

| MARGARET KROZER, | |
|---|---|
| Plaintiff, | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF |
| -vs- T. M. MOSS and AIMA LOUISE MOSS, | BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW |
| Defendants. | , 불필 t,EAlsa Louise Moss, and for an- |
| Swer to the plaintiff's complaint and severagely says:- | and each count thereof separately |
| Tiliniala Manabant Defendant | G Attorney for Defendant |

MARGARET KROZER,

Plaintiff,

ু**-∨s-**ঐ

T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALOWING COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

SMOV 15 While A buck, check

Plaintiff,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

MOSS,

AT LAW

Defendants.

We now comes the defendant. T. M. Moss, and for answer to

the plaintiff's complaint and each count thereof separately and

severally says:
I. That he is not pully.

Else of the defendant of the count thereof separately and

severally says:
Else of the defendant of the count thereof separately and

severally says:
Else of the defendant of the count thereof separately and

severally says:
Else of the defendant of the count thereof separately and

severally says:
Else of the defendant of the count thereof separately and

severally says:
Else of the defendant of the count thereof separately and

severally says:
Else of the defendant of the count thereof separately and

severally says:
Else of the defendant of the count thereof separately and

Attorney for Defendant

MARGARET KROZER,

Plaintiff,

T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

000223

STATE OF ALABAMA & BALDWIN COUNTY &

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of Margaret Krozer.

WITNESS my hand this 20th day of June, 1949.

| • | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| | | leich rench |
| | · · · · · | olerk |
| | | |
| MARGARET KROZER, | Ø | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF |
| PLAINTIFF | Q | BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA |
| VS. | Q | AT LAW |
| T. M. MOSS and ALMA | Q | |
| LOUISE MOSS. DEFENDANTS | Ď | |
| | Ď | |
| | 1. | |

She suffered bruises about the face; she suffered bruises and lacerations on her body; she was permanently injured; she was caused to suffer hospital bills; she was caused to suffer medical bills; she loss time from her work; she suffered severe, physical and mental anquish.

2.

The Plaintiff daims of the Defendants TWO THOUSAND (\$2000.00)

DOLLARS, as damages for that heretofore on to-wit, August 13th, 1948,
the Plaintiff was riding as a guest in an automobile along the highway
leading from Foley to Point Clear, a public highway in Baldwin County,
Alabama, at a point near Magnolia Springs; that at said time and place

the Defendant T. M. Moss, acting by and through his agen, servant or employee, Alma Louise Moss, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of her employment, negligently drove an automobile immediately in front of the automobile in which the Plaintiff was riding as a guest, and as a result of the negligence of the said Alma Louise Moss, at the said time and place the automobile in which the Plaintiff was riding as a guest was forced off the highway, and as a proximate result of said negligence, at the said time and place, the Plaintiff was injured as follows:

She suffered bruises about the face; she suffered bruises and lacerations on her body; she was permanently injured; she was caused to suffer hospital bills; she was caused to suffer medical bills; she loss time from her work; she suffered severe, physical and mental anguish.

That the damages and injuries suffered by the Plaintiff were the proximate result of the negligence on the part of the said Alma Louise Moss, the servant, agent or employee of the Defendant T. M. Moss, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of her employment.

Attorney for Plaintiff

The Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Attorney for Plaintiff

6/29/49

RECORDED

Received in Phenil's Office this 28 day of June, 1949 TAYLOR WIZKINS, Shanfi

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

MARGARIET KROZER

PLAINTIFF

VS.

T. M. MOSS AND ALMA LOUISE MOSS.

DEFELDANTS

In the Law office of H. M. Hall.

Filed this the ____day of June, 1949/

FILED JUN 28 1949 MINE 1 MINE, COM Executed 6-29 1049
by serving copy of within Summons and
Compatible on

T. W. Most Forme most

Tought Wilhing Sheriff By Ellerigh Steadlick MARGARET KROZER,

Plaintiff,

O
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
O
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
O
T. M. MOSS, and ALMA
LOUISE MOSS,

Defendants.

O
Defendants.

Comes the defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the complaint, and separately and severally to each count thereof, and for grounds of demurrer assign separately and severally the following:

- 1. That said complaint does not state a cause of action.
- That said Count 1 shows no duty owed by the defendant,
 M. Moss, to the plaintiff.
- 3. That said Count 2 shows no duty by the defendant, T. M. Moss, to the plaintiff.
- 4. That said Count 1 alleges that the act rather than the injury was negligence.
- on the part of the defendant.
- 6. That for ought that appears in Count 2 there was no negligence on the part of the defendant.
- 7. That the act set out in Count 2 as follows: "....drove the automobile she was then and there driving immediately in front of plaintiff...." does not set out an act of negligence.
- 8. That no facts are alleged to show the plaintiff sustained any damage or injury as a proximate ## result of any negligence or breach of duty on the part of the defendant.
- 9. That it is not alleged with sufficient certainty where said accident occured.
- 10. No facts are alleged to show that the defendants or either of them owed plaintiff any duty at the time and place of said accident and breached the same, thereby proximately causing her damage or injury.
 - 11. That said Count 1 is vague and indefinite.
 - 12. That said Count 2 is vague and indefinite.
- 13. That the averments of negligence are merely the conclusion of the plaintiff with no facts in support thereof.

