Plaintiff

vs.

Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC.,
a corporation, and JAMES
H. CHAFFIN,

Defendant

Comes now the plaintiff, Silas Morgan, and in answer to the interrogatories heretofore filed in this cause says:

1. Silas Morgan (a) I was a day-laborer for Mr. J. W. Jones of 2152 St. Stephens Road, Mobile, Alabama.

- 2. I was a passenger in the pick-up truck traveling from Bay Minette to Mobile, Alabama.
- 3. I was helping haul gravel for Mr. J. W. Jones at the time of the accident, and Mr. Jones was the owner of the truck.
- 4. I was a passenger in the truck at the time of the accident as the employee of Mr. J. W. Jones, whose address has been previously given.
- 5. In addition to Mr. Jones' whose address I have given, Andrew James Lollar of Mobile, Alabama, the driver, was engaged by Mr. Jones.
- 6. 35 mph
- 7. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 8. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 9. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 10. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 11. Irrelevant and immaterail
- 12. Just after the truck had entered the curve where the accident took place, the Newport truck started around us. There was a yellow line at the place where he started passing us and the Newport truck passed the Jones' truck in the middle of the curve. This curve is the first big curve located about a mile and a half east of Spanish Fort, on Highway 31.
- 13. I would imagine a 100 to 150 feet.
- 14. See 13
- 15. 30 to 35 mph
- 16. 30 to 25 mph
- 17. No
- 18. Irrelevant and immaterial

- 19. Six inches
- 20. I don't know
- 21. No
- 22. A foot or so
- 23. The Jones: truck was a total wreck being entirely smashed.
- 24. The brakes were in excellent condition.
- 25. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 26. No
- 27. Inapplicable
- 28. No
- 29. The entire truck was in the middle of the highway and all four wheels were within the paved section of the highway.
- 30. No
- 31. When Lollar started putting on brakes each wheel held firm and the truck was in perfect balance until the Newport truck forced me off the highway at which time the truck turned over and rolled down the middle of the highway. The Jones' truck was loaded with gravel.
- 32. Yes, I was injured about the eyes and in my arms and legs; all these were badly bruised, and my eyes were closed for three weeks.
- 33. I was treated at the City Hospital in Mobile, but I do not know the name of the doctor who treated me. I was taken to the hospital immediately after the accident.
- 34. See 33
- 35. I have incurred the following expenses from the accident: \$25.00 ambulance fee of which I do not have the bill.
- 36. Irrelevant and immaterial
- 37. I lost from two and a half to three months on the job and a total of \$380.00 was lost in wages.
- 38. I have sustained no permanent injuries by reason of the accident.
- 39. As previously stated I was a day laborer working for Jones at the time of the accident.
- 40. No
- 41. No
- 42. No

Lilas Marsan

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALBUIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned authority in and for said State and County, personally appears Silas Morgan, who being duly sworn by me doth depose and say the answers herein made to the interrogatories are true and correct.

Lilas morgan

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the __/O __day of July, 1954.

2 00 54 ON EXPIRES AUGUST 22, 1955

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
IN LAW NO. 1972

SILAS MORGAN,

Flaintiff

Vs.

NEWFORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES'

Defendant

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

ALICE I. BUCK, Clerk

J. COMMOR OWENS, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

.... r

Ŀ

- A

SILAS MORGAN,	Ĭ.	
Plaintiff	Ž	TN DUTE OTDOXITE COIDS OT
vs.	D	· IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF .
NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES	¥	BALDWIN GOUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW. NO. 1972
H. CHAFFIN,	Ž	their MAA MONTH on all the late of the lat
Defendants	Ĭ	

Now comes the plaintiff, by his attorneys, and amends his complaint filed heretofore in this cause so that the same shall read:

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$2,500.00) DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore on January 8, 1953, the plaintiff was riding in a truck being operated Southwardly on U. S. Highway 31, a public road in Baldwin County, Alabama, approximately one and one-half miles East of Spanish Fort. At the same time and place the defendant, James H. Chaffin, who was then and there agent or servant of the defendant Newport Industries, Inc., acting within the line and scope of his authority and duty as such was offerating a 1951 Mack tractor and trailor on such highway and was w overtaking and passing the vehicle in which the plaintiff was riding, and the said James H. Chaffin drove to the right side of the highway before the vehicle he was operating was safe and clear of the vehicle which the plaintiff was riding and such turn to the right forced the vehicle which the plaintiff was riding off the road and to overturn and be wrecked. As a direct and proximate consequence of the negligence of the defendant Chaffin aforesaid, the plaintiff was severely cut and bruised and broken about his body, he suffered severe abrasions and cuts about the eyes and face, he suffered great physical pain and mental anguish, all to his damage in the amount claimed, Wherefore this suit.

