ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR, * IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

Plaintiff, * OF

*

VS. * BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC. *

aCorporation, and JAMES H.

CHAFFIN, * In Law No. 1973

*

Comes now the Defendants, NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC, a Corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN, and moves the Honorable Court to enter a judgment of dismissal in the above styled cause and for reason therefore alleges as follows:

That said suit was instituted by the Plaintiff, ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR, by a complaint and summons filed on April 23, 1953; that on May 20, 1953, service was had on the attorney for the Plaintiff of demurrers to the complaint by the above named Defendants; that on August 18, 1953, this Honorable Court sustained Defendants: demurrers to said complaint after hearing and argument in open court; that notice of said ruling was given to the attorney for the Plaintiff by letter dated January 4, 1954; that said case was set down for hearing on the pleadings before this Honorable Court on June 15, 1954; that further hearings on the pleadings were set down before this Honorable Court on August 17, 1954; that said cause is set for trial on September 13, 1954; that over one year has elapsed since the sustaining of Defendants' demurrers to Plaintiff's complaint and during that time Plaintiff has filed no amended complaint thereto; that there is presently no/complaint upon which issue has been joined and Defendants are unable to properly prepare themselves for trial at the time set;

WHEREFORE, Defendants ask that the above style case be dis-

missed; that notice of this motion be given to Plaintiff and that said motion be set down for hearing prior to September 13, 1954.

> FILED 9-6-54

INGE, TWITTY, ARMBRECHT & JACKSON

ALICE I. QUCA, Clark

By John W. McConnell, Tr. Attorneys for Defendant

they g. 6.5.4 deichmerk

ANDREW JAMES L	OLLAR,	Q				
P	laintiff	I				;
versus		Ĭ	IN THE	CIRCUIT	COURT	OF
NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,	PTEG TWO	I	BALDWIN	COUNTY,	ALABA	MA,
		Ĭ	AT LAW	NO.	1973	
•	Defendants.	Ĭ				
Det endanos.	or ollwallap *	Ĭ				

DEMURRER TO THE COMPLAINT

COME now the Defendants and each of them separately and severally and demur to the complaint therein, as amended, and to each count thereof, separately and severally, on the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to constitute a cause of action.
- 2. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the existence of any legal duty owing from these defendants to the plaintiff at the time and place of the matters and things therein complained of.
- 3. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show any breach of any legal duty owing from these defendants to the plaintiff at the time and place of the matters and things complained of.
- 4. It does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the alleged breach of duty was the proximate cause of the alleged injuries and damage.
- 5. Sufficient causal connection between the alleged negligence and the alleged injuries and damage does not appear therein.

- 6. The defendant CHAFFIN was under no legal duty to refrain from turning the vehicle, which he was operating
 at the time and place complained of, to the right side of
 the highway in front of the vehicle which the plaintiff
 was operating at the time and place complained of.
- 7. Said count is vague, indefinite and uncertain in that it does not sufficiently appear therefrom how and in what manner the alleged negligence caused the truck in which the plaintiff was riding to overturn and to be wrecked.
- 3. For aught appearing in said count, the said tractor and trailer driven by the defendant CHAFFIN did not run into or against or collide with the truck in which the plaintiff was riding.
- 9. The allegation therein that the defendant CHAFFIN negligently traveled to the right side of the highway before the vehicle he was operating was safely clear of the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating, and such turn to the right forced the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating off of the road and to overturn and be wrecked is a conclusion of the pleader and not supported by sufficient allegation of fact.
- 10. The defendant CHAFFIN had a legal right to pass the truck in which the plaintiff was riding and to pull said tractor and trailer to the right side of the highway in front of the vehicle in which the plaintiff was riding.

FILED INGE, TWITTY, AFMBRECHT & JACKSON

Sept. 24, 1954

By Ophn A McCouncil Q

ALICE I. OUCK, Clerk

FILED :

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

Plaintiff

Vs.

