Plaintiff,

VERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BAIDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 855

INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED TO THE PLAINTIFF BY THE DEFENDANTS:

- 1. (a) Please state your age and address. (b) In what business were you engaged on February 29, 1944? (c) Were you in an automobile which collided with a truck on the Mobile-Montgomery Highway at a point near Camp Baldwin, Baldwin County, Alabama, on February 29, 1944?
- 2. (a) If you state that you were riding in an automobile which collided with an automobile truck on the Mobile-Montgomery Highway at a point near Camp Baldwin, Baldwin County, Alabama, please state what time of day said collision occurred. (b) Who was riding in said automobile at the time? (c) Who was driving said automobile at the time of the collision? (d) Who owned said automobile? (e) Was Edwin O'Neal driving said automobile at the time? (f) What was his business at the time? (g) By whom was he employed at the time? (h) Was he driving the automobile at your request? (j) Was he driving said automobile at your direction? (k) Was he driving said automobile with the consent of the owner of said automobile? (l) Was he driving said automobile at either the request or direction of the owner of said automobile?
- 3. (a) How fast was the automobile in which you were riding going at the time of said collision? (b) Was it

dark at the time of said collision? (c) When did you first see the automobile truck on the highway ahead of you? (d) How far was the automobile in which you were riding from the truck when you first saw it? (e) What warning did you give to the driver, if any, when you saw said automobile truck? (f) Why did not said driver of the automobile go around said truck at the time? (g) Were there any lights on the truck at the time of the collision? (h) Were the lights on the automobile in which you were riding in good condition? (i) Were they burning immediately before the collision? (j) Were they burning at the time of the collision? (k) Were they bright or dim at the time of the collision?

4. (a) Please state for what purpose or object your intestate came to Mobile. (b) Who invited her to come to Mobile? (c) Did you agree to furnish her with transportation? (d) Did she pay any compensation for transportation? (e) From what point in Crenshaw County, Alabama, did she leave to go to Mobile on said date? (f) What time of day did the automobile in which she came to Mobile leave? (g) What time did she arrive in Mobile on that day? (h) How long did you and she remain in Mobile? (i) Did you and she have a meal in Mobile? (j) what meal? (k) Prior to said collision, but on the same day, had you taken any drinks of vinous, malt or spirituous liquors? (1) Had Mr. Edwin O'Neal taken any drinks of vinous, malt or spirituous liquors prior to said collision on the same day? (m) When was the last drink that you had taken prior to the collision? (n) Were you not under the influence of intoxicating liquor at the time of said collision? (o) Was not Mr. Edwin O'Neal under the influence of intoxicating liquor at the time of said collision? (p) How many drinks of intoxicating liquor had you taken on the way to Mobile on the day of the collision? (q) How many drinks of intoxicating liquor had you taken in Mobile on the day of the collision? (r) How many drinks of intoxicating liquor had you taken on the way from Mobile back to Luverne on the day of the collision? (s) How many drinks of intoxicating liquor had Mr. Edwin O'Neal taken on the day of the collision on the way to Mobile, in the City of Mobile and on his return trip from Mobile? (t) From whom did you and Mr. O'Neal acquire said intoxicating liquor?

5. (a) What part of the automobile truck, if any, was on the paved portion of the highway at the time the automobile in which you and your intestate were riding collided with it? (b) How much of said truck was off the highway at said time?

Attorneys for Said Defendants

STATE OF ALABAMA)
COUNTY OF MOBILE)

Before me, the undersigned authority in and for said State and County, personally appeared Sam M. Johnston, who, being by me duly sworn, on oath deposes and says that he is one of the attorneys for the defendants in the above-entitled cause, and that the plaintiff's answers to the foregoing interrogatories, if truthfully made, will be material evidence for the defendants in the trial of this cause.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 270 day of April, 1944.

Notary Public, Mobile County, Alabama

AT IN W VERSUS 1944 JI WILL subpoena by serving Executed 4/2

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

- NO. 855

RAY M. HORN, as Adminis-trator of the Estate of Muriel Elizabeth Horn, Flaintiff, Deceased,

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Adminis-tratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name & Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, Defendants.

