Plaintiff,

VERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., doing business under the firm name and style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

AT LAW - NO. 884

The parties consenting hereto, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the above-entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, the parties having compromised and settled the same; and that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants all costs in his behalf expended, for all of which let execution issue.

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this 16th day of November

1944.

IN Hare

WE CONSENT TO THE ABOVE:

che Hall

AMORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

Johnson McColl & Ho

The State of Alabama, BALDWIN COUNTY

CIRCUIT COURT. (LAW)

Term, 194

L F Horn.

No. 884.

vs.

J H Bell et cl.

BILL OF COSTS

CLERK'S FEES:		DUNT	SUMMARY OF FEES, COSTS, AND JUDGMENT		AMOUNT	
ees in Circuit Court-		25	rees and Costs in Circuit Court:	8	10	
ocketing Cause, One Fee only of	1	25	Clerk's Fees Ex-Clerk's Fees			
ssuing Summ. and Complt., each	-		Ex-Clerk's Fees Sheriff's Fees	,	=~	
suing Alias or Branch Summons & Complaint, each 1.25		90	Ex-Sheriff's Fees	4	50	
aking Copies Thereof, Minimum, each	1 6	90	Witness Fees	11111 Marie		
aking Copies Thereof, over 200 Words, per 100 words .15		90	Commissioner's Fees			
ntering Sheriff's Returns, each	-	20	Commissioner a 2 oct		100	
intering Appearances, each		40	Garnishee's Fees			
ertifying Affidavits, each		;	Publisher's Fees			
suing Attachments with Bond, each			1 0013401 5 2 005		. 11	
rders of Publication, each			Court Reporter's Fees, Per Day or fraction thereof .5.00	1		
opy of Same, each		1	Trial Tax	3	00	
suing Summ, to Garnishee, each					100	
opy of Same, Per 100 Words	-]				
wearing Garnishee, Etc., Per 100 words.	1					
elease of Garnishee, each		! !				
sulng Scire Facias or Similar Notice, each					14	
pies of Same. Per 100 Words			.		:	
aking Copy of Interrogatories, Per						
100 Words, .15; Minimum			Fees and Costs in Inferior Court:			
ommission to Take Depositions, each			Cierk of Inferior Court Fees	1		
iling Depositions, Each Pkg.,		1.	Sheriff's Fees			
ndorsing Each Package of Depositions Opened10			Justice of Peace Fees			
suing Subpoenas, Each			Constable's Fees			
suing Witness Certificates, each25	Same and the same of the same	A product to the contract of t	CONSTRUCTOR S POES			
ntering Continuances, each	1		The state of the s	Vanishan seems		
lling Papers, each		60	Fees and Costs in Inferior Court			
ther Orders of Court, each			A GCS IMIG COSUS III AMERICA COUPT	15	60	
ial and Incidents			Total Fees and Costs	<u> </u>		
ntering Judgment, each		30	Judgment			
	3	30 70	10 Per Cent Damages			
omplete Record, Per 100 Words		10	Interest			
aking Bonds, each						
ertificate of Appeal			Total Judgment			
ranscript to Supreme Court, Per 100 Words						
dditional Copies of Same, Per 100 Words			Total Fees, Costs and Judgment			
suing Executions or Copy Thereof, each50			Cost to 10-21-44			
ntering Sheriff's Return, Per 100 Words, .15; Minimum	1		Cas DO IO-CI-CE			
•						
Copy order dismissal.		50	Total	,		
and the control of th						
Total Clerk's Fees	8	10				
AUGUL CICIR S A CCS	□					
SHERIFF'S FEES:						
owing and Returning Summons or		50				
Writ, each 1.50	4	50	The second of th			
evying Attachment, each		1			1	
ntering and Returning Same, each						
eizing Personal Property Under Writ of Detinue 3.00		1				
aking and Approving Bonds, each1.00	1	1			1	
ummoning Garnishee and Return. each			.			
erving and Returning Sci. Fa. or Notice, Each1.50						
erving and Returning Subpoenas, each						
erving Contempt Attachment, each					İ	
npaneling Jury					1	
ollecting Execution for Costs Only, each1.50	-		<u> </u>			
oms for Collecting Money on Executions			1		1	
	-		·		1	
xecuting Writs of Possession, each					1	
Taking Deed to Real Estate Sold, each2.50	11					
	. 10		11		1	
					1	
	4	50				

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of BELL TRANS-FER COMPANY,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 884

Come the defendants, J. H. Bell, Jr. and Lillie Bell, and separately demur to Counts Two, Three and Four of the complaint, separately, upon the following separate and several grounds, namely:

- 1. Said count shows no breach of duty which the defendants, their servants or agents owed to the plaintiff.
- 2. Because the parking or stopping of the defendants: said truck upon the highway was a mere condition existing at the time and was in nowise the proximate cause of the alleged damages to plaintiff's said automobile.
- 3. Because no facts are averred from which the Court could infer that the damages to plaintiff's said automobile were the proximate result of any negligence of the defendants, their servants or agents.