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES
H. CHAFFIN,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

NO. 1113
AT LAW. NO. 1113
Defendants

Now comes the plaintiff, by his attorneys, and amends his complaint filed heretofore in this cause so that the same shall read:

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of TWO THOUSAND

FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$2,500.00) DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore and on January 8, 1953, the plaintiff was operating a truck Southwardly on U. S. Highway 31, a public road in Baldwin County, Alabama, approximately one and one-half miles East of Spanish Fort. At the same time and place the defendant, James H. Chaffin, who was then and there agent or servant of the defendant Newport Industries, Inc., acting within the line and scope of his authority and duty as such, was perating a 1951 Mack tractor and trailor on such highway and deline which the plaintiff was operating; and the said James H. Chaffin drove to the right side of the highway before the vehicle he was operating was safely clear of the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating and such turn to the right forced the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating off the road and to overturn and be wrecked. As a direct and proximate consequence of the negligence of the defendant Chaffin aforesaid, the plaintiff was severely cut and bruised and broken about his body, he suffered severe abrasions and cuts about his eyes and face, he suffered great physical pain and mental anguish, all to his damage in the amount claimed. Wherefore this suit.

> FILED 11-9-5-4

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

Attorney for the Plaintiff

Attorney for the Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW. NO./973

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,

Plaintiff

vs.

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,

Defendants

AMENDED COMPLAINT

J. CONNOR OWENS, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,

*

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

**

COUNTY, ALABAMA,

VS.

-35-

AT LAW

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES

...

No. 1973

H. CHAFFIN,

DEMURRER TO THE COMPLAINT

Come now the defendants, and each of them separately and severally, and demur to the complaint therein and to each count thereof, separately and severally, on the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to constitute a cause of action.
- 2. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the existence of any legal duty owing from this defendant to the plaintiff at the time and place of the matters and things therein complained of.
- 3. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show any breach of any legal duty owing from this defendant to the plaintiff at the time and place of the matters and things complained of.
- 4. It does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the alleged breach of duty was the proximate cause of the alleged injuries and damage.
- 5. Sufficient causal connection between the alleged negligence and the alleged injuries and damages does not appear therein.
 - 6. The defendant Chaffin was under no legal duty to

refrain from pulling said tractor and trailer immediately in front of the truck in which the plaintiff was riding as alleged in said count.

- 7. Said count is vague, indefinite and uncertain in that it does not sufficiently appear therefrom how or in what manner the alleged negligence caused the truck in which the plaintiff was riding to overturn and to be wrecked.
- 8. For aught appearing in said count, the said tractor and trailer driven by the defendant Chaffin did not run into or against or collide with the truck in which the plaintiff was riding.
- 9. The allegation therein that the defendant Chaffin negligently pulled such tractor and trailer immediately in front of the truck in which the plaintiff was riding "in such manner as to cause the truck in which the plaintiff was riding to overturn and be wrecked" is a conclusion of the pleader not supported by sufficient allegation of fact.
- 10. The defendant Chaffin had a legal right to pass the truck in which the plaintiff was riding and to pull said tractor and trailer immediately in front of the truck in which the plaintiff was riding.

Service accepted this 20

day of May, 1953

Attorney for Plaintiff

Mge Shith Smukecht vacion
Attordeys for Defendants.

FILE 23, 1953

MICE 1. DUCK, CHERK

Mannatter Co

FILED: MAY 28 1953

ALICE L. DUCK, Register

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,	I	•
Plaintiff,	Ĭ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
versus	ğ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES	¥	AT LAW NO. 1973
H. CHAFFIN,		
Defendants.	Ĭ	4.5

COMES now the DEFENDANTS in the above styled cause and move the Court to require the Plaintiff to answer the following interrogatories propounded to him by the Defendants; No. 7, No. 8, No. 9, No. 10, No. 11, No. 18, No. 25, and No. 36; and further move the Court to require the Plaintiff to answer more fully the following interrogatories propounded to him by the Defendants; No. 1, No. 22, No. 23, No. 30, No. 31, No. 32, No. 33, No. 34 and No. 37; or the Defendants move the Court to dismiss the above cause of action brought by the Plaintiff therein or to give such other appropriate relief as the Defendants may be entitled to.

INGE, TWITTY, ARMBRECHT & JACKSON

FILED 1954

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JAMES H. CHAFFIN, et al.,

Defendants.

I IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 1973

PLEAS:

ĭ

Come now the Defendants in the above styled cause, by their attorneys, and for answer to the Complaint heretofore filed against them, separately and severally, plead, separately and severally, the following:

- 1. Not guilty.
- 2. That the Plaintiff himself was guilty of negligence at the time and place complained of which proximately contributed to his alleged injuries in that he so negligently operated the motor vehicle which he was then and there operating as to lose control of the same and as a proximate consequence and result of his said negligence aforesaid the Plaintiff suffered his alleged injuries and hence he should not recover.