李 华 李 李 李

INTERROGATORIES TO PLAIN-

412/85 /444

JOHNSTON, MCCALL & JOHNSTON SANKXEXMAKXEXXICHNERONX

FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX LAWYERS

MOBILE, ALABAMA

The State of Alabama, BALDWIN COUNTY

CIRCUIT COURT. (LAW)

Oct 21st.

-Term, 194<u>44</u>

Rey M Horn as Adm.

No.____855___

vs.

____J H Bell. Jr et el.

BILL OF COSTS

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BII	LL O	F COSTS		
CLERK'S FEES:	AMO	TNUC	SUMMARY OF FEES, COSTS, AND JUDGMENT	AM	OUNT
Fees in Circuit Court-		h=	Fees and Costs in Circuit Court:	12	25
Docketing Cause, One Fee only of		25	Clerk's Fees Ex-Clerk's Fees	-2-2	35
Issuing Summ. and Complt., each	1	25	Sheriff's Fees	6	00
Issuing Alias or Branch Summons & Complaint, each 1.25		00		-	
Making Copies Thereof, Minimum, each		90_	Ex-Sheriff's Fees Witness Fees	·····	
Making Copies Thereof, over 200 Words, per 100 words .15			Commissioner's Fees		
Entering Sheriff's Returns, each		20	Commissioner S 1 ets		
Entering Appearances, each		40	Garnishee's Fees		1.
Certifying Affidavits, each			Publisher's Fees		
Issuing Attachments with Bond, each					
Orders of Publication, each50			Court Reporter's Fees, Per Day or fraction thereof .5.00		
Copy of Same, each		·	Trial Tax	3	00
Issuing Summ. to Garnishee, each					
Copy of Same, Fer 100 Words					
Swearing Garnishee. Etc., Per 100 words,	1				
Release of Garnishee, each		1		,	
Issuing Scire Facias or Similar Notice, each		75			
Copies of Same, Per 100 Words					
Making Copy of Interrogatories, Per					,
100 Words, .15; Minimum	1	50	Fees and Costs in Inferior Court:	[
Commission to Take Depositions, each			Clerk of Inferior Court Fees		
Filing Depositions, Each Pkg.,			Sheriff's Fees		[: ·
Endorsing Each Package of Depositions Opened10			Justice of Peace Fees		1
Issuing Subpoenas, Each			Constable's Fees		
Issuing Witness Certificates, each					·
Entering Continuances, each					
Filing Papers, each		80	Fees and Costs in Inferior Court		-
Other Orders of Court, each				21	35.
Trial and Incidents			Total Fees and Costs		1000
Entering Judgment, each		30	Judgment		
Complete Record, Per 100 Words	6	50	10 Per Cent Damages		
Taking Bonds, each			Interest		
Certificate of Appeal					
Transcript to Supreme Court, Per 100 Words15			Total Judgment		
Additional Copies of Same. Per 100 Words	ii .		Total Fees. Costs and Judgment		
Issuing Executions or Copy Thereof, each50					
Entering Shcriff's Return, Per 100 Words, .15; Minimum			Cost to 10-21-44		
0-2-52-2-2-2-2					
Copy, Order Dismissal.		50	Total		ļ
metal Manta Man	1	2 35			
Total Clerk's Fees					
SHERIFF'S FEES:					
Serving and Returning Summons or	.		·		
Writ, each	4	_50_	The second secon		
Levying Attachment, each			:		
Entering and Returning Same, each					
Seizing Personal Property Under Writ of Detinue 2.00			<u>'</u>		
Taking and Approving Bonds, each1.00		'''			
Summoning Garnishee and Return, each	_				
Serving and Returning Sci. Fa. or Notice 125ch1.50	1	50	:		
Serving and Returning Subpoenas, each			,	[
Serving Contempt Attachment, each			N N		ĺ
Impaneling Jury					
Collecting Execution for Costs Only, each1.50	'				
Coms. for Collecting Money on Executions					
Executing Writs of Possession, each5.00		·			
Making Deed to Real Estate Sold, each2.50					
	1. 1.				
	11	1 .] .		
***************************************	H		1	.1	
Total Sheriff's Fees	6	00			

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY.

