HENRY HESSE,	X	
Plaintiff,	I	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
vs.	Ĭ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
ROY WHATLEY,	X	LAW SIDE
Defendant.	. 1	No. 1881.

Comes now the Defendant, by his Attorneys, and for answer to the Complaint filed in the above styled cause, says:

1. That he is not guilty of the matters alleged

therein.

FILED 2-27-53 API WCK, Clark

CHASON & STONE

y: May

vs for Vefendant.

HENRY HESSE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROY WHATLEY,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

LAW SIDE.

NO. 1881.

2-21-53.
HYBART, CHASON & STONE

BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

HENRY HESSE,	Q	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	Ŏ	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.	Ř	LAW SIDE.
ROY WHATLEY,	Q	No. 1881.
Defendant.	Q	

Comes now the Defendant by his attorneys, and demurs to the Complaint heretofore filed against him in the above styled cause, and assigns the following separate and several grounds in support thereof:

- 1. That said Complaint fails to state a cause of action.
- 2. That said Complaint fails to allege a duty owing by the Defendant to the Plaintiff.
- 3. For aught that appears from said Complaint there was no duty owing from the Defendant to the Plaintiff at the time and placed complained of.
- 4. That said Complaint is vague and indefinite and uncertain.
- 5. That said Complaint fails to allege who was the the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant.
- 6. That said Complaint does not allege with sufficient certainty the place at which said accident occurred.
- 7. That said Complaint seeks damages in excess of those allowed by law.
- 8. That the damages claimed in the Complaint are excessive.
- 9. For aught that appears from the Complaint the Plaintiff's automobile was not totally destroyed.
- 10. For aught that appears from the Complaint the injuries and damages complained of were not the proximate result of any negligence of the Defendant.
- ll. For aught that appears from the Complaint the damages and injuries complained of were not the proximate result of any negligence of any servant, agent or employee of the Defendant.

707.17,1952
ALICE J. DUCK. Clark

Respectfully submitted, CHASON & STONE

BY: Marlorus Stone

DEMURRER

HENRY HESSE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROY WHATLEY,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LAW SIDE
NO. 1881.



LAW OFFICES

CHASON & STONE

BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

HENRY HESSE,

* IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Plaintiff,

* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

VS

* AT LAW.

ROY WHATLEY,

* No. 1881

Defendant.

-3¢-

COUNT ONE:

Plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of Fifty Thousand and no/100 (\$50,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 1st day of August, 1952, the agent, servant, or employee of the defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his authority as such, so negligently operated an automobile westwardly on Alabama Highway No. 89, a public road in Baldwin County, Alabama at a point about two miles east of the city limits of Foley, Alabama, as to cause or allow said automobile to run into, upon and against the automobile belonging to and being driven by the plaintiff, which was then and there being driven in a eastwardly direction on said highway 89 at said time and place, and as a direct and proximate result of the said negligence as aforesaid, plaintiff's automobile was badly broken, bent and damaged, and the plaintiff lost the use of his automobile, which was used in his business, for a long period of time; and the plaintiff was seriously and permanently injured and damaged, his body was bruised and lacerated; he suffered three cracked, broken, and fractured ribs, he suffered cuts and bruises in and about the face, head and ears, he suffered cuts and bruises all over his body, his eye glasses were broken, he suffered a bruised or pulled muscle in his left leg, his teeth were cracked, he was caused to suffer and will continue to suffer great physical pain and mental anguish, he was caused to incur medical expense in and about the care and treatment of his said injuries and will continue to incur

medical expense in the future in caring for his said injuries; he was made sick and sore; he was caused to lose time from his work; he was and will be permanently scarred, disfigured, disabled, and injured, he was caused to have a knot in his right leg, his ankles were caused to swell, and become sore, and he was caused to lose a contract of employment, all to the plaintiff's damage, hence this suit.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLANTIFF

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury,

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
Defendant resides at P. O. Box 473, Dequincy, Louisiana.

FILED Let 28,1952

ALICE J. DUCK, Glerk

Since also details of the profit of the state of the second of the state of the sta

COT 250 1952

We, the Juny, Count agree.