11-9-54

ALICE J. DUCK. Clerk

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW. NO. 1972

SILAS MORGAN,

Plaintiff

vs.

NEWFORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,

Defendant

AMENDED COMPLAINT

FILED 11-9-5-4

aucel-heuch

J. CONNOR OWENS, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA SILAS MORGAN, * IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

Plaintiff, * OF

vs. * BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES INC., *
a Corporation, and JAMES
H. CHAFFIN, *

Defendants. * In Law No. 1972

Comes now the Defendants, NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a Corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN, and moves the Honorable Court to enter a judgment of dismissal in the above styled cause and for reason therefore alleges as follows:

That said suit was instituted by the Plaintiff, SILAS MORGAN, by a complaint and summons filed on April 23, 1953; on May 20, 1953, service was had on the attorney for the Plaintiff of demurrers to the complaint by the above named Defendants; that on August 18, 1953, this Honorable Court sustained Defendants: demurrers to said complaint after hearing and argument in open court: that notice of said ruling was given to the attorney for the Plaintiff by letter dated January 4, 1954; that said cause was set down for hearing on the pleadings before this Honorable Court on June 15, 1954; that further hearings on the pleadings were set down before this Honorable Court on August 17, 1954; that said sause is set for trial on September 13, 1954; that over one year has elapsed since the sustaining of Defendants' demurrers to Plaintiff's complaint and during that time Plaintiff has filed no amended complaint thereto; that there is presently no valid complaint upon which issue has been joined and Defendants are unable to properly prepare themselves for trial at the time set;

WHEREFORE, Defendants ask that the above styled case be dis-

missed; that notice of this motion be given to Plaintiff and that said motion be set down for hearing prior to Deptember 13, 1954.

FILED 9=6-54

INGE, TWITTY, ARMBRECHT & JACKSON

ALICE 1. DUCK, Clerk

Filed 9-6-54 wiref-aluca ceun

SILAS MORGAN,	* ** *	Ď			
Plaintiff		Ž.	TN THE C	TRCITTY	COURT OF
vs.		Ĭ	BALDWIN		•
NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,		Q Q	AT LAW.	No	•
Defendants		ð			· · · · ·

Now comes the plaintiff, by his attorneys, and amends his complaint filed heretofore in this cause so that the same shall read:

The plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$2,500.00) DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore on January 8, 1953, the plaintiff was riding in a truck being operated Southwardly on U. S. Highway 31, a public road in Baldwin County, Alabama, approximately one and one-half miles East of Spanish Fort. At the same time and place the defendant, James H. Chaffin, who was then and there agent or servant of the defendant Newport Industries, Inc., acting within the line and scope of his authority and duty as such was operating a 1951 Mack tractor and trailor on such highway and was overtaking and passing the vehicle in which the plaintiff was riding; that the left side of the highway was not free of oncoming traffic and there was not sufficient distance to commit the overtaking and passing, in that said overtaking and passing was upon a curve on the highway where the driver's view along the highway was restricted within a distance of 500 feet; that traffic coming in a Northwardly direction forced the said James H. Chaffin to travel to the right side of the highway before the vehicle he was operating was safe and clear of the vehicle which the plaintiff was riding and such turn to the right forced the vehicle which the plaintiff was riding off the road and to overturn and be wrecked. As a direct and proximate consequence of the negligence of the defendant Chaffin aforesaid, the plaintiff was severely cut and bruised and broken about his body, he suffered severe abrasions and cuts about the eyes and face, he suffered great physical pain and mental anguish, all to his damage in the amount claimed. Wherefore this suit.

