

SEARS, ROEBUCK and CO.,
a Corporation,

Plaintiff

vs.

EARLY GASKIN,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,


At Law,

No.


1770

Count One

Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of
One Hundred Fifty Seven and 62/100 (\$157.62) Dollars, due
from him by account on, to-wit February 19, 1952, which sum of
money with interest thereon is still due and unpaid.


Attorney For Plaintiff

An itemized verified statement of this account
is attached hereto and forms a part hereof.


Attorney For Plaintiff

Defendants address:

Montrose, Alabama

Received 3-15 1952
by carrying copy of within Summons and
Complaint on

Early Gaston

Taylor Walker Sheriff
By Y+7 Hall Deputy Sheriff

FILED
MAR 12 1952
ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

SEARS, ROEBUCK & Co.,
a Corp.,
Plaintiff

vs.

EARLY GASKIN,
Defendant.

- IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN
- COUNTY, ALABAMA,
- AT LAW
- NO. 1770

MOTION

Now comes the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and moves that it be granted a default judgment in the above styled cause for One Hundred Fifty Seven and 62/100 (\$157.62) Dollars, due on an itemized verified statement of account, for that said Early Gaskin, Defendant was served with a copy of the complaint on the 15th day of March, 1952, and that he has failed to answer same within the time allowed by law in such matters pertaining.


Attorney For Plaintiff

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{1. } \text{The } \text{first } \text{two} \text{ terms} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{2. } \text{The } \text{third} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{3. } \text{The } \text{fourth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{4. } \text{The } \text{fifth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{5. } \text{The } \text{sixth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{6. } \text{The } \text{seventh} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{7. } \text{The } \text{eighth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{8. } \text{The } \text{ninth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{9. } \text{The } \text{tenth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{10. } \text{The } \text{eleventh} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{11. } \text{The } \text{twelfth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{12. } \text{The } \text{thirteenth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{13. } \text{The } \text{fourteenth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{14. } \text{The } \text{fifteenth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{15. } \text{The } \text{sixteenth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{16. } \text{The } \text{seventeenth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{17. } \text{The } \text{eighteenth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{18. } \text{The } \text{nineteenth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{19. } \text{The } \text{twentieth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{20. } \text{The } \text{twenty-first} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{21. } \text{The } \text{twenty-second} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{22. } \text{The } \text{twenty-third} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{23. } \text{The } \text{twenty-fourth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{24. } \text{The } \text{twenty-fifth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{25. } \text{The } \text{twenty-sixth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{26. } \text{The } \text{twenty-seventh} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{27. } \text{The } \text{twenty-eighth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{28. } \text{The } \text{twenty-ninth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{29. } \text{The } \text{thirtieth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \\ \text{30. } \text{The } \text{thirtieth} \text{ term} \text{ of the series} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$
[illegible]

Figure 1 consists of two scatter plots. The left plot shows a positive correlation between the number of children and the number of adults, with a regression line indicating a positive slope. The right plot shows a negative correlation between the number of children and the number of adults, with a regression line indicating a negative slope.

[illegible]

Figure 1

2000 年 12 月 20 日
 2001 年 1 月 10 日
 2001 年 1 月 20 日
 2001 年 2 月 10 日
 2001 年 2 月 20 日
 2001 年 3 月 10 日
 2001 年 3 月 20 日
 2001 年 4 月 10 日
 2001 年 4 月 20 日
 2001 年 5 月 10 日
 2001 年 5 月 20 日
 2001 年 6 月 10 日
 2001 年 6 月 20 日
 2001 年 7 月 10 日
 2001 年 7 月 20 日
 2001 年 8 月 10 日
 2001 年 8 月 20 日
 2001 年 9 月 10 日
 2001 年 9 月 20 日
 2001 年 10 月 10 日
 2001 年 10 月 20 日
 2001 年 11 月 10 日
 2001 年 11 月 20 日
 2001 年 12 月 10 日
 2001 年 12 月 20 日

[illegible][illegible]

Filed 5-19-52 -
A. J. French
Clerk

11

SEARS, ROEBUCK & Co.,
a Corp.,
Plaintiff

vs.

EARLY GASKIN,
Defendant.

- IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN
- COUNTY, ALABAMA,
- AT LAW
- NO. 1770

MOTION

Now comes the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and moves that it be granted a default judgment in the above styled cause for One Hundred Fifty Seven and 62/100 (\$157.62) Dollars, due on an itemized verified statement of account, for that said Early Gaskin, Defendant was served with a copy of the complaint on the 15th day of March, 1952, and that he has failed to answer same within the time allowed by law in such matters pertaining.