All Vent

THE	STATE	OF	ATAR	AMA
سائقا الم			السلامات مسبقطات	TATATATA
11	BALDWI	N CO	UNTY	

CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY
No. 1881

TERM, 19_

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA: You Are Hereby Commanded to Summon ROY WHATLEY to appear and plead, answer or demur, within thirty days from the service hereof, to the complaint filed in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, State of Alabama, at Bay Minette, against -ROY WHATLEY . Defendant ___. HENRY HESSE Plaintiff_ 28th Witness my hand this -

Defendant's Attorney

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

of

Henry Hesse, Plaintiff

vs

- - E

Roy Whatley, Defendant

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW No. 1881

TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA, AT LAW:

I, Agnes Baggett, Secretary of State, hereby certify that on October 30 1952, I sent by registered mail in an envelope addressed as follows:

" Roy Whatley
P.O.Box 473
Dequincy, Louisiana "

"Registered mail
Return Receipt Requested
Deliver to Addressee only"

bearing sufficient and proper prepaid postage, a notice bearing my signature and the Great Seal of the State of Alabama in words and figures as follows:

"Roy Whatley P.O.Box 473 Dequincy, Louisiana

You will take notice that on <u>October 30</u>, 1952 the Sheriff of Montgomery County, Alabama served upon me, in my official capacity, summons and complaint in a case entitled

Henry Hesse, Plaintiff vs Roy Whatley,

Defendant in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama at Law Wounty, Alabama, Case No. 1881, a true copy of which summons and complaint is attached hereto and the said service upon me as Secretary of State of the State of Alabama has the force and effect of personal service upon you.

WITNESS MY HAND and the Great Seal of the State of Alabama this the 30th day of October , 1952.

Signed) Agnes Baggett

Mrs.Agnes Baggett

Secretary of State "

Enclosures - 1

I further certify that the notice above set out which was so mailed in the envelope addressed as above set forth had attached to it a true copy of the summons and complaint in the above-styled cause.

I further certify that on <u>November 5</u>, 1952. I received the return card, showing receipt by the designated addressee of the aforementioned matter, at Dequincy, Louisiana on <u>November 3</u>, 1952.

WITNESS MY HAND and the Great Seal of the State of Alabama this the $\underline{\texttt{5th}}$ day of $\underline{\texttt{November}}$, 1952.

Mrs. Agnes Baggett Secretary of State

Enclosures - (Return card and copy of summons and complaint)

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, BALDWIN COUNTY

CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY

BALL	OWIN COUNTY		No3_3			* O 📉
		1 A. 1		~~~~		TERM, 19_
	*.	To your section of the section of th	•			
TO ANY SHER	IFF OF THE	STATE OF AL	ABAMA:		15/20	J
		14 1 444			1 1/1	JO 12 06
You Are Hereby	Commanded to	Summon	and the same of	er er	15-1	
e de la companya de l					16	SON STAIN
100				1 		AMINI
					hereof, to the	complaint filed
					hereof, to the	complaint filed
					hereof, to the cagainst	complaint filed i
to appear and ple					hereof, to the cagainst	complaint filed
the Circuit Court					hereof, to the cagainst	complaint filed
					hereof, to the cagainst	complaint filed
the Circuit Court					hereof, to the cagainst	complaint filed

No	Page						
)				Del	endant l	ives at	
.45.4	TE OF ALAE OWIN COUNTY	AWA					
		.	·	D DOD	Wign IN	offici	<u> </u>
CIRCI	UIT COURT		The second secon	KRCE	1 (((((((((((((((((((VOPPICE	
			Ý.				, 19
			Section 1	1.		*	
				, ,			, Sheriff
-		aintiffs	É			his summe	ns
	vs.						-,19
<u> </u>			by leavi	ng a cop	y with		
	9		<u>-</u>	i i			
A ¹ in the second	Defe	endants					
SILMMONIS	SandCOMPL	AINT			:		
SOMMONE	Janucomi	PARINI		:			
Filed		9		:			
		C1 1			:		
		, Clerk					3.
•				:			
1							
			.:				
					1		
		Section 2	<u> </u>			:	
					:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*:	Plaintiff's A	ttorney	:				Sherift
	Defendant's A	fforney					
	Detendant 3 11	ttorney			·	Dep	uty Sherifi

RECEIVED OF STATE

HENRY HESSE,

* IN THE CIRCUIT COU

Plaintiff,

* BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA?

VS

* AT LAW.

FOY WHATLEY,

* NO.

Defendant.

.56

COUNT ONE:

Plaintiff claims of the defendant the sum of Fifty Thousand and no/100 (\$50,000.00) Dollars as damages for that heretofore on, to-wit, the 1st day of August, 1952, the agent, servant, or employee of the defendant, while acting within the line and scope of his authority as such, so negligently operated an automobile westwardly on Alabama Highway No. 89, a mublic road in Baldwin County, Alabama at a point about two miles east of the city limits of Poley, Alabama, as to cause or allow said automobile to run into, upon and against the automobile belonging to and being driven by the plaintiff, which was then and there being driven in a eastwardly direction on said highway 89 at said time and place and as a direct and proximate result of the said negligence as aforesaid, plaintiff's automobile was badly broken, bent and damaged, and the plaintiff lost the use of his automobile, which was used in his business, for a long period of time; and the plaintiff was seriously and permanently injured and damaged, his body was bruised and lacerated; he suffered three cracked, broken, and fractured ribs, he suffered cuts and bruises in and about the face, head and ears, he suffered cuts and bruises all over his body, his eye glasses were broken, he suffered a bruised or pulled muscle in his left leg, his teeth were cracked, he was caused to suffer and will continue to suffer great physical pain and mental anguish, he was caused to incur medical expense in and about the care and treatment of his said injuries and will continue to incur

medical expense in the future in caring for his said injuries; he was made sick and sore; he was caused to lose time from his work; he was and will be permanently scarred, disfigured, disabled, and injured, he was caused to have a knot in his right leg, his ankles were caused to swell, and become sore, and he was caused to lose a contract of employment, all to the plaintiff's damage, hence this suit.