Respectfully Submitted,

Jan. 18, 195-5 INGE, TWITTY, ARMBRECHT & JACKSON

ALICE). DUCK, Clark

CHASON & STONE

Attorneys for Defendants.

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAMES H. CHAFFIN, et al.,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW NO. 1973

PLEAS

FILED JAN 18 1955

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

LAW OFFICES

CHASON & STONE

BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

Defendants	7
NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,	AT LAW. NO
VS.	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Plaintiff	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,	Ď.

Now comes the plaintiff, by his attorneys, and amends his complaint filed heretofore in this cause so that the same shall read:

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$2,500.00) DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore and on January 8, 1953, the plaintiff was operating a truck Southwardly on U. S. Highway 31, a public road in Baldwin County, Alabama, approximately one and one-half miles East of Spanish Fort. At the same time and place the defendant, James H. Chaffin, who was then and there agent or servant of the defendant Newport Industries, Inc., acting within the line and scope of his authority and duty as such, was operating a 1951 Mack tractor and trailor on such highway and was overtaking and passing the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating; that the left side of the highway was not free of oncoming traffic and there was not sufficient distance to commit the overtaking and passing, in that said overtaking and passing was upon a curve on the highway where the driver's view along the highway was restricted within a distance of 500 feet; that traffic coming in a Northwardly direction forced the said James H. Chaffin to travel to the right side of the highway before the vehicle he was operating was safely clear of the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating and such turn to the right forced the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating off the road and to overturn and be wrecked. As a direct and proximate consequence of the negligence of the defendant Chaffin aforesaid, the plaintiff was severely cut and bruised and broken about his Body, he suffered severe abrasions and cuts about the eyes and face, he suffered great physical pain and mental anguish, all to his damage in the amount claimed. Wherefore this suit.

> FILED 9-6-54

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

Attorney for the Plaintiff

Attorney for the Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW. NO. 77

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,

Plaintiff

VS.

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,

Defendants

AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT

FILED 96-54 aux Januar

J. CONNOR OWENS, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,	ð	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWI
Plaintiff,	Q	COUNTY, ALABAMA,
vs.	Q	AT LAW
NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES		No. 1973
H. CHAFFIN,	Q	
Defendants.	ø	r

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants the sum of TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100ths (\$2,500.00) DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore and on January 8, 1953, the Plaintiff was operating a truck Southwardly on U. S. Highway 31 approximately one and one-half miles East of Spanish Fort in Baldwin County, Alabama. that time and place the Defendant James H. Chaffin, who was then and there an agent or servant of the Defendant Newport Industries, Inc., acting within the line and scope of his authority and duty as such, was operating a 1951 Mack tractor and trailor on such highway immediately in rear of the truck which the Plaintiff was operating and was attempting to pass such truck being operated by the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff avers that in passing the truck which the Plaintiff was operating, the Defendant Chaffin negligently pulled such tractor and trailor immediately in front of the truck which the Plaintiff was operating in such a manner as to cause the truck which the Plaintiff was operating to overturn and be wrecked. As a direct and proximate consequence of the negligence of the Defendant Chaffin in turning such tractor and trailor immediately in front of the truck which the Plaintiff was operating, the Plaintiff was severely cut and bruised and broken about his body, he suffered severe abrasions and cuts about the eyes and face, he suffered great physical pain and mental anguish, all to his damage in the amount claimed. Wherefore this suit.

Attorney/for Plaintiff

Plaintiff demands that this cause be tried by a jury

Attorney for Plaintiff

Serve Defendants:

Newport Industries - Bay Minette, Alabama James H. Chaffin - Perdide, Alabama 4-23-53

Milly of Mack ciers

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT		Moore Printing Co.
THE STATE OF ALABAMA,	CIRCUIT CO	OURT, BALDWIN COUNTY
BALDWIN COUNTY	No. 1973	•
	-	TERM, 19
TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF AL	ABAMA:	
You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon News	oort Industries, Inc.	A Corp and James H. (
you parter rector communities to be a second		- .
to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thin the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Al		
Inc. A Corp., and James H. Chafin		, Defendant
Inc. A corp., and cames in. onarri		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
by ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR		
		, Plaintiff
The second secon	MANY & TAYON,	
Winness pythand this23rd	day of April	
4-23-53 -	auce I ver	Cler

