

STATE OF ALABAMA DALDWIN COUNTY D

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon A. L. McCUE, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court, to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of Therrell E. Malone.

Witness my hand this the /4 day of June, 1949.

Alice Level

THERRELL E. MALONE

PLAINTIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS.

AT LAW

DEFENDANT

O

DEFENDANT

1.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant FORTY SEVEN HUNDRED (\$4700.00) DOLLARS, due from him by account on the 19th day of July, 1949, which sum of money with the interest thereon is still due and unpaid.

2.

The Plaintiff chaims of the Defendant FORTY SEVEN HUNDRED (\$4700.00) DOLLARS, loaned by the Plaintiff to the Defendant on the 2nd day of January, 1943, which sum of money was to be repaid within 120 days from the date of written demand upon the Defendant, which written demandwas made by the Plaintiff upon the Defendant on July 19, 1948, which sum of money with the interest thereon from July 19, 1948, is still due and unpaid.

3.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant FORTY SEVEN HUNDRED (\$4700.00) DOLLARS, on account stated between the Plaintiff and the Defendant on the 19th day of July, 1948, which sum of money with the interest thereon is still due and unpaid.

4.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendant the sum of FORTY SEVEN HUNDRED (\$4700.00) DOLLARS, due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff by, under, and in accordance with the terms and conditions of a written contract in words and figures as follows:

Agreement made this 2nd day of January, A. D. 1943, by and between A. L. McCue, First Party, and Therrell E. Malone, Second Party, WITNESSETH: Whereas First Party is the owner and operator of business known as The BaldwinCounty Beverage Company with place of business located in Roberts-dale, Alabama, And, Whereas Second Party has placed in the hands of First Party for use in connection with said business the sum of Four Thousand Seven Hundred (4700) Dollars, Now

Therefore, it is understood and agreed between the Parties hereto that, subject to conditions herein set forth, the Parties hereto shall divide equally the net profits of said business EXCEPTING, HOWEVER, THE PROFITS GAINED BY THE SALE OF ALL COOKS AND SCHLITZ BEER which said last named sales are specifically excluded from any agreement existing between the parties hereto, and FOR THE DURATION OF THIS AGREEMENT, Second Party shall devote his time and effort as salesman, driver and in such other capacity as may be necessary in connection with the conduct of said business, and It is understood and agreed by and between the parties hereto that within agreement shall endure until written demand shall be made by eitherparty hereto to terminate same, Whereupon, First Party shall be expected to pay over to second party said sum of Four Thousand Seven Hundred (4700) Dollars plus all accrued profits due said Second Party within ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY (120) DAYS from the date of such written demand and upon said payment by said First Party to Second Party, this agreement shall be at an end and of no further force and effect.

It is understood and agreed that said division of profits as hereinabove set forth shall be on a semi-annual basis.

The Within Agreement shall apply to and bind the heirs, executors, administrators and assigns of the respective parties.

In Witness Whereof, the Parties have hereunto set their hands and seals this 2nd day of January, A. D. 1943.

Signed, sealed and delivered

A. L. McCue (Seal)

in presence of:

Mrs. L. J. Postle H. I. Wilters The Plaintiff alleges that written demand was made upon the Defendant on July 19, 1948; that said sum of money has not been paid by the Defendant to the Plaintiff; that said sum of money with the interest thereon from July 19th, 1948, is due and unpaid.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Received in Sheriff's Office this ISday of June, 1949 TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

THERRELL E. MALONE

PIAINTIFF

VS.

A. L. MCCUE

DEFENDANT

Executed 6-19 19 149 by serving copy of within Summons an Complaint on a f. Mccne

Law office of H. M. Hall.

Filed 6-14-49 accident

THERRELL E. MALONE

PLAINTIFF

VS

A. L. McCUE

DEFENDANT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ENDWIN COUNTY, ALABEA AT LAW.

