

H. C. DEVINE and ELLA V. DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

A. G. MILES and ETHEL BARGINERA MILES,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LAW SIDE.

Come the Plaintiffs in the above styled cause and for Replication to the Defendants' suggestion of a disputed boundary line say:

That the true boundary line between the property of the Plaintiffs and that of the Defendants is the West line of the following described land to-wit:

Begin at the Southeast corner of Section 23, Township 5 South, Range 3 East, run thence West along South line of said Section 1354 feet to a point; run thence North 662 feet to a point which is the point of beginning; run thence West 168 feet to a point; run thence North 662 feet to a point; run thence East 168 feet to a point; run thence South 662 feet to the point of beginning, said land being situated in Baldwin County, Alabama.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

H. C. DEVINE and ELLA V. DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A. G. MILES and ETHEL BARGINEAR MILES,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAM, LAW SIDE.

Filed March 11th, 1949.

Jelfair J. Marlibury Ar.

Glerk. Analys

H. C. DEVINE ET AL.	<b>V</b>	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
PLAINTIFFS		BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.a.	Ş	AT TAN
A. G. MILES ET AL.		NO. 1236
DEFENDANTS	Č.	

Š

We, A. G. Miles, Ethel Barginear Miles and H. M. Hall, acknowledge ourselves security for all the costs of the appeal taken to the Supreme Court by the said A. G. Miles and Ethel Barginear Miles, from the said judgment in said cause.

J. J. Milis Star Buginers Miles Starles

approved

12.8-49

alicy-rende

Security For Costs.

H, C, DEVINE ET AL

VS.

A. G. MILES ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

FILED
DEC 8 1949
ALICE J. DUCK, ROSSE

í

. . .

.

5

H. C. DEVINE ET AL.	Ø	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
PEAINTIFFS	≬.	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.	<b>Q</b>	AT EAW
A. G. MILES ET AL.	Ž.	NO. 1237
DEFENDANTS	Ŏ.	

Now come the Defendants and moves the court to grant them a new trial in this cause, and for grounds thereof, say:

ı.

The verdict is contrary to law.

2.

The verdict is contrary to the evidence.

... 3.

The verdict is contrary to the law and evidence.

ь.

The court erred in giving, at the request of the Plaintiffs, the following charge: "The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case you must fine for the Plaintiffs".

5.

The Court erred in refusing to give the following charge at the request of the Defendants: "I charge you Gentlemen of the jury that in a conveyance of land by Government subdivision, the acreage given is merely descriptive and does not determine amounts sold."

Attorney for the Defendants.

Mollom For Mend Trine

H. C. DEVINE ET AT.

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

A. G. MILES ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

NO. 1237

FILED
NOV 25 1949
ALICE J. DUCH, Clerk

H. C. DEVINE ET AL.	. §	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
PLAINTIFFS	ang panaman sa	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.	<b>∑</b>	AT LAW
A. G. MILES ET AL.		NO. 3236
DEFENDANTS	ž.	
8		

Attorney for the Defendants

notice of appeal

H. C. DEVINE ET AL.

PLAINTIFFS

VS

A. G. MILES ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

FILED
DEC 8 1949
ALICE J. DUCK, Register

# THE STATE OF ALABAMA ) Baldwin County - Circuit Court (

### TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA — GREETING:

Whereas, at a Term of the Circ	cuit Court of Baldwin (	County, held	on the
FIRST	Monday in	NOV.	, 1949., in a cer-
tain cause in said Court wherein	HCDEVINEF	ET AL.	
	. Plaintiff, and		<u></u>
A. G. MILES ET AL.	Defendant, a	i judgment wa	as rendered against said
in and the second secon			
to reverse whichJUDGMENT	the said A.	G. MITTES F	et at.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		***************************************	······································
applied for and obtained from this of	fice an APPEAL, retur	rnable to the	NEXT
Term of our SUPREME	, 194	9 next, a	nd the necessary bond
having been given by the saidA.	G. MILES ET AL.		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
with H.M. HALL			, sureties,
		••••••	
Now, You Are Hereby Comm	anded, without delay,	to cite the sa	id
H. C. DEVINE ET AL.	orHY	BART & CHAS	ON
, attorney, to ap	pear at the NEXT	•••••••	Term of our
said Supreme Court, to defend again	st the said Appeal, if	THEY	think proper.
Witness, ALICE J. DUCK, Cler	rk of the Circuit Court	of said Count	y, this13th
day ofDec., A. D.,	1949		

Attest:

Mich Much, Clerk

Executed 12-13-49
By serving copy of the Solaron

Taylor Clason

Jaylor Wilhing 5 levels

D. D. Jaye - D.s.

### CIRCUIT COURT Baldwin County, Alabama

NO. 1237

H. C. DEVINE ET AL.

Vs. Citation in Appeal

A. G. MILES ET AL.

Issued ..... day of .....