ALICE L BUCK, Clark

No1973	Page	Defendant lives at /
# h	E OF ALABAMA WIN COUNTY	perendant lives at
CIRCU	IT COURT	RECEIVED IN OFFICE
ANDREW JAMES	LOLLAR	April 23 195
		Sheriff
	Plaintiffs vs.	I have executed this summons this
NEWPORT IN	DUSTRIES, INC., A Corp	by leaving a copy with
and JAMES H	• CHAFIN Defendants	Day During Copy
SUMMONS	andCOMPLAINT	Buy Derwing on
Filed 4-23	,19 _ 53	and James H
accept	Clerk, Clerk	- Chafin
	•	
	Plaintiff's Attorney	Tou le Melhi sheriff
	Defendant's Attorney	
× × ×	Delement b littorney	1 Will La Deputy Sheriff

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,	Ĭ
Plaintiff	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs. NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, AT LAW 1973
H. CHAFFIN, Defendants	

Now comes the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and in answer to the interrogatories heretofore filed says:

- 7. No .
- 8. See number 7
- 9. See number 7
- 10. See number 7
- 18. See number 7
- 25. I don't remember.
- 36. As stated in 35, I do not have the ambulance bill; but the not bill was/paid by me.

A. J. Selever

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned authority in and for said State and County, personally appears Andrew James Lollar, who being duly sworn by me doth depose and say that the answers herein made to the interrogatories are true and correct.

væg. Beeur.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the _____day of December, 1954.

Notary Public, State at Large

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

1973

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR.

Plaintiff

vs

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,

Defendants

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

J. CONNOR OWENS, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,

Plaintiff,

COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS.

AT LAW

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., *
a corporation, and JAMES
H. CHAFFIN,

**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN

COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

NO. 1973

INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY EACH ONE OF THE DEFENDANTS TO THE PLAINTIFF

Defendants.

Come now the defendants in the above styled cause, and each of said defendants, separately and severally, and propound the following interrogatories to the plaintiff, to be answered under oath as required by law:

- 1. State your name, age and place of residence.
- (a) State your occupation at the time of the alleged accident, and give the name and address of your employer.
- 2. State in detail the purpose or purposes for which the truck in which you were riding was being operated at the time of the alleged accident, stating the place where said journey was begun and the intended destination thereof.
- 3. State whether or not the truck of Mr. J. W. Jones in which you were riding was being operated for the purpose of performing any mission for any person, firm or corporation at the time of the alleged accident, and if you have not already done so, state in detail the mission which was being performed and the name and address of such person, firm or corporation.
- 4. State whether or not you were operating the said truck of J. W. Jones at the time of said accident, and as an employee of any person, firm or corporation, and state

the name and address of your employer. 5. Give the names and residential addresses of each one of the persons who was in the said Jones truck in which you were riding at the time and place of the alleged accident, and explain why each such person was in said truck. 6. State how fast the Jones truck was being operated immediately before the alleged accident and before the vehicle of Newport Industries, Inc. began to attempt to pass said truck. 7. State whether or not any other vehicle had already passed the Jones truck going in the same direction and immediately ahead of the vehicle of Newport Industries, Inc., and if you answer in the affirmative, give a description of the said third vehicle and state how far ahead of the said Newport Industries, Inc.'s vehicle the said third vehicle was traveling at 'the time of the alleged accident. Is it not a fact that a truck of the Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight Company passed the Jones truck going in the same direction and ahead of the said vehicle of the Newport Industries, Inc.? 9. State how fast the Jones truck was traveling at the time said truck of Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight Company passed it. State how far the Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight Company truck ran from the time it first began to attempt to pass the Jones truck to the time it completed said passage. State whether or not the speed of the Jones truck was increased or decreased during the time which the said - 2 -

Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight Company truck was attempting to pass it, and if so, state the maximum speed and the minimum speed of the Jones truck during that time. State as nearly as you can the exact place where the Jones truck was when the defendant Newport Industries, Inc.'s vehicle first began to attempt to pass said Jones truck. State how far the Jones truck ran between the time that the said Newport Industries vehicle first attempted to pass said truck until the time of the alleged accident. 14. State how far the Jones truck ran from the time the said Newport Industries vehicle first attempted to pass said truck until the time the said Newport vehicle completed its said passage and was back on its right hand side of the road. State how fast the Jones truck was traveling at the time that the said Newport vehicle first attempted to pass said truck. 16. State how fast the Jones truck was traveling during all of the time the said Newport vehicle was in the act of passing said truck. 17. Is it not a fact that when the Newport vehicle was in the act of passing the Jones truck, the driver of said truck speeded up the Jones truck and attempted to prevent its passage. State as nearly as you can exactly the position of the Jones truck and the position of the Newport Industries vehicle and the position of the Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight truck at the time of the alleged accident. - 3 --