FILED

9-6-54

ALIGE 1: DUCK, Clerk

Attorney for the Plaintiff

Aftorney for the Flaintif:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW. NO, 1972.

SILAS MORGAN,

Plaintiff

VS.

NEWFORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,

Defendants

AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT

FILED 96-5-4

Acice Japanell
Ceura

J. CONNOR OWENS, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

SILAS MORGAN,	Ž	
Plaintiff	Ž	
versus	ğ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
THE THE TAXABLE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF T	ğ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,
NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES	Ĭ	AT LAW NO. 1972
H. CHAFFIN,	I	
Defendant.	Ž	

DEMURRER TO THE COMPLAINT

COME now the Defendants and each of them separately and severally and demur to the complaint therein, as amended, and to each count thereof, separately and severally, on the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to constitute a cause of action.
- 2. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the existence of any legal duty owing from these defendants to the plaintiff at the time and place of the matters and things therein complained of.
- 3. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show any breach of any legal duty owing from these defendants to the plaintiff at the time and place of the matters and things complained of.
- 4. It does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the alleged breach of duty was the proximate cause of the alleged injuries and damage.
- 5. Sufficient causal connection between the alleged negligence and the alleged injuries and damage does not appear therein.

- 6. The defendant CHAFFIN was under no legal duty to refraim from turning the vehicle, which he was operating at the time and place complained of, to the right side of the highway in front of the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating at the time and place complained of.
- Said count is vague, indefinite and uncertain in that it does not sufficiently appear therefrom how and in what manner the alleged negligence caused the truck in which the plaintiff was riding to overturn and to be wrecked.
- 8. For aught appearing in said count, the said tractor and trailer driven by the defendant CHAFFIN did not run into or against or collide with the truck in which the plaintiff was riding.
- 9. The allegation therein that the defendant CHAFFIN negligently traveled to the right side of the highway before the vehicle he was operating was safely clear of the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating, and such turn to the right forced the vehicle which the plaintiff was operating off of the road and to overturn and be wrecked is a conclusion of the pleader and not supported by sufficient allegation of fact.
- The defendant CHAFFIN had a legal right to pass the truck in which the plaintiff was riding and to pull said tractor and trailer to the right side of the highway in front of the vehicle in which the plaintiff was riding.

FILED Sup Z. 24 -54

By Alm M Council a

Attorneys for Defendants

INGE, TWITTY, ARMBRECHT & JACKSON

- 2 -

SEP 24 1954
SEP 24 1954
SUCK CLOSE

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN

Plaintiff,

COUNTY, ALABAMA.

** C ..

76

×

....

AT LAW

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., A Corporation, and JAMES

A Corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,

℀

No. 1972

Defendants.

DEMURRER TO THE COMPLAINT

Come now the defendants, and each of them separately and severally, and demur to the complaint therein and to each count thereof, separately and severally, on the following separate and several grounds:

- 1. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to constitute a cause of action.
- 2. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show the existence of any legal duty owing from this defendant to the plaintiff at the time and place of the matters and things therein complained of.
- 3. Sufficient facts are not alleged therein to show any breach of any legal duty owing from this defendant to the plaintiff at the time and place of the matters and things complained of.
- 4. It does not sufficiently appear therefrom that the alleged breach of duty was the proximate cause of the alleged injuries and damage.
- 5. Sufficient causal connection between the alleged negligence and the alleged injuries and damages does not appear therein.
 - 6. The defendant Chaffin was under no legal duty to

refrain from pulling said tractor and trailer immediately in front of the truck in which the plaintiff was riding as alleged in said count.

- 7. Said count is vague, indefinite and uncertain in that it does not sufficiently appear therefrom how or in what manner the alleged negligence caused the truck in which the plaintiff was riding to overturn and to be wrecked.
- 8. For aught appearing in said count, the said tractor and trailer driven by the defendant Chaffin did not run into or against or collide with the truck in which the plaintiff was riding.
- 9. The allegation therein that the defendant Chaffin negligently pulled such tractor and trailer immediately in front of the truck in which the plaintiff was riding "in such manner as to cause the truck in which the plaintiff was riding to overturn and be wrecked" is a conclusion of the pleader not supported by sufficient allegation of fact.
- 10. The defendant Chaffin had a legal right to pass the truck in which the plaintiff was riding and to pull said tractor and trailer immediately in front of the truck in which the plaintiff was riding.