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, individually, and LILLIE BELL as Administratrix of the estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., doing business under the firm name and style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of Ray M. Horn, as Administrator of the Estate of Muriel Elizabeth Horn, deceased.

Witness my hand this the 3 day of March, 1944.

Reduck .

RAY M. HORN, AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF MURIEL ELIZABETH HORN, DECRASED,

PLAINTIFF

DEFENDANTS.

VS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, INDIVIDUALLY AND LILLIE BELL AS ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF J. H. BELL, SR., DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE OF BELL TRANSFER COMPANY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
AT LAW.

ONE:

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore on, to-wit, February 29th, 1944, Plaintiff's intestate was a guest in an automobile riding along a public highway commonly known as the Mobile-Montgomery Highway at a point near Camp Baldwin, in Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently and unlawfully operated an automobile truck on said highway and at said place, and as a proximate result thereof the automobile in which the Plaintiff's intestate was riding as a guest along said highway at said time at about the hour of 7:25 P. M., ran into or against said truck, and as a proximate result thereof, the Plaintiff's intestate received injuries and, as a proximate result of said injuries, she

died:

Plaintiff avers that said injuries to Plaintiff's intestate were proximately caused by the negligence of the Defendants, acting by or through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment in so operating said truck along said highway at said point.

TWO:

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS as damages for that heretofore on, to-wit, February 29th, 1944, Plaintiff's intestate was a guest in an automobile riding along a public highway commonly known as the Mobile-Montgomery Highway, at a point near Camp Baldwin, in Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently and unlawfully parked an automobile truck on said highway at said place; and as a proximate result thereof, the automobile in which the Plaintiff's intestate was riding as a guest along said highway, at said time, at about the hour 7:25 P. M. ran into said truck and, as a proximate result thereof, the Plaintiff's intestate received injuries and, that, as a proximate result or consequence of said injuries, Plaintiff's intestate died.

Plaintiff avers that plaintiff's intestate's injuries and damages were proximately caused by the negligence of the Defendants, acting by and through its agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment in that they negligently and unlawfully parked said automobile truck on said highway at said point, at said time, and negligently failed to have and maintain proper signals or other warnings, and as a proximate consequence of said negligence, the said automobile in which the Plaintiff's intestate was riding as a guest was wrecked and Plaintiff's intestate injured, and as a proximate result thereof, died, hence this suit.

THREE:

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS as damages

for that heretofore on, to-wit, February 29th, 1944, Plaintiff's intestate was a guest in an automobile riding along a public highway commonly known as the Mobile-Montgomery Highway, at a point near Camp Baldwin, in Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently and unlawfully stopped an automobile truck on said highway at said place; and as a proximate result thereof, the automobile in which the Plaintiff's intestate was riding as a guest along said highway, at said time, at about the hour 7:25 P. M. ran into said truck and, as a proximate result thereof, the Plaintiff's intestate received injuries and that, as a proximate consequence of said injuries, Plaintiff's intestate died.

Plaintiff avers that Plaintiff's intestate's injuries and damages were proximately caused by the negligence of the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, in that they negligently and unlawfully stopped said automobile truck on said highway at said point, at said time, and negligently failed to have and maintain proper signals or other warnings, and as a proximate consequence of said negligence, the said automobile in which the Plaintiff's intestate was riding as a guest was wrecked and plaintiff's intestate injured, and, as a proximate result thereof, died, hence this suit.

FOUR:

Plaintiff claims of the Defendants FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS as damages for that, heretofore, on, to-wit, February 29th, 1944, Plaintiff's intestate was a guest in an automobile riding along a public highway commonly known as the Mobile-Montgomery highway, at a point near Camp Baldwin, in Baldwin County, Alabama; Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently and unlawfully parked or left standing an automobile truck on said highway at said point so as to block or obstruct passage along said highway; and, as a proximate result thereof, the automobile in which the Plaintiff's intestate was riding along said highway at said time, about the hour of 7:25 P. M. ran into said truck and as a proximate result thereof, the Plaintiff's intestate

received injuries, from which she died;

Plaintiff avers that Plaintiff's intestate's injuries and damages were proximately caused by the negligence of the Defendants, acting by and through their agent, servant or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, in parking or leaving standing the said automobile truck so as to block or obstruct passage along said highway at said place, and negligently fail to have and maintain proper signals or other warnings; that said highway was so blocked or obstructed at said point and, as a proximate consequence of said negligence, the said automobile in which the Plaintiff's intestate was riding as a guest, as aforesaid, was wrecked or turned over, and Plaintiff's intestate injured and, as a proximate result thereof, died, hence this suit.