PLEA

Comes the defendant in the above-entitled cause, appearing specially and only for the purpose of filing this plea, and says that the said Therrell E. Falone of Fairhope, Alabama, plaintiff in this cause, ought not to have and maintain his said action for the defendant says, separately and severally, as follows, to-wit:

That these causes arise from partnership agreements entered into between the plaintiff and defendant and that said partnership has not been dissolved as provided in the Code of alabama, Title 43, Section 35, and that said partnership has had no final accounting wherefore the defendant moves the court to dismiss said actions against the defendant since an action at law will not lie by one against another partner.

Wherefore, defendant says that the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, is without jurisdiction to try and determine this cause, and that this said action should be abated, and prays the judgment of this Honorable Court whether the plaintiff should be allowed to further maintain this suit.

Defondant.

Atterney for defendant.

THERRELL E. MALOHE.

· PIAINTIFF

VS

A.J. Mecus

DEPENDANT

PLEA

From the law offices of C. LeNoir Thompson Bay Minette, Alabama

STATE OF ALABAMA & BALDWIN COUNTY &

Before me, Selfore me, personally appeared A. L. McCue, who, being known to me, and by me first duly sworn, deposes and says on oath: That he is the defendant in the above entitled cause, and has personal knowledge of the facts stated in the foregoing pleas and that the said statements of fact therein contained are true.

a.L. Mc Cu

an to and subscribed before me, this the

Z__ day of March,

- Reserve Public, 13/1/2/2000 Country, Alabama.



THERRELL E. INLONE

PLAIMTIFF

٧S

A. L. MCUIE

DEFEMBANT

PLEA

From the law offices of C. LeNoir Thompson Buy Hinette, Alabama

> Filia 3-9-51 aucil rench

THERRELL E. DALONE

PLAINTIFF

٧S

A. L. MCCUE

DEFENDART

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW.

MOTTOM

Comes the Defendant and moves the court forleave to file a plea in abatement and as cause for said motion C. LeNoir Thompson, as attorney for said Defendant says that he had no notice that said partnership had not been legally dissolved as provided by the 1940 Ocde of Alabama, Title 43, Section 35, and that he had no notice that said partnership had made no final accounting wherefore this motion for leave to file said plea in abatement.

Attorney. Mmpson

THERRELL E. TALONE

PLAIMTIFF;

VS

A. I. RECUE

DEFEMIDANT

LOTICH

From the law offices of C. LeNeir Thempson Bay Einette, Alabama

FILED

MAR 1971951

ALIGE J. DUCK, Clerk

T. E. MALONE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

A. L. McCUE,

Defendant.

Comes the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and demurs to the motion to transfer said cause to the Equity Court filed by the Defendant in said cause and assigns the following separate and several grounds of demurrer, viz:

- 1. That it is affirmatively shown by the motion that there is an adequate remedy at law.
- 2. That it is affirmatively shown by the motion that the Defendant can properly defend said cause of action on the law side of the court.
- 3. That the motion fails to show any grounds for transferring said cause to the equity side of the court.
- 4. That the Defendant would have a proper defense in the law side of the court for the matters set up in paragraph 2 of the motion.
- 5. That paragraph 2 of the motion is vague and indefinite.
- 6. That paragraph 3 of the motion does not set up any legal or equitable defense.
- 7. That paragraph 3 of the motion is vague and indefinite.
- 8. That paragraph 3 of the motion fails to set out the full contract or agreement referred to or the substance thereof.
- 9. That paragraph 3 of the motion fails to allege that any profits were made from the transaction therein referred to.
- 10. For aught that appears from paragraph 3 of the motion, the Flaintiff did complete the contract referred to.
- 11. That paragraph 4 of the motion is vague and indefinite.