H. C. DEVINE and ELLA V. DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A. G. MILES and ETHEL BARGINEAR MILES,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA LAW SIDE.

Come the Plaintiffs in the above styled cause and move the Court to strike pleas 1, 2 and 3 filed by the Defendants in said cause, separately and severally and assign the following separate and several grounds, viz:

- That said pleas are inconsistent and incompatible.
- That plea 2 is inappropriate. 2.
- That plea 2 is immaterial.
- That plea 2 sets up no defense to the Complaint.
- That plea 2 seeks to set up defensive matter available to the Defendants under plea 1.
- 6. That plea 2 describes lands not described in the Complaint and not the subject of this suit.
  - 7. That plea 3 was waived by the filing of plea 1.
- That plea 3 is inconsistent and incompatible with plea 1.
- 9. That plea 3 attempts to describe lands not involved in this suit.
- 10. That plea 3 describes a strip of land 4.8 feet East and West and 680 feet North and South, East of the lands described in said suit which is not covered by said Complaint.

## MOTION TO STRIKE PLEAS

H. C. DEVINE and ELLA V. DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A. G. MILES and ETHEL BARGINEAR MILES,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LAW SIDE.

Filed February, 25th, 1949.

Alice I which

LAW OFFICES
HYBART, CHASON & STONE
BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

H. C. DEVINE AND IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ELLA V. DEVINE

DALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
PLAINTIFFS

AT LAW.

VS

A. G. MILES AND ETHEL
BARGINEAR MILES

DEFENDANTS

 $N_{\text{OW}}$  come the Defendants and for answer to the Plaintiffs' complaint say:

1.

Not guilty.

2.

That the Defendants are the owners and in possession of the following described land: North half of Southwest quarter of Southeast quarter of Section 23, Township 5 South, Agnge 3 East, Baldwin County, Agabama, more particularly described as follows:

Begin at the Southeast corner of Section 23, Township 5 South, Range 3 East, run thence West along the South line of said Section 1349.2 feet to a point, run thence North 660 feet to a point, the place of beginning, run thence North 660 feet to a point, the Northeast corner of the Southwest quarter of Southeast quarter of said Section 23, run thence West 1349. 2 feet to a point, the Northwest corner of the Southwest quarter of Southeast quarter of the said Section 23, run thence South feet to a point, run thence East 1349.2 feet to a point, the place of beginning.

3.

The Defendants for further answer to the Plaintiffs' complaint suggest in writing that this suit arises over a disputed boundary line between the properties of the Plaintiffs and the Defendants. The Defendants suggest and altegethat the location of the true line between the properties of the Plaintiffs and the Defendants is the fast line of the following described tract of land:

Begin at the Southeast corner of Section 23, Township 5 South, Range 3 East, run thence West along the South line of said Section 1349.2 feet to a point, run thence North 660 feet to a point, the place of beginning, run thence North 650 feet to a point, the Northeast corner of the Southwest quarter of Southeast quarter of Said

Section 23, run thence West 1349.2 feet to a point, the Northwest corner of the Southwest quarter of Southeast quarter of said Section 23, run thence South 627 feet to a point, run thence East 1349.2 feet to a point, the place of beginning.

Attorney for Defendants

1237 Annulu

H. C. DEVINE AND ELLA V. DEVINE

PLAINTIFFS

VS

A. G. MILES AND ETHEL BARGINEAR MILES

DEFENDANTS

FILED OCT 1948 ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
LAW SIDE.

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon A. G. Miles and Ethel Barginear Miles to appear within thirty days from the service of this Writ in the Circuit Court to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the Complaint of H. C. Devine and Ella V. Devine.

Witness my hand this 14th day of September, 1948.

Acie Clerk.

H. C. DEVINE and ELLA V. DEVINE.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A. G. MILES and ETHEL BARGINEAR MILES,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

LAW SIDE.