ATTOMATE TOR PLAY IT THERETON

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLANNIFF

Defendant resides at P. O. Box 473, Dequincy, Louisiana.

000 52305 The second second

. Yrul yd Isirt a ebnameb llithi

ALIONALY NOT TON WAS TO SEE THE

PILED
OCT 28 1952
Auge 1. Duck, Clerk

LAW OFFICES OF

HOWELL AND JOHNSTON

FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX

P. O. BOX 1652

MOBILE 9, ALABAMA

THOMAS O. HOWELL, JR
THOMAS A. JOHNSTON, III

ALICE LOUISE MANRY

October 27, 1952

Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk Circuit Court Baldwin County Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

You will please find enclosed the orginal and three copies of complaint in a case styled Henry Hesse vs Roy Whatley. You will also find enclosed a check No. 10190 in the amount of \$3.00. You can see from this complaint that the defendant is a non resident and we are requesting that you effect service in this case through the Secretary of State as is provided by Title 7, Section 199 of the 1940 Code of Alabama.

Very truly yours,

HOWELL & JOHNSTON

Irvin J. Langford

IJL:bh Encs. Herry Herre Ve. Huy WANNEy

NAME

OCCUPATION

RESIDENCE

Tarrence Sr.	Merchant	Foley
(2. Capt. W.P. Wren	Fleet Worker	Bay Minette
Trace C. Morgan	Farmer	Robertsdale
	Farmer	Robertsdale
	Farmer	Belforest
	Truck Driver	Robertsdale
market Charles of Charles of the Charles	Brookley Field	Fairhope
C. David Barnhill	Farmer	Loxley
	Farmer	Foley
-10 AND Hoberton LO	Farmer	Bon Secout
ll Homer Flowers	Farmer	Bon Secour
12% Joseph Sopr	Farmer	Silverhill
-Dankeece McGirtin	Brookley Field	Perdido
— The Touis Davis Moore 1999	Farmer	Summerdale
المجارة على المجارة ال	Bank Cashier	Bay Minette
16. Walter Quinley Co	City Employee	Bay Minette
The second secon	Electrician	Bay Minette
- Joseph Smith	Real Estate	Bay Minette
(19. Billy Bozone	Grocery Business	Bay Minette
(20% James Lindsey	Real Estate	Bay Minette
- Pester Eubanks - L	Jeweler	Bay Minette
(22) Woodrow Booth	P. M. A. Office	Bay Minette
23. Albert M. Thompson	Merchant	Bay Minette
24 Frank Turner	County Agent	Bay Minette
25 Robert Miller	Drug Clerk	Bay Minette
	Insurance	Bay Minette
4-24-cores Tomes	Sign Painter	Bay Minette
CA LEGE SECTION OF THE SECTION OF TH	Newport	Bay Minette
	.	
1 H 7		

一大茶子

IN THE W

Towns of the second
(2) Capt. W.P. Wreth
1 Kn - we story of the fame
Low Technical Palmer
- Sand Control of the
CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
and the second of the second o
S David Barnhill
A SA
12 and 15 miles to the second of the second
ILL Homer Flowers
TZZ Jeseph Sopr
Committee Contraction Contract
The second of th
Isa Ja A. Wurst
(162 Walter Quinley Kg Sy
The state of the s
Was the state of the second of
19, Billy Bosone
20% James Lindsey
- Skiraduz-Kobesk-O-zgiff
(224 Woodrow Booth
(23. Albert M. Thompson
(24). Frank Turner
(25% Robert Miller of the
Control of the second of the s
Committee Seems Andrews
And the property of the control of t

Foley .	Merchant
Bay Winette	Fleet Worker
Robertsdale	Farmer
Robertsdale	Farmer
Belforest	Farmer
Robertsdale	Truck Briver
Pairrhope	Brookley Field
loxley	Tarmer
Foley	Teams T
Pon Secout	190778
Bon Secour	Yarmer
Silverhill	"Son Is T
Perdido	Prockley Field
Summerdale	Farmer
Bay Minette	Bank Cashier
Bay Minette	City Employee
Bay Minette	Electrician
Eay Minette	Real Estate
Egy Minette	Grocery Eusiness
Bay Minette	Real Estate
Bay Minette	Jeweler
Bay Minette	P. M. A. Office
Bay Minette	Merchant
Bay Minette	County Agent
Bay Minette	Drug Clerk
Bay Minette	Insurance
Bay Minette	Sign Painter
Bay Minette	Memborn
	,

Property of the Same of the Same

Sweet pers

Sweet fred

Green from

The Court charges the jury that if you believe from the evidence in this case that Jimmy R. Whatley was not the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant, Roy Whatley, then you must find for the Defendant.