C. G. C.

- 14. That no facts are alleged which show or create a duty on the part of the defendant to use a high degree of care as must be alleged in a count setting out only simple negligence.
- 15. That the complaint is too general in its averments as to the nature, character or extent of the injury to the plaintiff
- 16. That Count 2 of the complaint contains alternative averments.
 - 17. There is a misjoinder of actions.
- 18. The complaint alleges no actions which would make a master liable in a claim arising from acts of an agent, servant or employee when acting in the line and scope of servants employment.
 - 19. There is a misjoinder of parties.
- 20. That the complaint affirmatively shows through the statements that plaintiff's injuries were bruises and lacerations; that the injuries were of a minor nature.
- 23. That a complaint setting out that injuries were of a permanent nature must state the nature of the permanent injury.

Atterney for Defendant

RECORDED

DEMURRERS

MARGARET KROZER,

Plaintiff,

-- VS --

T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW

JUL 27 1949

AUG. J. Mick, Claix

CECIL G. CHASON ATTORNEY AT LAW FOLEY, ALABAMA MARGARET KROZER,

Plaintiff,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

T. M. MOSS and ALMA

O AT LAW

LOUISE MOSS,

Defendants.

Comes the defendant in the above styled cause and demurs to the complaint, and separately and severally to each count thereof, and for grounds of demurrer assign separately and severally the following:

- 1. That said complaint does not state a cause of action.
- 2. That said Count 1 shows no duty owed by the defendant, Alma Louise Moss, to the plaintiff.
- 3. That said Count 2 shows no duty by the defendant, Alma Louise Moss, to the plaintiff.
- 4. That said Count 1 alleges that the act rather than the injury was negligence.
- 5. That said Count 2 does not allege any act of negligence on the part of the defendant.
- 6. That for ought that appears in Count 2 there was no negligence on the part of the defendant.
- 7, That the act set out in Count 2 as follows: "...drove the automobile she was then and there driving immediately in front of plaintiff..." does not set out an act of negligence.
- 8. That no facts are alleged to show the plaintiff sustained any damage or injury as a proximate result of any negligence or breach of duty on the part of the defendant.
- 9. That it is not alleged with sufficient certainty where said accident occured.
- 10. No facts are alleged to show that the defendants or either of them owed plaintiff any duty at the time and place of said accident and breached the same, thereby proximately causing her damage or injury.
 - 11. That said Count 1 is vague and indefinite.
 - 12. That said Count 2 is vague and indefinite.
- 13. That the averments of negligence are merely the conclusions of the plaintiff with no facts in support thereof.

C. G. C.

- 14. That no facts are alleged which show or create a duty on the part of the defendant to use a high degree of care as must be alleged in a count setting out only simple negligence.
- 15. That the complaint is too general in its averments as to the nature, character or extent of the injury to the plaintiff.
- 16. That Count 2 of the complaint contains alternative averments.
 - 17. There is a misjoinder of actions.
- 18. The complaint alleges no actions which would make a master liable in a claim arising from acts of an agent, servant or employee when acting in the line and scope of servants employment.
 - 19. There is a misjoinder of parties.
- 20. That the complaint affirmatively shows through the statements that plaintiff's injuries were bruises and lacerations; that the injuries were of a minor nature.
- 21. That a complaint setting out that injuries were of a permanent nature must state the nature of the permanent injury.

Attorney for Defendant.

DEMURREES

MARGARET KROZER,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW

FILED

JUL 27 1949

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

CECIL G. CHASON

ATTORNEY AT LAW

FOLEY, ALABAMA

ropier Do W.M. Hale

)

| MARGARET KROZER, |) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF |
| -vs- T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS, |) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA) AT LAW |
| Defendants. | |

Now comes the defendant, Alma Louise Moss, and for answer to the plaintiff's complaint and each count thereof separately and severally says:-

I. That she is not guilty.

Attorney for Defendant

RECORDED

ANSWER

MARGARET KROZER,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW

NOV 15 1949

| MARGARET KROZER, |) |
|---|--|
| Plaintiff, |) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF |
| -vs- T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS, | BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA) AT LAW |
| Defendants. | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O |

Now comes the defendant, T. M. Moss, and for answer to the plaintiff's complaint and each count thereof separately and severally says:-

I. That he is not guilty.

c. G. C.

RECORDED

ANSWER

MARGARET KROZER,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

T. M. MOSS and ALMA LOUISE MOSS,

 ${\tt Defendants.}$

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW

FILED

NOV 15 1949

AUCE 1. DUCK, CIECK