- 12. That paragraph 4 sets up no legal or equitable defense to the cause of action.
- 13. That paragraph 4 of the motion fails to set out in full the agreement therein referred to or the substance thereof.
- 14. That paragraph 5 fails to allege what automobile business is referred to.
- 15. That paragraph 5 does not allege that any profit has been made from such business.
 - 16. That paragraph 5 is vague and indefinite.
- 17. That paragraph 5 of the motion states no legal or equitable defense to the cause of action.
- 18. That paragraph 6 of the motion is a legal defense and not an equitable defense to the cause of action.
- 19. That paragraph 7 of the motion is a legal defense and not an equitable defense to the cause of action.
- 20. That paragraph 8 of the motion is a legal and not an equitable defense to the cause of action.

Attorneys for/Plaintiff.

DEMURRER TO MOTION

T. E. MALONE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

A. L. McCUE,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LAW SIDE.

Filed: March 1st, 1951.

Clerk.

75. 32. TRUMBILL 4. INDUM

PARANTINE

VS

A. I. NOUVE

TENTELL T

III Til	S JIEG	uil ou	. 13 2 1 C.	:
man and a second	111 3 0 03	STY, I	عبر المسكمان	
25, 00 25,2 22,22	1.0			•

APENDAD INCIDEN TOOR INSIDE TO AGUADY

1.

Comes your petitioner in the above styled cause and alleges that he has an equitable defense to said action which can not be disposed of in the law side of the court which depends upon the assertion of an equitable right by said complaint.

Your petitioner avers and shows that his equitable defense is as follows:

2.

That the plaintiff and your petitioner entered into an agreement on the 2nd day of January 19h3 which agreement provided that the plaintiff place in the hands of your petitioner, A. L. Ledue, the sum of ph.700.00 to be used in connection with said business. Said agreement being cited in the original complaint filed in this cause by the plaintiff. That prior to the withdrawal of the plaintiff in this cause from participation in the business known as the Egldwin County Beverage Company, that the plaintiff had withdrawn funds from said business over and above his share of the profits from said business, according to the contract alleged above, said sum withdrawn being in excess of \$7,000.00 but that there has been no final accounting of said partnership as entered into between the parties to this cause on to-wit the 2nd day of January, 19h3, a sum of money in excess of four thousand seven hundred dollars (\$h,700.00).

3.

your petitioner, A. L. NoCue, arranged for the purchase of the Maiser-Frazer Franchise Agency at Robertsdale, Alabama from the owner, A. G. Allegri and your petitioner /paid the said A. G. Allegri the sum of \$1,000.00 as a binder with an agreed purchase price of \$4,000.00 and the further agreement that the purchaser did rent from the said A. G. Allegri the building occupied by said business for the sum of \$3,600.00 per annum. That your petitioner, A. L. NoCue,

paid the sum of \$900.00 of said rents and placed the said plaintiff in this cause Therrell E, Malone, in possession of the Maiser-Frazer Franchise Agency with the agreement that the said Therrell E. Malone would complete the contracts entered into with A. G. Allegri and the Maiser-Frazer Corporation and that the said Therrell E. Malone would conduct a franchise automobile dealer's agency as a dealer for Maiser-Frazer Corporation; that he would utilize the funds provided by the said A. M. McCue together with funds of his own, and would carry out the agreements made by the said A. L. McCue to the mutual advantage of both parties by carrying on the purchase and sale of new automobiles, the sale of automobile parts, the sale of used automobiles and the repair of automobiles, as is customarily done by franchise dealers to the mutual advantage to your petitioner and plaintiff, who had agreed that the plaintiff would receive 60% of the profits of said ventures and your petitioner would received how of said profits from said ventures.

L.

That said plaintiff under said partnership agreement has made substantial profits in conducting said ventures but has refused to report to your petitioner the said profits, or to turn over to the petitioner his share of the said profits; that the said plaintiff has not furnished the account books of said partnership ventures and that your petitioner has been unable to have access to said account books; that said plaintiff has failed and still refuses to make an account to the petitioner although requested to do so; that your petitioner does not know the amount of profits that has been made in said joint venture, but he is informed and upon such information and belief and avers that they exceed 7,000.00.

5.

