

1040

ANSWER OF GARNISHMENT

HARRY D. HANSEN,)
Complainant) IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
-vs-) BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
WILLAR WYATT) LAW SIDE
Defendant)

Comes the Garnishee in the above styled cause and for answer to the Writ of Garnishment says as follows:-

That he was not at the time of service of the writ of garnishment and is not at the time of making answer, indebted to said defendant, nor does he have in his possession or under his control any money or effects belonging to the defendant nor is he liable to him on any contract for the payment of money or the delivery of personal property or on a contract for the payment of money which may be discharged by delivery of personal property or which is payable in personal property.

The Garnishee further answers that Willar Wyatt, the defendant in the above styled cause is indebted to him by contract previously entered into.

The Garnishee makes oath that the above is true.

WITNESS the hand of the Garnishee on this, the 31st day of March, 1947.

R. Krydzinski

ANSWER OF GARNISHMENT

HARRY D. HANSEN

Complainant

- VS -

WILLAR WYATT

Defendant

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA
LAW SIDE

Filed
4-3-47
Alice J. Duck
Clerk

WRIT OF GARNISHMENT ON JUDGMENT

THE STATE OF ALABAMA,)
)
BALDWIN COUNTY.)

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA -- GREETING:

WHEREAS Forest A. Christian has made affidavit as required by law that in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County recovered a judgment against Willard Wyatt for the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100), and the further sum of Ten & 05/100 Dollars (\$10.05), cost of suit; and that he believes the process of garnishment is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said judgment, and that Rudolph Krupinsky has, or is believed to have in his possession or under his control, money or effects belonging to the Defendant, or that he is believed to be indebted to the Defendant, or to be liable to him on a contract for the delivery of personal property, or on a contract for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property;

THESE ARE THEREFORE SO COMMAND YOU, that you summon the said Rudolph Krupinsky to be and appear at the next Session of Circuit Court in Baldwin County to be holden for said County, within thirty days after the service of this Writ of Garnishment, then and there to answer on oath, whether at the time of the service of this writ, or at the time of making answer, he has in his possession, or under his control any money or effects belonging to the Defendant; and whether he is indebted to said Defendant, or is liable to him on any contract for the payment of money or the delivery of personal property, or on a contract for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property.

WITNESS, Alice J. Duck, Clerk of said Court at office, this 3rd day of March, 1947.

Alice J. Duck Clerk

Received in Office

3-3-47

Taylor Wilkins
Sheriff

Executed March 14 1947
By serving copy of writ
of garnishment or judgment
on Randolph Krapinski

Taylor Wilkins Sheriff
14-7-14 all D. S.

Melby
R. Krapinski
1048

WRIT OF GARNISHMENT ON JUDGMENT

HARRY D. HANSEN,
Complainant

VS

WILLARD WYATT,
Defendant

FILED

MAR 3 1947

Alice J. Duck, Clerk

AFFIDAVIT FOR GARNISHMENT ON JUDGMENT

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, }
BALDWIN COUNTY. }

Personally appeared before me, Gus Schultz, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, Forest A. Christian, who being duly sworn, deposes and swears that Henry E. Hansen in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County recovered a judgment against Willard Wyatt for the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100) and the further sum of Ten Dollars and Five Cents (\$10.05) costs of suit, and that he believes the process of garnishment is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said judgment, and that Rudolph Krupinsky has or is believed to have in his possession or under his control, money or effects belonging to the Defendant, or that the said Rudolph Krupinsky is believed to be indebted to the Defendant, or that he is liable to the said Defendant on a contract for the delivery of personal property, or on a contract for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property.

Forest A. Christian

Forest A. Christian

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 1st day of March, 1947.

Gus Schultz

Notary Public

My commission expires:

3-25-49

RECEIVED
Baldwin County Sheriff's Office
March 1, 1947

Oct 1

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

Here at present Plaintiff is affirmed and the appeal dismissed.
Left above this cause was maintained in secret until the year 1920 since the
same relating to funds received and not received, it would affect the rights and interests
of third persons and so the suit was brought before the Superior Court of San Joaquin
to recover \$10,000.00 which was paid to the Plaintiff and his (100%)
share of the amount of money left to the Plaintiff and his wife, it was
settled at no sum plaintiff retained and his (100%) share is now
represented by plaintiff's attorney who has been retained to represent him and is
represented by the Plaintiff's attorney who retains the Plaintiff and his wife
and the Plaintiff's attorney is represented by the Plaintiff's attorney
representing Plaintiff in Plaintiff's suit.

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT this is an appeal from the Superior Court of San Joaquin

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT ON JUDGMENT

1040

HARRY D. MANGIN,

Complainant

V.S.

WILLIAM MANGIN,

Defendant

APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

AFFIDAVIT FOR GARNISHMENT OR JUDGEMENT

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, }
} }
BALDWIN COUNTY. }

Personally appeared before me, Gus Schultz, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, Forest A. Christian, who being duly sworn, deposes and saith that Harry D. Hensen in the Circuit Court of Baldwin County received a judgment against Willard Wyatt for the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100), and the further sum of Ten Dollars and Five Cents (\$10.05) costs of suit, and that he believes the process of garnishment is necessary to obtain satisfaction of said judgment, and that Rudolph Krupinsky has or is believed to have in his possession or under his control, money or effects belonging to the Defendant, or that the said Rudolph Krupinsky is believed to be indebted to the Defendant, or that he is liable to the said Defendant on a contract for the delivery of personal property, or on a contract for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery of personal property, or which is payable in personal property.

Forest A. Christian

Forest A. Christian

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 1st day of March, 1947.

Gus Schultz

Notary Public

My commission expires:

3-25-49



*Referred
D.W. Harl, Judge*

1. The Court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case your verdict should be for the Proponent.

CHARGE A

The Court charges the Jury that if they believe from the evidence that the purported Will of A. W. Slaughter makes an unnatural disposition of the property of the testator, this fact may be taken into consideration, together with or in connection with all the evidence in the case, in the determination of the issues involved.

*Strong
for Plaintiff
Judge* 3. The Court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case you should find that the Will of A. W. Slaughter, which has been offered in evidence in this case, was properly executed.

*Referred
D.W. Harl, Judge*

1. The Court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case your verdict should be for the Proponent.

CHARGE A

The Court charges the Jury that if they believe from the evidence that the purported Will of A. W. Slaughter makes an unnatural disposition of the property of the testator, this fact may be taken into consideration, together with or in connection with all the evidence in the case, in the determination of the issues involved.

*Strong
for Plaintiff
Judge*
3. The Court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case you should find that the Will of A. W. Slaughter, which has been offered in evidence in this case, was properly executed.

CHARGE C

The Court charges the Jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, you will find for the Contestant on the issue submitted to you in this case.

CHARGE B

The Court charges the Jury that if you believe from the evidence in the case that the purported Will of A. W. Slaughter makes an unnatural disposition of his property, you may consider this along with the other evidence in the case in determining whether the said A. W. Slaughter was of unsound mind at the time of the execution of said Will.