TTORNEYS FOR SAID DEFINDANTS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 884

L. F. HORN, Plaintiff, VERSUS J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individual ly, and LILLIE BELL, as Adminis-tratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name & Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY,

* * * * * * * *

Defendants.

DEMURRERS OF J. H. BELL.
JR., and LILLIE BELL
TO COUNTS 2, 3 and 4

JOHNSTON, MCCALL & JOHNSTON SUITE 804, FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX MOBILE, ALABAMA LAWYERS

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of BELL TRANS-FER COMPANY,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 884

Comes the defendant, Lillie Bell, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., one of the defendants in the above-entitled cause, and demurs to each count of the complaint, separately, upon the following separate grounds:

- 1. Said count fails to show any breach of duty which the defendant owed to the plaintiff.
- 2. Because it affirmatively appears that the said defendant has no authority under law to engage in business.
- 5. Because it affirmatively appears that the said defendant could not, as a matter of law, be a member of a firm.
- 4. Because the facts pleaded affirmatively show that the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., could not be held liable for the alleged damages to plaintiff's said automobile.
- 5. Because the parking or stopping of the defendants: automobile truck on the highway was no more than a condition existing at the time that the automobile of the plaintiff was run into it, and was in nowise the proximate cause of the alleged damages to plaintiff's said automobile.

TORNEYS FOR SAID DEFENDANT

100

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 884

L. F. HORN, Plaintiff, VERSUS J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Adminis-tratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name & Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, Defendants,

**

DEMURRERS OF LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr

JOHNSTON, MCCALL & JOHNSTON SUITE 804, FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX LAWYERS

MOBILE, ALABAMA

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, : Individually, and LILLIE BELL, : as Administratrix of the Estate : of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name & Style: of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, :

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BAIDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 884

- 1. Come J. H. Bell, Jr. and Lillie Bell, and for answer to Count One of the complaint say they are not guilty.
- 2. And for further plea in their behalf to Count
 One of the complaint, separately and severally, each of said
 defendants says that plaintiff's servant or agent himself was
 guilty of negligence which proximately contributed to the damages to his said automobile.
- 3. And for further plea in their behalf to Count
 One of the complaint, each of the defendants says that a servant
 or agent of the plaintiff, while acting within the line and scope
 of his employment as such, was guilty of negligence which proximately contributed to the damages to plaintiff's said automobile.

ATTORNEYS FOR SAID DEFENDANTS.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 884

L. F. HORN, Plaintiff, VERSUS J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Admin-istratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Do-ing Business Under the Firm Name & Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY,

添水水 水水水

PLEAS

JOHNSTON, MCCALL & JOHNSTON SUITE 804, FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX MOBILE, ALABAMA LAWYERS

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY.

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL individually and LILLIE BELL as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., doing business under the firm name and style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court to be held for said County, at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of L. F. HOFN.

Witness my hand this the 23 day of Queguet, 1944.

L.F. HORN, PLAINTIFF.

VS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, INDIVIDUALLY AND LILLIE BELL AS ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF J. H. BELL, SR., DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE OF BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, DEFENDANTS.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW.

ONE:

The Plaintiff's claims of the Defendents FIFTEEN HUNDRED DOLLARS for that heretofore on to-wit February 29th, 1944, the Plaintiff's automobile was being operated or driven along the public highway commonly known as the Mobile-Montgomery Highway, at a point near Camp Baldwin, in Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant, or employee, who was the and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently and unlawfully operated an automobile truck on said highway at said place, and as a proximate result thereof the automobile of the plaintiff was driven into or against the said truck at said time, about the hour 7:25 P. M., and as a proximate result thereof, the automobile of the Plaintiff was damaged as follows: the lights, radiator, fenders, body, wheels, and running gear were damaged, and said automobile was otherwise damaged and rendered unfit for use; that it was totally demolished.

