(7/9)

RAY NESBITT,

Plaintiff.

-vs-

CITY SALES COMPANY, a partnership composed of Leslie E. Buerger and EDWINA BUERGER, and LESLIE E. BUERGER and ED-WINA BUERGER,

Defendants.

AT LAW

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

Now come the defendants and show unto the Court that the plaintiff herein is and was at the time of the filing of the suit herein, a non-resident of the State and Alabama, and move the Court that proceedings herein be stayed pending the giving of security for costs in this cause by the plaintiff in accordance with the law, and that upon default in the giving of such security as required by law, that the cause be dismissed.

Attorney for Defendents.

STATE OF ALABAMA
COUNTY OF MOBILE

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared D. R. Coley, who, being by me first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Attorney of Record for the defendants in the above entitled cause and that the facts alleged in the foregoing motion are true.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 21st day of January, Nineteen Hundred and Forty-two.

Notary Public, Mobile County, Ala.

19 Malior Docket RECORDING

64

\$2 1(1 6)

Additional of the spirit of th

<

A company of the second

July July 1944

74. 194 12 RAY NESBITT.

Plaintiff.

-vs-

CITY SALES COMPANY, a partnership composed of LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER, and LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER,

Defendants.

AT LAW

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

Now come the City. Sales Company, a partnership, and Leslie E. Buerger and Edwina Buerger individually, defendants in the above entitled cause, and demur to Counts 1, 2 and 3 of the bill of complaint therein, separately and severally, upon the following separate and several grounds:

- l: Because for aught that appears, no duty owing to the plaintiff was violated by the defendants or by any servant or agent of the defendants acting within the line or scope of his authority.
- 2: Because the allegations of said counts do not state a cause of action against the defendants.
- 3: Because it does not appear from said complaint that the accident complained of, occurred on a public highway.
- 4: Because it does not appear from the allegations of said counts that the injuries complained of by the plaintiff were the proximate consequence of any negligence for which the defendants are responsible.
- 5: Because the allegation that the trailer was used for commercial purposes, is the conclusion of the pleader.

Attorney for Defendants.

Defendants demand a trial by Jury.

Attorney for Defendants.

RECORDED

RAY NESBITT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY SALES COMPANY, a partnership composed of LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER, and LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER,

Defendants.

AT LAW

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

Now come the Defendants and for answer to the complaint herein, plead in short by consent the General Issue, with leave to offer in evidence any matter which if well pleaded would constitute a defense to the action.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

AT LAW

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

RAY NESBITT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY SALES COMPANY, a partnership composed of LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER, and LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER,

Defendants.

ANSWER

Filed 3-21-42 R. S. Duck

D. R. COLEY, JR., ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS RAY NESBITT,

مي رسانيد

Plaintiff,

AT LAW

vs.

CITY SALES COMPANY, a partnership composed of LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER, and LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER,

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

Defendants.

Now come the Defendants, and propound the following interrogatories to the Plaintiff herein:

1: Please give your name and residence.

ميلوني والملاث

- 2: What was the make of the trailer which you claim was damaged as a result of the collision with Defendants' truck.
 - 3: By what company was it manufactured?
- 4: Please state where and from whom and on what date the trailer was purchased by you.
 - 5: Was it purchased for cash or on credit?
- 6: Attach to your answers the bill of sale upon which it was purchased.
- 7: Please give an itemized statement of the cooking utensils, dishes and other housekeeping equipment which was in the trailer at the time of the collision, stating the value of each article, from whom purchased and when.
 - 8: How wide was the trailer over all?
- 9: Please describe the automobile which you were driving at the time of the accident, giving its make and year model.
- 10: Please state from whom you purchased it, when and for what price.
- ll: Attach to your answers the bill of sale or invoice covering the same.
 - 12: Have you had your automobile repaired?
- 13: If so, please give the name of the mechanic who did the work and attach to your answers a copy of the statement

covering the work done in repairing it.

14: In your complaint you have alleged that you were injured. Please state in detail what injuries were sustained by you.

15: Were you attended by a physician?

16: If so, please state when and give the name of the physician and the amount of his bill.

17: Please itemize the damages which you allege were sustained by you as the result of the accident complained of.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

STATE OF ALABAMA,)

COUNTY OF MOBILE.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared this day D. R. Coley, Jr., attorney for the Defendants in the foregoing cause, who, being by me first duly sworn, deposes and says that the answers to the foregoing interrogatories, if truthfully made, will be material evidence for the Defendants.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of March, 1942.

NOTARY PUBLIC, MOBILE COUNTY, ALA.

AT LAW

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

RAY NESBITT,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

CITY SALES COMPANY, etc.

Defendants.

INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANTS TO PLAINTIFF.

Filed 3-21-42 P.S. Duck

D. R. COLEY, JR., ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS.

The State of Alabama, BALDWIN COUNTY

CIRCUIT GOURT. (LAW) Oct.

Ray Nesbitt.

No. 719.

vs.