19. What was the distance between the nearest point of the Newport Industries vehicle and the nearest point of the Jones truck at the time the brakes were first applied on said truck immediately before the alleged accident. State the distance that the Jones truck travelled between the time the brakes were first applied on said truck to the time said truck came to a complete stop. State whether the Jones truck in the alleged accident collided with any object, and if your answer is in the affirmative, describe the object in detail. State whether or not the Jones truck ran off of the paved portion of the highway at the time of or immediately prior to the alleged accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, state what distance the said truck ran off of the highway measured longitudinally and what distance it was off of the highway measured laterally. 23. Describe in detail the damage done to the Jones truck in the alleged accident, describing each part which was damaged. State the condition of the brakes on the Jones truck immediately before the accident. State the date upon which said brakes were last repaired or adjusted before the alleged accident and give the name and address of the garage which adjusted or repaired the brakes, and describe in detail such repairs. Is it not a fact that the brakes on one or more of the wheels of the Jones truck grabbed or locked to the point that said wheel or wheels ceased to turn before the forward motion of the Jones truck had ceased when the brakes -4-.

were applied immediately before the alleged accident? If your answer to the next preceding interrogatory is in the affirmative, state which one or more of the wheels locked. first applied thereon and the time said truck came to a which each one of the said wheels skidded.

- State whether or not any one or more of the wheels on the Jones truck skidded between the time the brakes were complete stop immediately before the alleged accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, describe the distance
- 29. State the position of the Jones truck immediately after it stopped after the alleged accident, stating the position of the truck on the pavement and whether or not all four wheels of the truck were on the pavement and if not, which wheels were not on the pavement.
- Is it not a fact that when the brakes were applied on the Jones truck immediately before the accident, the rear wheels went up in the air and the truck stood on its front end; if your answer is in the negative, describe the behavior of said truck in detail from the time the brakes were first applied until the time it came to a complete stop.
- State whether or not the Jones truck was loaded at the time of the accident, and describe the load, giving the weight of the truck and the weight of the load.
- 32. State whether you claim that you sustained any personal injuries in this accident, and if so, describe the injuries in detail and completely.
- State whether or not you were treated by any physician on account of said injuries, and if so, give the name and address of each such physician who treated your

injuries and the date of each treatment, the nature of each such treatment and the place where each such treatment was had, whether at home, or at office or at a hospital. 34. State whether you visited or were admitted to any hospital for the treatment of the injuries which you claim you received in this accident; if so, give the name and address of each such hospital and each one of the dates on which you were present at said hospital for treatment. State whether or not you incurred any expense on account of any of the injuries which you claim to have received in said accident; if your answer is in the affirmative, itemize each said item of expense with the date and the amount of each item and a description of each item, and attach to your answer a true copy of each bill rendered to you by any physician and by any hospital or by any drug store on account of any such expense. Please state whether or not you have paid any of the expenses referred to in the next preceding interrogatory, and if your answer is in the affirmative, give the date and amount of each such payment. Please state whether or not you claim that you lost any time from your work on account of the injuries which you claim to have received in this accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, itemize in detail each date that you lost time from work and give the name and address of your employer and the amount of your salary or wage. Please state whether or not you claim to have sustained any permanent injury on account of said accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, describe in detail and completely the nature and extent of such injury and the symptoms thereof. - 6 -

39. State the name and address of each one of the occupants of said Jones truck at the time of said accident, and state in detail the understanding and agreement which you had with Mr. J. W. Jones pertaining to your transportation in said truck at the time of said accident. State whether or not you paid or agreed to pay to Mr. J. W. Jones any money or other reward directly or indirectly for the transportation which was being furnished to you at the time of said accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, describe the reward in detail. 41. State whether or not you are related by blood or marriage to any of the persons who were in said Jones truck immediately before said accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, state the name of each such individual and describe the relationship in detail. 42. State whether or not you had any conversation with the driver of the Newport Industries vehicle after the alleged accident and pertaining to the said accident, and if your

answer is in the affirmative, state when and where such conversation took place and state as nearly as you can the exact words exchanged therein by each of you.