5.

The Court charges the jury that the relationship of father and son does not of itself make the son the agent of his father and unless you believe from the evidence that Jimmy R. Whatley, the son of the Defendant, Roy Whatley, was his agent, servant or employee at the time of the accident complained of then you must find for the Defendant.

6.

The Court charges the jury that in order for the Plaintiff to recover in this suit you must believe from the evidence that Jimmy R. Whatley, the son of the Defendant, was the agent, servant or employee of his father and was acting within the line and scope of this agency relationship at the time of the accident complained of, and the mere fact that he is the son of the Defendant is not of itself sufficient to establish this relationship.

The Court charges the jury that in order for the Plaintiff to recover in this suit you must believe that the driver of the Defendant's automobile was the agent, servant or employee of the Defendant and was acting within the line and scope of his agency at the time of the accident complained of and further that he was guilty of negligence which was the direct cause of the damages and injuries to the Plaintiff and unless you so believe you must find for the Defendant.

9.

Symulton by the state of the st

Iwe fre put

The Court charges the jury that it is unlawful to park an automobile on the paved portions of the highways of this State and that to do so is a negligent act, and if you believe from the evidence in this case that Riley Byrd parked his automobile on the paved portion of the highway in violation of the laws of the State of Alabama and that his negligence in so parking his automobile was the direct and proximate cause of the damages and injuries to the Plaintiff then your verdict should be for the Defendant.

11.

The Court charges the jury that a father is not liable for the negligent acts of his son in which he does not participate and if you believe from the evidence in this case that Jimmy Whatley had borrowed his father's car for the purpose of securing food for his household and that his father, the Defendant, did not participate in this venture in any way, but simply lent his automobile to his son, then you should find for the Defendant.

Swingspig

The Court charges the jury that the Plaintiff in this case cannot recover if you believe from the evidence that the injuries sustained by the Plaintiff were the direct and proximate result of the fact, if it be a fact, that Riley Byrd parked his automobile on the paved portion of the highway at the time and place that the accident complained of occurred.

Swing gus

Jourson

14.

The Court charges the jury that the Plaintiff in this suit cannot recover if you believe from the evidence that the accident complained of was unavoidable and that the driver of the Defendant's automobile, Jimmy R. Whatley, did every thing that a reasonably prudent man should have done in the circumstances at the time and

15.

place complained of.

The Court charges the jury that unless you believe from the evidence that the Plaintiff suffered injuries as a direct and proximate consequence of the negligence of an agent, servant or employee of the Defendant then you cannot find for the Plaintiff.

Brei 1 trusteer program The Court charges the jury that unless you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence that Jimmy Whatley did or omitted something on the occasion complained of which a reasonably prudent person similarly situated would, or would not, have done and that this proximately caused injuries to the Plaintiff, your verdict should be in favor of the Defendant.

17.

BOOK 002 PAGE 437

The Court charges the jury that if you believe from the evidence in this case that the driver of the Defendant's automobile did, or omitted to do, every thing that a reasonably prudent man should, or should not, have done in the same circumstances then your verdict should be for the Defendant.

taman kaling talang grandung kaling bakan didikan

The Court charges the jury that in order for the Plaintiff to recover from the Defendant in this suit you must be reasonably satisfied from the evidence that the driver of the Defendant's automobile was guilty of negligence which proximately contributed to the injuries of the Plaintiff and in this regard the Court further charges the jury that if you believe from the evidence that the driver of the Defendant's automobile acted on the occasion complained of as a reasonably prudent person similarly situated would have acted then, in that event, the driver would not be guilty of regligence and your verdict should be for the Defendant.

Growing tore

OFFICIAL BUSINESS		Ager Stranger	POSTMARK OF DELIVE
	Talanika in alimber berak Kentengan pentengan ini		
Results to Section 1			*
Street and Number 1 or Past Office Box ()	(MARKE OF SERVICE) IN IN A SERVICE A CONTROL OF SERVICE		
REGISTERED ARTICLE			
No1/2/56	Post Office/L		1.729.21.4
INSURED PARCEL			
No	1613021	State	

Form 3811 Lev. 1-4-40

RETURN RECEIPT

Received from the Postmaster the Registered of Insured Article, the original number of which appears on the face of this Card,

1

(Signature of addresses)

(Signature of addresses)

(Signature of addresses)

Date of delivery NOV 3 1992.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

18---12421