COUNT ONE

The Plaintiffs sue to recover possession of the following described tract of land, viz:-

Begin at the Southeast corner of Section 23, Township 5 South, Range 3 East, run thence West along South line of said Section 1354 feet to a point; run thence North 662 feet to a point which is the point of beginning; run thence West 168 feet to a point; run thence North 662 feet to a point; run thence East 168 feet to a point; run thence South 662 feet to the point of beginning, said land being situated in Baldwin County, Alabama,

of which they were in possession and upon which, pending such possession, and before the commencement of this suit, the Defendants entered and unlawfully withhold, together with Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) for the detention thereof.

•

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs demand a trial of

this cause by a jury.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

this 25 day of Sept., 1948 TAYLOR WILKINS, Sheriff

executed 9-29 19 45
by serving copy of within Summons and
Complaint on

2. J. Miles Ethel Barginear Miles

Taylor Welbinsher II

We the jury find for the Plaintiff and, bit the boundry line replication of Plaintiff. Whatault

### SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

H. C. DEVINE and ELLA  $V_{\bullet}$ . DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A. G. MILES and ETHEL BARGINEAR MILES,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.
LAW SIDE.

Filed September 24th, 1948.

deicel reuch

HYBART & CHASON

BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

#### JUDGMENT:

Judgment having been rendered for the Plaintiffs in the above styled cause fixing the true boundary line to be as set forth in Plaintiffs' replication, which said judgment was rendered on November 3, 1949, and an appeal having been taken by the Defendants in said cause to the Supreme Court of Alabama, and this Court having been ordered by an opinion rendered by the Supreme Court of Alabama on November 9, 1950, to correct its judgment entry to properly describe said division line, said judgment is hereby corrected in accordance with the opinion of said Supreme Court, and the same being considered by this Court it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. The division line between the Plaintiffs and the Defendants is established by this court as follows:

Begin at a point 1522 feet West of the Southeast corner of Section 23, Township 5 South, Range 3 East, in Baldwin County, Alabama, run North 662 feet to a point, which is the point of beginning for said division line, run thence North 662 feet to a point.

That said line shall be the West line of the land of the Plaintiffs located in the North half of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 23, Township 5 South, Range 3 East, and the East line of the Defendants of lands owned by them in the North half of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section.

- 2. That Taylor Wilkins, as Sheriff of Baldwin County,
  Alabama, is hereby ordered to establish and mark the above described line with visible stakes or markers of a permanent nature.
- 3. That the Defendants are taxed with the costs of establishing such line.

Dated this 13th day of December, 1950.

Jelder A. Mash Berry or

RECORDED

6.9

FILED

DEC 13 1950

ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

Fairhope, Alabama 31 March, 1951

Mrs. R. S. Duck, Clerk of Circuit Court, Baldwin County, Alabama. Bay Minette, Alabama.

-In account with-

# Fairhope Title & Survey Company

ABSTRACTS OF TITLE—LAND SURVEYING

Day Phone: 8222

Night Phones: 3051 and 2584

-TO-Survey in compliance with curt order to Hon Taylor Wilkins, made at request of Hon. Taylor Wilkins, on Dec. 21, 1950, in the matter of H. C. Devine Vs Miles.....\$12.50