That your patitioner, A. L. McCue, thereafter entered into negotiations with the Studebaker Corporation for a franchise to handle Studebaker automobiles and your patitioner, A. L. McCue, then arranged for this franchise to be handled by the plaintiff in this cause, Therrell E. Malone, that in accordance with the said efforts of your patitioner through which a dealers frunchise to handle Studebaker automobiles was secured by your patitioner, the plaintiff abandoned the Maiser-Frazer Franchise and continued to operate the business as a franchise dealer for the Studebaker automobiles in accordance with arrangements and agreements aforesaid

entered into by your petitioner who had invested money as alleged aforesaid, together with further time and considerable effort to the considerable benefit of the said joint ventures of the plaintiff and your petitioner in the establishment and operation of the automobile businesses herein alleged.

6.

That your petitioner, A. B. McDus, put money, business experience, time, and effort into the establishment of the automobile businesses aforesaid and that the plaintiff in this cause, Therrell E. Malone, as at all times had possession of the funds of said ventures; that the plaintiff has had possession of all books and records pertaining to said venture, that the plaintiff has had possession of all office fintures and equipments and of the shop fixtures and equipments; and that subsequently said plaintiff has removed all of which from the original premises at Robertsdale, Alabama to Fairhope, Alabama, both locations being in Baldwin County, Alabama; and has at no time furnished an accounting of the profits of said joint venture nor has he paid over to your petitioner, A. L. McCue, any profits accurring from said joint venture in which your petitioner, A. L. hcCue, has invested money, time, experience and effort.

7.

That said partnership has not been dissolved as provided in the Code of Alabama, Title 43, Section 35 and that said partnership has had no final accounting whereby the funds of the plaintiff and of the defendant which were co-mingled in the operation of said partnership have not been segregated nor has a final accounting been made of said partnership funds.

8.

Further your petitioner, A. L. McOue, says under eath that there was no consideration for the execution of the promissory note alleged as due in original cause #1515 and consolidated with a previous cause between these parties.

9•

The defendant, as a defense to the action of the plaintiff, saith that, at the time said action was commenced, the plaintiff was indebted

to him in a sum of money, the exact amount of which is unknown but that said moneys are due as the result of the said services and payments stated in the preceding paragraph and maybe ascertained to be an exact amount upon proper determination by an accounting between said parties, all of which he hereby offers to set off against the demand of the plaintiff, and he claims judgments for the excess as maybe determined by said account petitioned supra.

10.

Prior to the institution of this suit, and on, to-wit: 120 days after the demand alleged by said plaintiff, the said plaintiff has withheld from the defendant his rightful share of said profits heretofore alleged from said venture, such moneys which if ascertained, defendant believes that together with the payments made by the defendant to the plaintiff as a refund on the original \$4,700.00 would exceed the amount of the demand made by the plaintiff in this cause.

WHEREFORE, petitioner files in this cause this his written motion and moves the court to make and enter into appropriate order transferring from the law side of the court to the equity side of the court so that an accounting may be had between said parties which will show the number of automobiles purchased by the plaintiff in said joint enterprise, purchase price paid for said automobiles and the number of said automobiles resold by the plaintiff and the money collected by him on accounts of such sales and the expenses of conducting business and the net profits carned in the said business.

1. L. Me Ce

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER,

STATE OF ALABAMA (
BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, C. LeMoir Thompson, a Notary Public, in and for said County, in said State, personally appeared, A. L. HoGue, who, being known to me, and by me first duly sworm, deposes and says on oath: That he is the defendant in the above entitled cause, and has personal knowledge of the facts stated in the foregoing pleas and that the said statements of fact thanging contained are true.

Swort to and subscribed before me this the 9th day of harch, 1951.

Hotsky Public, Baldwin Southby, Alabama.

THERRELL E. HALONE

PLAINTET

VS

A. L. MCCUE

DEFENDANT.

ATTADED LOTTON TO TRANSFER

From the law offices of C. Lehoir Thompson Lay Limitte, Alabama

FILED

MAR 18 1951

ALIER L BUCK, CHAR

363