BEEBE & HALL,

By Thustee Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

BEEBE & HALL

BY Neurse

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

3. leaving a copy with Sell 971. 3: W.B. M. Chain Exacticed this . I. day of Apich 1014

I. E. KENNEDY, SECTERShard, Eswared this ... L. day of Offich, 104/4. Lilla Beld

Wastried this day of Aphil 1848.

RAY M. HORN, AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF MURIEL BLIZABETH OF LEW DECRASED, PLAINTIFF

J. H. BELL, SK., DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE OF J. H. BELL, JR.W LILLTE BELL, INDIVIDUALLY AND LILLTE BELL AS ADMINISTRATEIX OF THE ESTATE OF

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT.

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BAIDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 855

- 1. Come J. H. Bell, Jr., and Lillie Bell and for answer to Count One of the complaint say they are not guilty.
- 2. And for further plea in their behalf to Count One of the complaint, separately and severally, each of said defendants says that the plaintiff's intestate was herself guilty of negligence which proximately contributed to her said death.
- 3. And for further plea in their behalf to Count
 One of the complaint, each of the defendants says that a servant
 or agent of the plaintiff's intestate, while acting within the
 line and scope of his employment as such, was guilty of negligence which proximately contributed to the death of plaintiff's
 intestate.

Attorneys for Said Defendants

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 855

RAY M. HORN, as Administrator of the Estate of Muriel Elizabeth Horn, Plaintiff, Deceased

BVERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Adminis-tratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name & Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, Defendants.

* * * * * * * * *

02 E A PL your 28 1944

LAWYERS

FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX MOBILE, ALABAMA

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BAIDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 855

Come the defendants, J. H. Bell, Jr., and Lillie Bell, and separately demur to Counts Two, Three and Four of the complaint, separately, upon the following separate and several grunds, namely:

- 1. Said count shows no breach of duty which the defendants, their servants or agents owed to the plaintiff's intestate.
- 2. Because the parking or stopping of the defendants said truck upon the highway was a mere condition existing at the time and was in nowise the proximate cause of the death of the plaintiff's intestate.
- 3. Because no facts are averred from which the Court could infer that the death of the plaintiff's intestate was the proximate result of any negligence of the defendants, their servants or agents.

torneys for Said Defendants

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 855

RAY M. HORN, as Adminis-trator of the Estate of Muriel Elizabeth Horn, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

tratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name & Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY, LILLIE BELL, as Adminis-J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and Defendants.

* * * =;:

R æ UR EM

JOHNSTON, MCGALL & JOHNSTON **NSPAN XMX / BY / WANT X BY X M OF HUSTION**

FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX MOBILE, ALABAMA LAWYERS

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BAIDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

-13 - A

AT LAW - NO. 855

Comes the defendant, Lillie Bell, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., one of the defendants in the above-entitled cause, and demurs to each count of the complaint separately upon the following separate grounds:

- 1. Said count fails to show any breach of duty which the defendant owed to the plaintiff's intestate.
- 2. Because it affirmatively appears that the said defendant has no authority under law to engage in business.
- 3. Because it affirmatively appears that the said defendant could not, as a matter of law, be a member of a firm.
- 4. Because the facts pleaded affirmatively show that the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., could not be held liable for the alleged death of plaintiff's intestate.
- 5. Because the parking or stopping of the defendants automobile truck on the highway was no more than a condition existing at the time that the automobile in which the plaintiff's intestate was riding ran into it, and was in nowise the proximate cause of the death of the plaintiff's intestate.

torneys for said Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - NO. 855

RAY M. HORN, as Adminis-trator of the Estate of Muriel Elizabeth Horn, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

VERSUS

tratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name & Style of BELL, TRANS FER COMEN NY, J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Adminis-Defendants.

