, to be held for each County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of PERRY W. NADER.

WITHERS my hand, this the <u>9</u>20 day of May, 1953.

PERRY W. MADER.

PLAINTIPT,

PLAINTIPT,

WAS BOOMN GOUNTY, ALABAMA

VS

AT LAW.

HORACE KENNEDY and
JACK H. LEWIS JU/A
J. H. LEWIS ADVERTISING CO.

DEFENDANT.

Plaintiff claim of the Defendants \$25,000.00, damages for that on, to-wit, April 1, 1953, for the purpose of selling goods, to-wit Gro-Crop, a product invented and prepared by Perry W. Mader, the Defendants did by to-wit, printing, writing and figures falsely misrepresent the formula of said Gro-Crop to the damage of the said Perry W. Mader, whose product aforesaid is licensed by the Departments of Agriculture of the following states:

Alabama, Mississippi, Texas, Arkansas, Missouri, Tennesses, Kentucky, Georgia, Florida, North Garolina, South Garolina, Illinois, Indiana, Onio, Montana and Kansas.

in the name of Perry W. Mader.

That the said Defendants did publish said misrepresentations in the following named publications: The Flower Grower, Progressive Farmer, Farm and Easth, Southern Seedsman, The Florida Grower, and Texas Farming and Citriculture, with circulation in several of the States aforesaid giving in said advertisements false analysis to the damage and detriment of the Plaintiff in that said representations were false and then known to be on ought to have been known by said Defendants to have been false.

Flaintiff slaims of the Defendants \$25,000.00 for that on, to-wit, the 1st day of April, 1953, for the purpose of selling goods, to-wit, Gro-Crob seld Befendants represented in writing, printing or figures that said Abberatory test was \$-25-6 upon authority of A. W. William Inspection Co., Mobile, Alabama. That the said test rade by the A. W. William Inspection Co., was made at the instace of Forry W. Hader and that the said A. W. Williams Inspection Co., expressly denied the said Ferry W. Hader permission to use the said laboratory test in advertising that demand has been made upon the said Ferry W. Hader by the A. W. Williams Inspection Company to cease and desist using the name A. W. Williams aforesaid in said advertising that the said Pefendants had no legal right to use the said A. W. William Inspection Company report in said advertising, which was fraudulently and Taleely changed as to the detrinent or the damages of the Flaintiff

7

Plaintiff Claims of the Defendants the sum of \$25,000.00 for that on, to-wit, the let day of April, 1953, Defendants advertised by circulars and in the following named publications: The Flower Grower, Progressive Farmer, Farm and Ranch, Southern Seedsman, The Florida Grower, and Texas Farming and Citriculture; that the Associated Seed Growers of Atlanta, Ceorgia did distribute Gro-Crop, a fertilizer invented and prepared by Ferry W. Mader for Gro-Crop, Inc., and that said representationswere false and then then known to be or ought to have been known by the Defendants to have been false, and that such ederepresentation was damaging to the Plaintiff herein in the amount of \$25,000.00.

Fisintiff domands a trial by

in the amount of \$25,000.00.

Attorney Top the Platy Little Proposition

Baintiff Sister of the Desendants \$25,000.00 for that on, to-wit, say of Arid 1953, for the purpose of selling goods, to-dt, said Wesember represented in writing, printing or figures that said Choratory test was \$-25-6 upon authority of A. W. William Inspection Co., Gidle, Alabums. That the said test rade by the A. William Inspection $\mathcal{C}o_{*,s}$ m_{s}^{2} s made as the instace of Perry E. Hear and that the said a. E. Williams Inspection Co., exercently denied the each Terry W. Water permission tho use the mare is incorrect to accept that the termed that termed has keen wade 1.5 repay h. Rader by the A. M. Williams Inspection Company to desse and despe sing the natern. Williams thoroughld in this advertising gigndents had no logal right so use the said in the filling Insection Botten Engler in settd advertising, which was translatedly and alsoly chiragi ag to the detricent or the dam ge of the Plaintiff in He monarthi Zes, dos.oc.

Plaintiff Claims of the Defendence the sum of \$25,000.00 for that on, to-wit, the lat cay of april, 1953, Detendents advertised by sirculars and in the Collowing named publications? The Planer Grower, Progressian Largery Farm and Renob, Southern Psedenni, The Florida Grower, and Tesas ranking and Ditriculture; that the Associated Seed Eforers of Atlanta, Jourge did distribute Gro-Grop, a forthliner invented and propared up Ferry 6. Mader for Gro-Grop, Inc., and that said representations were laise and then then known to be or ought to have been known by the Referrise to have been false, and that such viereprosvitos was danaging, to the

Maintill her in the wated of \$25,200.00