Attorney For Plaintiff

John L. Moore
Attorney at Law
736 First National Bank Building
Mobile, Alabama
Phone 3-3815

May 17, 1952

Mrs. Alice Duck, Clerk
Circuit Court Baldwin County
Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck:

Re: Sears, Roebuck & Co, Plaintiff
vs
Early Gaskin, Defendant

Enclosed herewith motion for default judgment in
the above styled matter that I would thank you to present
to the Judge for his approval.

Thanking you for your help, I am

Very truly yours,


John L. Moore

1770

1770

1770

1770

1770

1770

1770

1770

1770

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.

Local Address 51 So. Royal St.City Mobile State Ala.Date 3-6-52

Early Gaskin
Gen. Del.
Montrose, Ala.

KINDLY DETACH AND RETURN THIS COUPON WITH YOUR REMITTANCE

PURCHASE DATE	QUANTITY	MERCHANDISE	
		Balance-----	157.62
		Personally before me appeared D. B. Hinds, who after being duly sworn deposed and states that he is Credit Manager for Sears, Roebuck and Co., Mobile, Ala., that he is familiar with the accounts due said Company, that he has personal knowledge of the account described herein and that the foregoing balance is just, due and unpaid.	
		SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO. By <u>[Signature]</u> Credit Manager	
		Subscribed to and sworn to before me this <u>7th</u> day of <u>March</u> 19 <u>52</u> .	
		<u>[Signature]</u> Notary Public Mobile County, State of <u>Ala.</u>	
		My commission expires <u>5-2</u> 19 <u>54</u> .	

OUR PRICES ARE BASED UPON CASH TERMS. FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF CUSTOMERS WHO HAVE OPEN ACCOUNTS WITH US, A STATEMENT OF PURCHASES IS RENDERED ON THE FIRST OF EACH MONTH, PAYABLE ON OR BEFORE THE TENTH.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.

Local Address 51 So. Royal St.City Mobile State Ala.Date 3-6-52

Early Gaskin
Gen. Del.
Montrose, Ala.

KINDLY DETACH AND RETURN THIS COUPON WITH YOUR REMITTANCE

PURCHASE DATE	QUANTITY	MERCHANDISE		
2-10-51		1948 Chev. Motor, oil, spark plugs, and installation.	207.75	
		Tax	3.07	
			210.82	
		Deposit	21.00	
			189.82	
		C/Charge	16.50	
			206.32	206.32
2-23-51		Clothing tax included	54.74	
		Deposit	9.74	
			45.00	
		C/Charge	4.00	
			49.00	49.00
				255.32
		Payments: 4/2/51	17.00	
		6/16/51	10.00	
		6-27/51	10.00	
		7-18/51	10.00	
		10/24/51	10.00	
		11/14/51	12.00	
		12/17/51	5.00	
		1/19/52	5.00	
			79.00	79.00
				176.32

TERMS: CASH, NO DISCOUNT
10TH OF MONTH AFTER SHIPMENT

Con't

OUR PRICES ARE BASED UPON CASH TERMS. FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF CUSTOMERS WHO HAVE OPEN ACCOUNTS WITH US, A STATEMENT OF PURCHASES IS RENDERED ON THE FIRST OF EACH MONTH, PAYABLE ON OR BEFORE THE TENTH.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.

Local Address 51 So. Royal St.

City Mobile State Ala.

Date 3-6-52

Early Gaskin
Gen. Del.
Montrose, Ala.

KINDLY DETACH AND RETURN THIS COUPON WITH YOUR REMITTANCE

QUANTITY	MERCHANDISE		
	Con't		176.32
1	Credited to account		
	ROR #478600		
	Chev. Motor	17.00	
	C/Charge	<u>1.70</u>	
		18.70	18.70
			<u>157.62</u>
<p>TERMS: CASH, NO DISCOUNT 10TH OF MONTH AFTER SHIPMENT</p>			

OUR PRICES ARE BASED UPON CASH TERMS. FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF CUSTOMERS WHO HAVE OPEN ACCOUNTS WITH US, A STATEMENT OF PURCHASES IS RENDERED ON THE FIRST OF EACH MONTH, PAYABLE ON OR

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, {
BALDWIN COUNTY

CIRCUIT COURT BALDWIN COUNTY

TERM, 194

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA—GREETING:

WHEREAS, At a regular Spring Term, 1942 of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County,
to-wit: On the 20th day of May, 1942, being a regular day of

said term, Sears Roebuck & Co. a corp -

recovered judgment against Early Gaskin

for the sum of One Hundred fifty nine & 98/100 Dollars, and cost of suit,

and affidavit having been made by John L. Moore
that process of garnishment is believed to be necessary to obtain satisfaction of such Judgment, and that the
following named persons or corporations, viz:

Alabama Dry Dock & Shipbuilding Co. of Mobile, Alabama.

has or is believed to have in their possession, or under their control money
or effects belonging to said defendant Early Gaskin or that Alabama Dry Dock & Shipbuilding Co.
is believed to be indebted to said defendant Early Gaskin or to be liable to them, or to one of them on a
contract for the delivery of personal property, or on a contract for the payment of money which may be
discharged by the delivery of personal property or which is payable in personal property.

You Are Therefore Hereby Commanded to Summon

Alabama Dry Dock & Shipbuilding Co. of Mobile
Deu -

to be and appear before the honorable the Circuit Court for Baldwin County, at the Court House thereof, in
the city of Bay Minette, on the (within thirty days) Monday in June A. D. 1942,

then and there within the three first days of the term, to answer on oath, whether at the time of the service
of the garnishment, or at the time making their answer, or at any time intervening the time of serv-
ing the garnishment and making the answer was indebted to said defendant

Early Gaskin and whether They will not be indebted in future to said defendant

Early Gaskin by a contract then existing, and whether by a contract then existing They

is, or are, liable to said defendants for the delivery of personal property, or for the payment of money which

may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property, and

whether Alabama Dry Dock & Shipbuilding Co. has not in their possession or under their control money or

effects belonging to the defendant Early Gaskin

Herein fail not, and have you then and there this Writ.

Witness, W. DUCK, Clerk of said Court, this 23rd day of June

A. D., 1942 ISSUED 23rd day of June A. D. 1942

ATTEST:

W. D. Duck Clerk.

Received in Sheriff's Office
this 23 day of June, 1952
TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff

RECORDED 187
Circuit Court, Baldwin County

No. 177 1/2

Sears Roebuck & Co
a corp

VS. } GARNISHMENT ON JUDGMENT

Earley Khaskin

25X
H. H. Wilson
Personnel mgr

Alabama Dry Dock &
Shipbuilding Co - Birmingham

Issued 23 day of June 1952

Returnable _____ day of _____ 1952

John L. Moore
Attorney.

Moore Printing Co., Bay Minette, Ala.

Received 24 Day of June 1952
and on 25 Day of June 1952
I served a copy of the within on
Earley Khaskin
Shipbuilding Co
by service on H. H. Wilson
Personnel mgr

W. H. HOLCOMBE, Sheriff
By Oliver D. D.S.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA,
THE MOBILE COUNTY

Notary Public of Mobile County, Ala.
~~Ex-Officio Clerk Inferior Civil Court of Mobile~~

Before me, the undersigned, John L. Moore who made oath in
due form of law that Sears, Roebuck & Co., A Corp., hath
obtained judgment against Early Jackson

for One Hundred + Fifty Nine 98/100 Dollars
besides cost of suit before the Circuit Court, Baldwin County, Alabama
~~Inferior Civil Court of Mobile~~ and that

Alabama Dry Dock & Ship Building Co., Mobile,
Alabama is supposed to be indebted
to or have effects of the said Early Jackson

in its possession, or under its control, and that he believe process of
Garnishment is necessary against the said Alabama Dry Dock &
Shipbuilding Co., Mobile & Alabama
to obtain satisfaction of its judgment.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the
21 day of June 1922

Charles K. [Signature] (SEAL)
~~Ex-Officio Clerk Inferior Civil Court of Mobile~~

Notary Public Mobile County, Alabama

John L. Moore

John L. Moore
Attorney at Law
736 First National Bank Building
Mobile, Alabama
Phone 3-3815

July 8, 1952

Mrs. Alice J. Duck, Clerk
Circuit Court, Baldwin County
Bay Minette, Alabama

Dear Mrs. Duck: Re: Sears, Roebuck & Co.,
 Plaintiff
 vs
 Early Gaskin,
 Defendant
 No. 1770

Today I was informed by my client that after garnishment proceedings were filed in your court in the above matter they received a money order from the defendant for \$20.00. Will you please take steps to give the defendant credit for this amount.

You will recall that my garnishment was for \$159.98 besides cost of suit.

With best regards, I remain.

Very truly yours,


John L. Moore

JLM:PM

cc: Mr. Hubert Wilson
c/o Alabama Dry Dock & Shipbuilding Co
Mobile, Alabama

Early Gaskin
Montrose, Alabama

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

NO. 1770

RET.