Attorneys for Defendants NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC. and JAMES H. CHAFFIN

STATE OF ALABAMA) COUNTY OF MOBILE)

Before the undersigned Notary Public in and for said State and County personally appeared T. E. TWITTY, known by me to be one of the attorneys of record for the defendants in the above styled cause, and who, being first duly sworn

on oath, deposes and says that he has read the foregoing interrogatories and that the answers thereto, if truthfully made, will be material evidence for the defendants in the trial of said cause.

To Foring

SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me

this 18th day of May, 1953.

Notary Public, Mobile County, Alabama.

Service accepted this 20

day of May, 1953.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Interregulores

FILED MAY 23 1953 ALICE I. DICK, Register

ANDREW JAMES LOLLAR,	ğ	
Plaintiff	(≬ IN THE CIRCUIT COURT ()F¹
vs.	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAM	ĪΑ
NEWFORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,	IN LAW NO. 1973	
Defendant	¥	

Comes now the plaintiff, Andrew James Lollar, and in answer to the interrogatories heretofore filed in this cause says:

- 1. Andrew James Lollar (a) I was a truck driver for Mr. J. W. Jones of 2152 St. Stephens Road, Mobile, Alabama.
- 2. I was driving the pick-up truck from Bay Minette to Mobile, Alabama.
- 3. I was hauling gravel for Mr. J. W. Jones at the time of the accident, and Mr. Jones was the owner of the truck.
- 4. I was operating the truck at the time of the accident as the employee of Mr. J. W. Jones, whose addiess has been previously siven.
- 5. In addition to Mr. Jones' whose address I have given, Silas Morgan of Frichard, Alabama, was a passenger and was a day-laborer engaged by Mr. Jones.
- 6. 35 mph
- 7. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 8. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 9. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 10. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 11. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 12. Just after the truck had entered the curve where the accident took place, the Newport truck started around us. There was a yellow line at the place where he started passing us and the Newport Industries truck passed the Jones' truck in the middle of the curve. This curve is the first big curve located about a mile and a half east of Spanish Fort, on Highway 31.
- 13. I would imagine a 100 to 150 feet.
- 14. See 13
- 15. 30 to 35 mph
- 16. 30 to 25 mph
- 17. No
- 18. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 19. Six inches

- 20. I don't know
- 21. No
- 22. A foot or so
- 23. The Jones' truck was a total wreck being entirely smashed.
- 24. The brakes were in excellent condition.
- 25. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 26. No
- 27. Inapplicable
- 28. No
- 29. The entire truck was in the middle of the highway and all four wheels were within the paved section of the highway.
- 30. No
- 31. When I started putting on brakes each wheel held firm and the truck was in perfect balance until the Newport truck forced me off the highway at which time I turned over and rolled down the middle of the highway. The Jones' truck was loaded with gravel.
- 32. Yes, I was injured about the chest and in my arms and legs; all these were badly bruised.
- 33. I was treated at the City Hospital in Mobile, but I do not know the name of the doctor who treated me. I was taken to the hospital immediately after the accident.
- 34. See 33
- 35. I have incurred the following expenses from the accident: \$25.00 ambulance fee of which I do not have the bill.
- 36. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 37. I lost from two and a half to three months on the job and a total of \$480.00 was lost in wages.
- 38. I have sustained no permanent injuries by reason of the accident.
- 39. As previously stated I was a truck driver for Jones at the time of the accident.
- 40. No
- 41. No
- 42. No

Vandrew James Blear

STATE OF ALABAMA

MULLE COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned authority in and for said State and County, personally appears Andrew James Lollar, who being duly sworn by me doth depose and say that the answers herein made to the interrogatories are true and correct.

V andrew James Lelas.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 10 day of July,

JUL 10 1954

Notary Public, State at Large

Notary Public, Mobile County, Alabama, My commission expires May 29, 1955; Bonded by American Surety Co. of N. Y.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

IN LAW NO. 1978

ANDREW JAMES LOLEAR.

Flaintiff

vs.

MEMFORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,

Defendant

ૹૻૹૻઌૹૡૹૹૹૹૹૹૹ૽૽૽૽ૹઌઌૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૹૢઌૢઌૢઌૢઌૢઌ

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

J. CONHOR OWENS, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW DAY MINETTE, ALABAMA