City Sales Company, et al

Total Sheriff's Fees

BILL OF CLERK'S FEES: SUMMARY OF FEES, COSTS, AND JUDGMENT AMOUNT AMOUNT Fees and Costs in Circuit Court: 1 255 6 40 Docketing Cause, One Fee only of Ex-Clerk's Fees 4 50 Issuing Alias or Branch Summons & Complaint, each 1.25 Making Copies Thereof Minimum each Ex-Sheriff's Fees 90. Making Copies Thereof, over 200 Words, per 100 words .15 Commissioner's Fees 40 Orders of Publication, each50 Court Reporter's Fees, Per Day or fraction thereof .5.00 Issuing Summ. to Garnishee, each 3 00 Making Copy of Interrogatories, Per
100 Words, 15; Minimum 50 Fees and Costs in Inferior Court: Clerk of Inferior Court Fees Sheriff's Fees Endorsing Each Package of Depositions Opened 10 Issuing Subpoenas, Each 10 70 Filing Papers, each Fees and Costs in Inferior Court 30 13.9 0 Other Orders of Court, each 2 45 10 Per Cent Damages Complete Record, Per 100 Words Transcript to Supreme Court, Per 100 Words15 Additional Copies of Same, Per 100 Words :...... .05 Total Fees, Costs and Judgment .: 13 90 Issuing Executions or Copy Thereof, each50 Entering Sheriff's Return, Per 100 Words, 15; Minimum 6 40 Total Clerk's Fees SHERIFF'S FEES: 4 50 Serving and Returning Summons or Writ, each Levying Attachment, each 3.00 Seizing Personal Property Under Writ of Detinue .. 2.00 Serving and Returning Sci. Fa. or Notice, Each 1.50 Serving Contempt Attachment, each1.50 Coms. for Collecting Money on Executions 4 50

STATE OF ALABAMA

BALDWIN COUNTY

TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

124

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO summon CITY SALES COMPANY, a partnership composed of LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER, and LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the Complaint of RAY NESBITT.

Witness my hand this the 202 day of December, 1941.

Clerk

PAY NESBITT, PLAINTIFF,

.VS.

CITY SALES COMPANY, a partnership composed of LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER, and LESLIE E. BUERGER and EDWINA BUERGER, DEFENDANTS.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA,

AT LAW.

٦.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants, FIFTEEN HUNDRED (\$1500.00) DOLLARS damages for that heretofore on to-wit, November 8, 1941, the Plaintiff was operating his automobile, to which was attached an automobile trailer; that said trailer was equipped with cooking utensils, dishes, and other housekeeping equipment, on a long highway number 31, a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, near Perdido; that on said day and at said place the Defendants, acting through and by their agent or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently caused an automobile truck to run into or collide with the Plaintiff's said trailer and as a proximate result thereof, the said trailer was completely demolished; that its contents were damaged and destroyed; that said trailer was used for commercial purposes, and that the Plaintiff, as a proximate result of said collision, has been deprived of the use thereof; all to the damage of the Plaintiff as aforesaid;

The Plaintiff alleges that said damages were the proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants' agent or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants, FIVE HUNDRED (\$500.00) DOLLARS, damages for that heretofore on to-wit, November 8, 1941, the Plaintiff was operating

his automobile, to which was attached an automobile trailer, Gor along highway number 31, a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, at a place near Perdido; that on said day and at said place the Defendants, acting by and through their agent or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently caused an automobile truct which he was operating along said highway to run into or collide with the Plaintiff's said trailer and as a proximate result thereof, the Plaintiff's automobile was damaged as follows, to-wit: The fenders bent; the top bent; the body bent; the radiator and wheels damaged and said automobile otherwise damaged; all to the damage of the Plaintiff, as aforesaid;

The Plaintiff alleges that said automobile was used for commercial purposes, and that he was deprived of the use thereof;

The Plaintiff alleges that the damages were the proximate result of the negligence of Defendants' agent or employee who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment.

The Plaintiff claims of the Defendants, the sum of FIVE HUNDRED (\$500.00) DOLLARS, for that heretofore on to-wit, November 8, 1941, the Plaintiff was operating his automobile, to which was attached an automobile trailer, over or along highway number 31, a public highway in Baldwin County, Alabama, at a point near Perdido; that on said day and at said place, the Defendants, acting by and through their agent or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment, negligently caused an automobile truck to run into or collide with the trailer and as a proximate result of said collision the Plaintiff was injured as follows: His arms and legs were injured; he was injured internally; he was permanently injured; he was rendered sore and lame; He was permanently injured; He was caused to lose time; He was caused to incur various bills; all to the damage of the Plaintiff, as aforesaid.

The Plaintiff alleges that said damages were the proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants: agent or employee, who was then and there acting within the line and scope of his employment.

BEEBE & HALL

BY: Attorneys for Plaintiff.

RAY NESBITT, Plaintiff,

VS.

CITY SALES COMPANY, ET. AL., Defendants.

RECORDED

SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

BEEBE & HALL, LAWYERS, Bay Minette, Alabama