零零零零

DEMURRE

K

JOHNSTON, MCCALL & JOHNSTON

FIRST NATIONAL BANK ANNEX LAWYERS

MOBILE, ALABAMA

Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BAIDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW - No. 855

- Vs-

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL, Individually, and LILLIE BELL, as Administratrix of the Estate of J. H. Bell, Sr., doing business under the firm name and style of BELL TRANSFER COMPANY,

Defendants

The parties consenting hereto, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the above-entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, the parties having compromised and settled the same; and that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants all costs in his behalf expended, for all of which let execution issue.

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this / day of Cotober;

1944.

WE CONSENT TO THE ABOVE:

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

RAY M. HORN, AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF MURIEL ELIZABETH HORN, IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF DECEASED, PLAINTIFF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA No. 855 J. H. BELL, JR., ET AL DEFENDANTS. And now comes the Plaintiff and for answer to the interrogatories heretofore propounded by the Defendants, says: (a) Thirty five. Luverne, Alabama.(b) Chief Deputy Sheriff, Crenshaw, Alabama. 2. (a) 7:20 P. M. (b) Muriel Elizabeth Horn, Bettie O'Neal, Edwin O'Neal, Jack Beasley, and self. (c) Edwin O'Neal. L. F. Horn Yes. (d) (e.) (f) Traveling as guest. No one. (g) Yese No. No. Did not know O'Neal was along. (k) No. (1)(a) About thirty five miles per hour. (ď) Yes. (c) Just before hit it. Ten or fifteen feet. (d) None. Did not have time. (e) (f) Too near upon it. (g) No.Yes. i) Yes. (j) Yes. (i) (k) Dim. (a) As a guest. (b) She wanted to come along, for the trip. Yes. (c) No∙ (a)(e) Luverne (f) About 1:30 P. M. About 5:45 P. M. (g) (h) About 45 minutes. (i) No. (j) Non None. (K)No. (l)N O . None. (m) (n) No.

(c)

(g)

(q)

(r)

(s)

No.

None.

None.

None.

None.

None acquired.

5. (a) Left hand side. (b) About one-third.

May M. Hom

STATE OF ALABAMA CRENSHAW COUNTY.

Before me, the undersigned authority in and for said County in said State, personally appeared Ray M. Horn, who is known to me and who, having been by me first duly sworn, deposes and says that the foregoing answers to interrogatories heretofore propounded by the Defendants to Ray M. Horn, as Administrator of the Estate of Muriel Elizabeth Horn, in the above styled cause, are true and correct.

Sworn to abd subscribed before me on this the 32 day of

Okkleddock Notary Public, Crenshaw County, Alabama. Judge of Probate RAY M. HORN, AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF MURIEL ELIZABETH HORN, DECEASED

PLAINTIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

VS

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

AT LAW.

J. H. BELL, JR., LILLIE BELL INDIVIDUALLY AND LILLIE BELL AS ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF J. H. BELL, SR., DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE OF BELL TRANSFER COMPANY

855.

Defendants

And now comes the Plaintiff in the above styled cause, and for demurrer to the Defendants's pleas Two and Three, and to each separately and severally, says:

One:

That said plea sets out no facts which constitute a defense to the Plaintiff's cause of action.

Two:

That said plea attempts to charge the decedent with the negligence of the driver of the automobile without setting out any grounds thereof.

Three:

That said plea attempts to impute the negligence of the driver of the automobile to the decedent without showing a sufficient relationship between the decedent and the driver of the car.

Four:

That said plea fails to aver or show that the decedent negligently did or omitted to do anything.

Five:

That the said plea fails to aver that the decedent had any control over the automobile in which she was riding.

Six:

That said plea fails to allege or aver that the decedent had any control over the driver of the automobile in which she was riding.

Seven:

That said plea fails to show that the decedent had charge of the control or of the operation of the automobile in which she was riding.

Eight:

That said plea fails to allege that the decedent appreciated or was conscious of the danger that might result from the acts and conditions set out in said plea.

Nine:

That the said plea fails to allege or aver that the decedent knew and appreciated the danger set out in said plea, and voluntarily put herself in the way of it.

BEEBE & HALL

Attorneys for the Plaintiff.

Ray M. Horn as Administrator Estate of Muriel Elizabeth Horn Deceased,

PLAINTIFF.

DIMERRER.