

1690

THE FOLLOWING IS IN CONNECTION WITH THE FHA TITLE I NOTE OF AARON & ILA M. REYNOLDS
ENDORSED BY EDWARDS ROOFING CO.

<u>PAYMENT DATE</u>	<u>DATE PAYMENT MADE</u>	<u>PAYMENT MADE BY</u>
Sept. 5, 1950	October 19, 1950	Edwards Roofing Co.
October 5, 1950	October 19, 1950	Edwards Roofing Co.
November 5, 1950	November 15, 1950	Edwards Roofing Co.
December 5, 1950	December 14, 1950	Edwards Roofing Co.
January 5, 1951	January 11, 1951	Edwards Roofing Co.
February 5, 1951	February 8, 1951	Edwards Roofing Co.
March 5, 1951	March 10, 1951	Edwards Roofing Co.
April 5, 1951	April 10, 1951	Edwards Roofing Co.
May 5, 1951	May 9, 1951	Edwards Roofing Co.
June 5, 1951	June 9, 1951	Edwards Roofing Co.
July 5, 1951	June 25, 1951 Final Pymt.	Edwards Roofing Co.

FHA Title I Note for \$890.88 - 24 payments @ \$37.13

Thirteen (13) payments made by Aaron Reynolds and Ila M. Reynolds

Eleven (11) payments made by Edwards Roofing Company

THE FLORIDA NATIONAL BANK AT PENSACOLA
PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

50%

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

8500

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

100

[illegible]

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030

93939

0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the synthesis of the poly(arylene ether)s 1a–1d. The polymerization was carried out in the presence of $\text{Pb}(\text{OAc})_2$ and $\text{Pb}(\text{OAc})_2/\text{Pb}(\text{OAc})_2$ as catalysts.

[illegible][illegible]

2000

1. The first part of the paper discusses the importance of the role of the state in the development of the economy. It argues that the state should play a leading role in the development of the economy, particularly in the areas of infrastructure, education, and health care.

Figure 1. A schematic diagram of the experimental setup. The subject is seated in a chair, viewing a video screen. The screen displays a target (a small circle) and a starting point (a larger circle). The subject's hand is positioned at the starting point. The distance between the starting point and the target is labeled as d . The subject is instructed to move their hand from the starting point to the target. The video screen is connected to a computer system, which records the hand's position and movement time.

[illegible]

1. *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.

1000

[illegible][illegible]

Figure 1. The four types of the four-point bending test.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was exposed to a control environment (CE) and the EG was exposed to an experimental environment (EE). The EE was designed to simulate a real-world environment with various stimuli (e.g., traffic, noise, and visual clutter). The subjects were exposed to the EE for a period of 10 days. The subjects were then exposed to a test environment (TE) and their performance was measured. The TE was designed to be similar to the EE, but with a different set of stimuli. The subjects were exposed to the TE for a period of 10 days. The subjects were then exposed to a final test environment (FTE) and their performance was measured. The FTE was designed to be similar to the TE, but with a different set of stimuli. The subjects were exposed to the FTE for a period of 10 days. The subjects were then exposed to a final test environment (FTE) and their performance was measured. The FTE was designed to be similar to the TE, but with a different set of stimuli. The subjects were exposed to the FTE for a period of 10 days.

[illegible]

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG).

Abstract

Figure 1

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group and the experimental group. The control group was divided into two subgroups: the control group and the experimental group. The experimental group was divided into two subgroups: the control group and the experimental group. The control group was divided into two subgroups: the control group and the experimental group. The experimental group was divided into two subgroups: the control group and the experimental group.

DE

[illegible]

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of the experimental design. It shows a sequence of events: a subject is presented with a stimulus (a circle with a dot), then a response is recorded (a circle with a dot), and finally, the subject is presented with a stimulus (a circle with a dot). The response is recorded, and the stimulus is presented again. The sequence is labeled 'Stimulus' and 'Response'.

[illegible]

00
 01
 02
 03
 04
 05
 06
 07
 08
 09
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99


[illegible]

Figure 1. The effect of the number of trials on the number of correct responses. The number of correct responses was significantly higher than the number of incorrect responses in all cases. The number of correct responses was significantly higher than the number of incorrect responses in all cases. The number of correct responses was significantly higher than the number of incorrect responses in all cases.

RECORDED

EDWARDS ROOFING COMPANY,) (
a partnership,) (IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Plaintiff,) (BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
-vs-) (LAW SIDE
AARON REYNOLDS and) (
ILA M. REYNOLDS,) (
Defendants.) (

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause, and, the defendants having failed to plead, answer or demur to the Bill of Complaint filed therein for more than thirty (30) days, motion is hereby made for a judgment by default in favor of the plaintiff.


Attorney for Plaintiff

1698

RECORDED

) () () () () () () ()

MOTION FOR JUDGMENT

BY DEFAULT

) () () () () () () ()

EDWARDS ROOFING COMPANY,
a partnership,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

AARON REYNOLDS and
ILA M. REYNOLDS,

Defendants.

) () () () () () () ()

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

LAW SIDE

) () () FILED () () ()

DEC 1 1951

ALICE L. DUCK, Clerk

Received in Sheriff's Office
this 24 day of Aug, 1951
TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff

Executed Aug. 25, 1951
By leaving copy on
Aaron Reynolds and
Ila M. Reynolds.

Sheriff
Taylor Wilkins
By
Edleigh Steadham

701696.

RECORDED COMPLAINT

Edwards Roofing Company

Plaintiff

-VS-

Aaron Reynolds and Ila M.
Reynolds,

Defendants

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

Filed this the 24th day of August,
1951.

Alex French
Clerk.

Executed by leaving a copy of
the within Summons and Complaint
with the Defendants on the
day of CECIL G. CHASON Aug., 1951

ATTORNEY AT LAW
FOLEY, ALABAMA

Sheriff

1696