Plaintiff avers that said damages to his automobile were proximately caused by the negligence of the defendants, acting by or through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment in so operating said truck along said highway at said time.

TWO:

damages for that heretofore on to-wit February 29th, 1944, the Plaintiff, acting by and through his agent, servant or employee, criving his automobile along the public highway commonly known as the Mobile—Montgomery highway at a point near Camp Baldwin, Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant, or employee who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently operated an automobile truck on said highway at said time and place that the automobile of the Plaintiff was caused to run into or against the said automobile truck at said time and place, about the hour of 7:25 P. M. and as a proximate result the plaintiff's automobile was damaged as follows: the lights, tadiator, fenders, body, wheels, and running gear were damaged, and said automobile was otherwise damaged, and rendered unfit for use, that it was totally demolished.

Plaintiff avers that Plaintiff's damages to his automobile were proximately caused by the negligence of the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment in so operating said truck along said highway at said place.

THREE:

demages for that heretofore on to-wit the 29th day of February, 1944, the automobile of the plaintiff was being driven along the public highway, commonly known as the Mobile—Montgomery highway, at a point near Camp Baldwin, Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently and unlewfully parked an automobile truck on said highway at said place, and as a proximate result thereof, the automobile of the said plaintiff, at said time and place, about the hour 7:25 P. M. ran into or against said truck, and as a proximate result thereof the automobile of the Plaintiff was damaged as follows: the lights, radiator, fenders, body, wheels, and running gear were damaged, and said automobile was otherwise damaged and rendered unfit for use; that it was totally demolished, all to the damage of the plaintiff as herein sued for.

Plaintiff avers that the plaintiff's damages were caused by the negligence of the defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or

employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, in that they negligently and unlawfully parked said automobile truck on said highway at said place, at said time, and negligently failed to have and maintain proper signals or other warnings, and as a proximate consequence of said negligence, the automobile of the plaintiff was damaged as aforesaid.

FOUR:

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants FIFTEEN HUNDRED DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore on to-wit February 29th, 1944, the automobile of the plaintiff was being driven along the public highway commonly known as the Mobile— Montgomery highway, at a point near Camp Baldwin, Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently and unlawfully stopped an automobile truck on said highway at said place, and as a proximate result thereof the automobile of the plaintiff, at said time and place, at about the hour of 7:25 P. M. ran into or against said truck, and as a proximate result thereof, the automobile of the Plaintiff was damaged as follows: the lights, radiator, fenders, body, wheels, and running gear were damaged, and said automobile was otherwise damaged and rendered unfit for use, that it was totally demolished, all to the damage of the Plaintiff as herein sued for.

Plaintiff avers that the Plaintiff's damages were caused by the negligence of the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant and employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment in that they negligently and unlawfully stopped said automobile truck on said highway at said point at said time, and negligently failed to have and maintain proper signals or other warnings, and as a proximate consequence of said negligence, the automobile of the Plaintiff was damaged as aforesaid.

FIVE:

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants FIFTEEN HUNDRED DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore on to-wit February 29th, 1944, the automobile of the Plaintiff was being driven along the public highway commonly known as the Mobile-Montgomery highway at a point near Camp Baldwin, in Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and

... ...

scope of his employment, negligently and unlawfully parked or left standing an automobile truck on said highway at said point so as to block or obstruct passage along said highway; that as a proximate result thereof the automobile of the Plaintiff at said time, about the hour 7:25 P. M. ran into said truck and as a proximate result thereof was damaged as follows: the lights, radiator, fenders, body, wheels, and running gear were d amaged, and said automobile was otherwise damaged and rendered unfit for use; that it was totally demolished.

Plaintiff avers that the plaintiff's damages to his automobile were proximately caused by the negligence of the defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, in parking or leaving standing the said automobile truck so as to block or obstruct passage along said highway at said place, and negligently failed to have and maintain proper signals or other warnings; that said highway was so blocked or obstructed at said point and as a proximate consequence of said negligence the automobile of the Plaintiff was damaged as herein set out.

BEEBE & HALL.

By Attorneys for Blaintiff

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

BEEBE & HALL

שמ

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

AHIM M

The Defendants live in

Selma, Alabama.

L. F. HORN, PLAINTIFF,

VS

of J. H. Bell, Sr., doing busines, under the firm name and style of individually, and LILLIE BELL as administratrix of the estate BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL Defendants.

SULMONS AND COMPLAINT.