Div. No	CERTIFICATE OF APPEAL (Civil Cases)	Ì
	and the Country	
No1237	BALDWIN County, Circuit Court.	
H. C. DEVINE ET AL.		
Plaintiff vs.		
•		
A. G. MILES ET AL. Defendant		ļ
ATTOR J. DUCK	, Clerk of CIRCUIT Court,	
,	to the cause of	
BALDWIN	County, Alabama, hereby certify that in the cause of	
H. C. DEVINE ET AL.	Plaintiff,	
	. VS.	
A. G. WILES ET AL.	Defendant,	
	ourt, on the 3rd day of Nov. 19.49	
which there was a judgment for NEW	BOUNDARY LINE DONAN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.	
which there was a judgment for NEW judgment for Defendant), the DEF	BOUNDARY LINE DONAN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  ANG. MILES ET AL. filed	
which there was a judgment for NEW judgment for Defendant), the DEF 1.19 took an appeal to the SUPREME I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME	BOUNDARY LINE DONAN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  ANG. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.	with the second
which there was a judgment for NEW judgment for Defendant), the DEF 1.19 took an appeal to the SUPREME  I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME 1.19, and that H. H. HALL	BOUNDARY LINE DONAN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  A.G. MILES ET AL.  filed  Court, on the Sth day of Dec.	
which there was a judgment for NEW judgment for Defendant), the DEF 1.19 took an appeal to the SUPREME  I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME 1.19, and that H. H. HALL	BOUNDARY LINE DONAL, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  A.G. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.	
which there was a judgment for	BOUNDARY LINE DONAN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  ACC. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.	
which there was a judgment for	BOUNDARY LINE DONAN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  ACC. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.	
which there was a judgment for NEW is judgment for Defendant), the DEF 19 took an appeal to the SUPREME  I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME  19 19 , and that H. HALL  The sureties on the appeal bond.  I further certify that notice of the sain 19 19 . , served on HYPART 2. CHS	BOUNDARY LINE DONALS, in favor of the Plaintiff,  PENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  ACG. MILES ET AL. filed  PEME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.  aid appeal was, on the 13th day of Dec.  as attorney of record for said	
which there was a judgment for NEW judgment for Defendant), the DEF 19 took an appeal to the SUPREME  I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME  19 19, and that H. HALL  The sureties on the appeal bond.  I further certify that notice of the said of the said population, served on Typast 2 CH2  The population and that the amount sued for was populate, and that the amount sued for was populated.	BOUNDARY LINE DOWN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  A.G. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.  aid appeal was, on the 13th day of Dec.  as attorney of record for said  as NEW POUNDARY LINE EDWARD.	
which there was a judgment for NEW is judgment for Defendant), the DEF 19 took an appeal to the SUPREME  I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME  19 19 , and that H. HALL  The sureties on the appeal bond.  I further certify that notice of the sain 19 19 . , served on HYPART 2. CHS	BOUNDARY LINE DOWN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  A.G. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.  aid appeal was, on the 13th day of Dec.  as attorney of record for said  as NEW POUNDARY LINE EDWARD.	
which there was a judgment for NEW judgment for Defendant), the DEF 19 took an appeal to the SUPREME  I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME  19 19, and that H. HALL  The sureties on the appeal bond.  I further certify that notice of the said o	BOUNDARY LINE DONAS, in favor of the Plaintiff,  PENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  A. G. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.  aid appeal was, on the 13th day of Dec.  as attorney of record for said  as NEW POUNDARY LINE	
which there was a judgment for NEW judgment for Defendant), the DEF 19 took an appeal to the SUPREME  I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME  A sureties on the appeal bond.  I further certify that notice of the said of t	BOUNDARY LINE DOWN, in favor of the Plaintiff,  FENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  A.G. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.  aid appeal was, on the 13th day of Dec.  as attorney of record for said  as NEW POUNDARY LINE EDWARD.	
which there was a judgment for NEW judgment for Defendant), the DEF 19 took an appeal to the SUPREME  I further certify that curity for cost of appeal, to the SUPREME  A sureties on the appeal bond.  I further certify that notice of the said of t	BOUNDARY LINE DONAS, in favor of the Plaintiff,  PENDANT on the Sth day of Dec.  Court of Alabama to be holden of and for said State.  A. G. MILES ET AL. filed  REME Court, on the Sth day of Dec.  aid appeal was, on the 13th day of Dec.  as attorney of record for said  as NEW POUNDARY LINE	

.

-

リングリ Fairhope, Alabama 31 March, 1951

Mrs. R. S. Duck, Clerk of Circuit Court, Baldwin County, Alabama. Bay Minette, Alabama.

-In account with-

# Fairhope Title & Survey Company

ABSTRACTS OF TITLE——LAND SURVEYING

Day Phone: 8222

Night Phones: 3051 and 2584

-TO-Survey in compliance with court order to Hon Taylor Wilkins, made at request of Hon. Taylor Wilkins, on Dec. 21, 1950, in the matter of H. C. Devine Vs Miles.....\$12.50 H. C. DEVINE ET AL.

PLAINTIFFS

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

VS.

GEORGE W. MILSTEAD

DEFENDANT

NO. 3237

Now comes the Defendant, George W. Milstead, and gives notice of appeal from the judgment and verdict of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, rendered on the \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 1949, in the above styled cause to the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama.

Attorney for the Defendant

Notice of Appeal

H. C. DEVINE ET AL.

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

GEORGE W. MILSTEAD

· DEFENDANT

FILED

DEC 8 1949

Clerk

ALEE J. DUCK, Hoggister