Seane Reebuck + Co. A Corp
Plaintiff

VS. }

GARNISHMENT

Early Deakin
Defendant

Ala. Dry Dock + Ship Building Co.
Garnishee

Executed by Service on

Defendant

Notice of Garnishment,

This _____ day of _____ 194_____

Sheriff Mobile County

By _____
Deputy Sheriff

Executed by Service on

Garnishee

This _____ day of _____ 194_____

Sheriff Mobile County

By _____
Deputy Sheriff

THE STATE OF ALABAMA,
MOBILE COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned, Notary Public Mobile County, Alabama
~~Ex Officio Clerk Inferior Civil Court of Mobile~~, personally appeared

John L. Moore who made oath in
due form of law that Sears Roebuck & Co., Inc. hath
obtained judgment against Early Jackson

for One Hundred & Fifty Nine ⁹⁸/₁₀₀ Dollars

besides cost of suit before the Circuit Court of Baldwin Co., Ala. Alabama
~~Inferior Civil Court of Mobile~~, and that

Dock & Ship Building Co., of Mobile, Alabama
is supposed to be indebted

to or have effects of the said Early Jackson

in its possession, or under its control, and that he believe process of

Garnishment is necessary against the said Alabama Dry Dock & Shipbuilding
Co., of Mobile, Alabama

to obtain satisfaction of its judgment.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the

21 day of June 1942

Charles Hoffman (SEAL)

~~Ex Officio Clerk Inferior Civil Court of Mobile~~

Notary Public Mobile County, Alabama

NO. 1770 1/2

RET.

Seave Poolman & Co., A Corp
Plaintiff

VS.

GARNISHMENT

Early Jackson
Defendant

Albany Dry Dock & Ship Repairing Co
Defendant

Executed by Service on

Notice of Garnishment,

Defendant

This _____ day of _____ 194_____

Sheriff Mobile County

By _____
Deputy Sheriff

Executed by Service on

Garnishee

This _____ day of _____ 194_____

Sheriff Mobile County

By _____
Deputy Sheriff

(see att-memo)

STATE OF ALABAMA:

COUNTY OF MOBILE:

Sears Roebuck & Co. a Corp. PLAINTIFF

Early Ghaskin DEFENDANT

Your # 1770 $\frac{1}{2}$

VS:

ALABAMA DRY DOCK & SHIPBUILDING COMPANY, Garnishees:

Before the Circuit Court, Baldwin County,
Court of Mobile in and for said County and State:

And now comes the Alabama Dry Dock and Shipbuilding Company, through
and by H.H. Wilson its agent duly authorized by it to make
this answer, and for answer to the garnishment in this cause says:

In accordance with the new Garnishment Law we are in process of
collecting and have not had the necessary time to accumulate a sum equal
the amount shown as due by the court.

ALABAMA DRY DOCK & SHIPBUILDING CO.

By H.H. Wilson Agent.
H. H. Wilson

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this

21st day of July 1952

E. J. [Signature]
Notary Public, Mobile County,
Alabama.

refer to our # 12

Filed

7-21-52

Asia - Bureau

RECEIVED JUL 21 1952

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ASIAN AFFAIRS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20520

July 19, 1952

TO: THE SECRETARY OF STATE, WASHINGTON, D.C.

FROM: THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, WASHINGTON, D.C.

RE: [Illegible text]

Very respectfully,
[Illegible signature]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

cc: [Illegible text]

Executed 3-15 1952

by serving copy of within Summons and
Complaint on

Early Garton

Gayle Wilkins Sheriff

By H F Hall Deputy Sheriff

FILED

MAR 12 1952

RECORDED

1
Memo 7-19-52

For -- Mrs. Alice J. Duck (clerk)
Circuit Court
Bay Mills also

Referring to letters addressed
to you from John L. Moore
under the date of July-8-52
of which I was mailed a
Copy (your case No-1770 1/2)

In reference to the
above I can not reduce
the amt. of Garnishment
unless instructed by
your respective Court

J. H. Carlson
Agent--

Clatry Black & W. Co.

Bay Minette, Ala., June 23, 1945

To the Sheriff of Mobile County, Mobile, Alabama.

I enclose herewith Comm. on Judgment - Ala. Wry
Deck & Shipbuilding Co.

Please serve and return as early as possible.

Seymour Wilkins
Sheriff, Baldwin County, Alabama.

(If not found in your county please advise promptly giving information as to present location, if possible)