Service accepted this 20

day of May 1953.

Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED

Super Mitty Ambrecht & Jacobson Attorneys for Defendants

may 23,1952

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

Record of the state

1013

FILED MAY 23 1953

Allife I. Duck, Register

SILAS MORGAN,	Q	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN
Plaintiff,	Q	COUNTY, ALABAMA,
VS.	Q	AT LAW
NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., A Corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,	Ó Ó	No. 1972
Defendants.	Q	

The Plaintiff claims of the defendants the sum of TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100ths (\$2,500.00) DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore and on January 8, 1953, the Plaintiff was a passenger in a truck being then and there operated Southwardly on U. S. Highway 31 approximately one and one-half miles East of Spanish Fort in Baldwin County, Alabama. At that time and place the Defendant James H. Chaffin, who was then and there an agent or servant of the Defendant Newport Industries, Inc., acting within the line and scope of his authority and duty as such, was operating a 1951 Mack tractor and trailor on such highway immediately in rear of the truck in which the Plaintiff was riding and was attempting to pass such truck. The Plaintiff avers that in passing the truck in which the Plaintiff was riding as a passenger, the Defendant Chaffin negligently pulled such tractor and trailor immediately in front of the truck in which the Plaintiff was riding in such a manner as to cause the truck in which the Plaintiff was riding to overturn and be wrecked. As a direct and proximate consequence of the negligence of the Defendant Chaffin in turning such tractor and trailor immediately in front of the truck in which the Plaintiff was riding the Plaintiff was severely cut and bruised and broken about his body, he suffered severe abrasions and cuts about the eyes and arms, he suffered great physical pain and mental anguish, all to his damage in the amount claimed.

Wherefore this suit.

4-23-53

ALICE I. Will. Graintiff demands that this cause be fried by a jury.

for Plaintiff

Serve Defendants:

Newport Industries - Bay Minette, Alabama

James H. Chaffin - Perdido, Alabama

, Markey Basilis

, 你你就然她还要找到

. S. 34

. Richamas Pro

THE SHARE STORE SERVICE AND SERVICES (12.507.94) and AND TO SERVICE STORE STORE (12.507.94) and the store of the State State State State State of and the store of the State State State State State of and the state of and state of the State of a state of

"Postfin cagniques to pulling and town to device and brailes a required by a circula of the direction to which the which the circulations was retained to we the cape of the cape of the cape of activity was related by a safety of the cape of the cape of the pulling of the cape of th

្នាស្លា សង្សាក់ ១២៩៦ ១៩៩៣ <u>,</u>

TERMINE TOTE (ANTORE).

CONTROL OF THE CONTRO

OF BEET, ACTOR OF

VALUE VAL

 x_{ij} , x_{ij}

To a section is the section of the

Serve Wednesdarin:

Newpoint Bad skilles - Bas Dinot be. Alabana & James A. Chartein - Popisifin, Alabana

appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in e Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against ewport Industries, a Corp and James H. Chafin SILAS MORGAN	TERM, 19 O ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA: ou Are Hereby Commanded to Summon Newport Industries. Inc: A Corp and James H. Cl pappear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Inc. Newport Industries, a Corp and James H. Chafin , Defendant	HE STATE OF ALABAMA,	CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY
ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA: On Are Hereby Commanded to Summon Newport Industries, Inc: A Corp and James H. Ch appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in a Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against swport Industries, a Corp and James H. Chafin , Defendant SILAS MORGAN	ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA: On Are Hereby Commanded to Summon Newport Industries, Inc: A Corp and James H. Cl appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in a Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against swport Industries, a Corp and James H. Chafin , Defendant SILAS MORGAN	BALDWIN COUNTY	No. 1972
appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in a Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Inc. A Corp and James H. Chafin SILAS MORGAN	appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in a Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Inc. Inc. A Corp and James H. Chafin Defendant Defend		TERM, 19
Appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF AI	LABAMA:
appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	- Are Hereby Commanded to Summan Ne	woort Industries. Inc: A Corp and James H. C.
Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	TATE Hereby Commanded to Summon	
Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against		
e Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against	e Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against Inc ewport Industries, a Corp and James H. Chafin SILAS MORGAN		
ewport Industries, a Corp and James H. Chafin , Defendant, Defendant	ewport Industries, a Corp and James H. Chafin , Defendant		
wport Industries, a Corp and James H. Chafin, Defendant SILAS MORGAN	ewport Industries, a Corpand James H. Chafin, Defendant SILAS MORGAN	appear and plead, answer or demur, within thi	irty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in
SILAS MORGAN	SILAS MORGAN		
		e Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of A	labama, at Bay Minette, against
		e Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of A	labama, at Bay Minette, against
	, Plaintiff	e Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of A Inc ewport Industries, a Corpand James	labama, at Bay Minette, against

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant's Attorney

Defendant lives at

	RECEI	IVED II	OFFI	CE	
	(In)	2.	- 2c	F	ج سم
	Juli	/ (-//		
Me	411	Whi.	WE	81	ıeriff
	T haye ex	cecuted t	his sum	mons	
this_e	79			<u>ک 19,</u>	~ 3
	ng a çop			,17	
				PAN	.
O Ly	ne.	eth	9-	-of	ナ
on	ne	w	Ros	X.	· .
B	. 8			Qu	_
100	1200	Bu	TII		00
De					
an	S J C	2me	2H	Chy	pri
b.				V	
		_	•		
	<u> </u>				
					
•	• *				_
	_, _ ;		 .		
10	A			•	
170	ىلار	W	lh	\mathcal{J}_{s}	heriff
1. 0		-1	- -	D	·
man y	Y17 (KILL.	22 T	Denuty S	heriff

Plaintiff,

VS.

JAMES H. CHAFFIN, et al.,

Defendants.

I IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW NO. 1972
I

PLEA:

Come now the Defendants in the above styled cause, by their attorneys, and for answer to the Complaint heretofore filed against them, separately and severally, plead as follows:

1. Not guilty.

FILED 1-18-55

ALICE J. BUCK, Clerk

Respectfully submitted,

INGE, TWITTY, ARMBRECHT & JACKSON

and

CHASON & STONE

By: Attorneys for Defendants.

Plaintiff,

· vs.

JAMES H. CHAFFIN, et al.,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

NO. 1972

PLEA

FILED

JAN 18 1955

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

LAW OFFICES

CHASON & STONE

BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

Plaintiff,

℀ IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN

COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS.

¥-

X

×

AT LAW

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., A Corporation, and JAMES

No. 1972

H. CHAFFIN,

Defendants.

INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY EACH ONE OF THE DEFENDANTS TO THE PLAINTIFF

Come now the defendants in the above styled cause, and each of said defendants, separately and severally, and propound the following interrogatories to the plaintiff, to be answered under oath as required by law:

- State your name, age and place of residence.
- State your occupation at the time of the alleged accident, and give the name and address of your employer.
- State in detail the purpose or purposes for which the truck in which you were riding was being operated at the time of the alleged accident, stating the place where said journey was begun and the intended destination thereof.
- State whether or not the truck of Mr. J. W. Jones in which you were riding was being operated for the purpose of performing any mission for any person, firm or corporation at the time of the alleged accident, and if you have not already done so, state in detail the mission which was being performed and the name and address of such person, firm or corporation.
- State whether or not you were operating the said truck of J. W. Jones at the time of said accident, and as an employee of any person, firm or corporation, and state

the name and address of your employer. 5. Give the names and residential addresses of each one of the persons who was in the said Jones truck in which you were riding at the time and place of the alleged accident, and explain why each such person was in said truck. 6. State how fast the Jones truck was being operated immediately before the alleged accident and before the vehicle of Newport Industries, Inc. began to attempt to pass said truck. State whether or not any other vehicle had already passed the Jones truck going in the same direction and immediately ahead of the vehicle of Newport Industries, Inc., and if you answer in the affirmative, give a description of the said third vehicle and state how far ahead of the said Newport Industries, Inc.'s vehicle the said third vehicle was traveling at the time of the alleged accident. Is it not a fact that a truck of the Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight Company passed the Jones truck going in the same direction and ahead of the said vehicle of the Newport Industries, Inc.? 9. State how fast the Jones truck was traveling at the time said truck of Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight Company passed it. State how far the Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight Company truck ran from the time it first began to attempt to pass the Jones truck to the time it completed said passage. 11. State whether or not the speed of the Jones truck was increased or decreased during the time which the said **-** 2 **-**

Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight Company truck was attempting to pass it, and if so, state the maximum speed and the minimum speed of the Jones truck during that time. State as nearly as you can the exact place where the Jones truck was when the defendant Newport Industries, Inc.'s vehicle first began to attempt to pass said Jones truck. State how far the Jones truck ran between the time that the said Newport Industries vehicle first attempted to pass said truck until the time of the alleged accident. 14. State how far the Jones truck ran from the time the said Newport Industries vehicle first attempted to pass said truck until the time the said Newport vehicle completed its said passage and was back on its right hand side of the road. State how fast the Jones truck was traveling at the time that the said Newport vehicle first attempted to pass said truck. 16. State how fast the Jones truck was traveling during all of the time the said Newport vehicle was in the act of passing said truck. Is it not a fact that when the Newport vehicle was in the act of passing the Jones truck, the driver of said truck speeded up the Jones truck and attempted to prevent its passage. State as nearly as you can exactly the position of the Jones truck and the position of the Newport Industries vehicle and the position of the Atlanta-New Orleans Motor Freight truck at the time of the alleged accident. **-** 3 -

19. What was the distance between the nearest point of the Newport Industries vehicle and the nearest point of the Jones truck at the time the brakes were first applied on said truck immediately before the alleged accident. State the distance that the Jones truck travelled between the time the brakes were first applied on said truck to the time said truck came to a complete stop. State whether the Jones truck in the alleged accident collided with any object, and if your answer is in the affirmative, describe the object in detail. State whether or not the Jones truck ran off of the paved portion of the highway at the time of or immediately prior to the alleged accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, state what distance the said truck ran off of the highway measured longitudinally and what distance it was off of the highway measured laterally. 23. Describe in detail the damage done to the Jones truck in the alleged accident, describing each part which was damaged. State the condition of the brakes on the Jones truck immediately before the accident.

State the date upon which said brakes were last

Is it not a fact that the brakes on one or more

repaired or adjusted before the alleged accident and give

the name and address of the garage which adjusted or re-

paired the brakes, and describe in detail such repairs.

of the wheels of the Jones truck grabbed or locked to the

point that said wheel or wheels ceased to turn before the

- 4 -

forward motion of the Jones truck had ceased when the brakes

were applied immediately before the alleged accident? If your answer to the next preceding interrogatory is in the affirmative, state which one or more of the wheels locked. State whether or not any one or more of the wheels on the Jones truck skidded between the time the brakes were first applied thereon and the time said truck came to a complete stop immediately before the alleged accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, describe the distance which each one of the said wheels skidded. 29. State the position of the Jones truck immediately after it stopped after the alleged accident, stating the position of the truck on the pavement and whether or not all four wheels of the truck were on the pavement and if not, which wheels were not on the pavement. Is it not a fact that when the brakes were applied on the Jones truck immediately before the accident, the rear wheels went up in the air and the truck stood on its front end; if your answer is in the negative, describe the behavior of said truck in detail from the time the brakes were first applied until the time it came to a complete stop. 31. State whether or not the Jones truck was loaded at the time of the accident, and describe the load, giving the weight of the truck and the weight of the load. 32. State whether you claim that you sustained any personal injuries in this accident, and if so, describe the injuries in detail and completely. 33. State whether or not you were treated by any physician on account of said injuries, and if so, give the name and address of each such physician who treated your - 5 -

injuries and the date of each treatment, the nature of each such treatment and the place where each such treatment was had, whether at home, or at office or at a hospital. State whether you visited or were admitted to any hospital for the treatment of the injuries which you claim you received in this accident; if so, give the name and address of each such hospital and each one of the dates on which you were present at said hospital for treatment. State whether or not you incurred any expense on account of any of the injuries which you claim to have received in said accident; if your answer is in the affirmative, itemize each said item of expense with the date and the amount of each item and a description of each item, and attach to your answer a true copy of each bill rendered to you by any physician and by any hospital or by any drug store on account of any such expense. 36. Please state whether or not you have paid any of the expenses referred to in the next preceding interrogatory, and if your answer is in the affirmative, give the date and amount of each such payment. Please state whether or not you claim that you lost any time from your work on account of the injuries which you claim to have received in this accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, itemize in detail each date that you lost time from work and give the name and address of your employer and the amount of your salary or wage. Please state whether or not you claim to have sustained any permanent injury on account of said accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, describe in detail and completely the nature and extent of such injury and the symptoms thereof. - 6 -

State the name and address of each one of the occupants of said Jones truck at the time of said accident, and state in detail the understanding and agreement which you had with Mr. J. W. Jones pertaining to your transportation in said truck at the time of said accident. 40. State whether or not you paid or agreed to pay to Mr. J. W. Jones any money or other reward directly or indirectly for the transportation which was being furnished to you at the time of said accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, describe the reward in detail. 山。 State whether or not you are related by blood or marriage to any of the persons who were in said Jones truck immediately before said accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, state the name of each such individual and describe the relationship in detail. 42. State whether or not you had any conversation with the driver of the Newport Industries vehicle after the alleged accident and pertaining to the said accident, and if your answer is in the affirmative, state when and where such conversation took place and state as nearly as you can the exact words exchanged therein by each of you. Attorneys for Defendants NEWBORT INDUSTRIES, INC. and JAMES H. CHAFFIN STATE OF ALABAMA) COUNTY OF MOBILE) Before the undersigned Notary Public in and for said State and County personally appeared T. E. TWITTY, known by me to be one of the attorneys of record for the defendants in the above styled cause, and who, being first duly sworn

on oath, deposes and says that he has read the foregoing interrogatories and that the answers thereto, if truthfully made, will be material evidence for the defendants in the trial of said cause.

J. Frite

SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this 18th day of May, 1953.

Notary Public, Moyile County, Alabama.

Service accepted this 23

day_of May, 1953.

Attorpey for Plaintiff

FILED MAY 23 350

sear Louck, Projector

· ·

.

.

/

f.

SILAS MORGAN,	<u> </u>
Plaintiff	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	B ALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
NEWFORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN,	AT LAW 1972
Defendants	Ž

Now comes the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and in answer to the interrogatories heretofore filed says:

- 7. No
- 8. See number 7
- 9. See number 7
- 10. See number 7
- 18. See number 7
- 25. I don't remember.

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned authority in and for said State and County, personally appears Silas Morgan, who being duly sworn by me doth depose and say that the answers herein made to the interrogatories are true and correct.

SilabMassam

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 6 day of December, 1954.

Notary Public, Sixtematiciones

Mobile County, Alabama

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AUGUST 22, 1950

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW.

1972

SILAS MORGAN,

Plaintiff

vs.

NEWPORT INDUSTRIES, INC., a corporation, and JAMES H. CHAFFIN.

Defendants

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

LED 12-10-54

clery

~^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

J. CONNOR OWENS, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

SILAS MORGA	Ν,	Ĭ		
	Plaintiff	Ĭ	IN THE CIR	CUIT COURT OF
versus		ğ	BALDWIN COT	UNTY, ALABAMA,
	USTRIES, INC.,	Ĭ	AT LAW	NO. 1972
H. CHAFFIN,	on, and JAMES	Į		
	Defendant.	Ž		

COMES now the Defendants in the above styled cause and move the Court to require the Plaintiff to answer the following interrogatories propounded to him by the Defendants; No. 7, No. 8, No. 9, No. 10, No. 11, No. 18, No. 25 and No. 36; and further move the Court to require the Plaintiff to answer more fully the following interrogatories propounded to him by the Defendants; No. 1, No. 22, No. 23, No. 30, No. 31, No. 32, No. 33, No. 34 and No. 37; or the Defendants move the Court to dismiss the above cause of action brought by the Plaintiff therein or to give such other appropriate relief as the Defendants may be entitled to.

INGE, TWITTY, ARMBRECHT & JACKSON

By John Mc Council of Attorneys for Defendants

.

72/2

•