502

CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY, a Corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NORTHCUTT, YOUNG AND COMPANY, a Partnership composed of J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, and J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, Individually,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

AT LAW. NUMBER 9398.

MOTION TO QUASH.

Comes S. M. Tharp, Defendant, in the above entitled cause, and moves the Court to quash and set aside the writ of garnishment issued in this cause by R. S. Duck, Circuit Clerk, by Nan Alice Thompson, Deputy Clerk, on to-wit, February 13, 1939, and as grounds therefor sets down and assigns the following separate and several reasons, to-wit:

- 1. The Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, has not rendered any judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against the Defendant.
- 2. The said writ of garnishment is predicated on a judgment which does not exist.
- 3. The minutes of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, do not show the rendition or existence of a judgment rendered on April 12, 1932, in favor of Curlee Clothing Company, a Corporation, as Plaintiff and against Northcutt, Young and Company, a Partnership composed of J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp and J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, Individually, as Defendants, for the sum of \$752.50 and \$15.05 costs.
 - 4. The said writ of garnishment is void on its face.

Attorney for Movant.

Cachlud

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned authority, within and for said County in said State, personally appeared S. M. Tharp, who, after being by me first duly and legally sworn, deposes and says: That he is the movent in the foregoing motion; that he has read the allegations

thereof and that the same are true.

SM Thurb.

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the 17th day of February, 1939.

Ora Dirman

Notary Public, Baldwin County, Alabama.

The above and foregoing Motion having been presented to me on this date it is ORDERED that the same be and it hereby is set for hearing at Bay Minette, Alabama, on Thursday, March 2, 1939. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Monette and Taylor, Attorneys for the Curlee Clothing Company, a Corporation, have one day's notice of the day set for hearing the said motion.

Dated this 24th day of February, 1939.

Judge.

MOTION TO QUASH.

CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY, a Corporation,

Plaintiff,

<u>ت</u>

NORTHCUTT, YOUNG AND COMPANY, a Partnership composed of J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, and J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, Individually,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.
AT LAW.

NUMBER 9798

Ting & Money 3 4/55 9

RIS BY DUCK
clerk, - register

By faultes 24 report

J. B. BLACKBURN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
BAY MINETTE, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA)

BALDWIN COUNTY)

CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY,)
a corporation,)

Plaintiff,

٧s.

NORTHUCUTT, YOUNG & COMPANY,) a partnership composed of J. E.) Young and S. M. Tharp, and J. E. YOUNG and S. M. THARP, indivi-) dually,

Defendants.

In the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama.
No. 9398.

MOTION TO AMEND JUDGMENT NUNC PRO TUNC.

Comes the plaintiff in the above styled cause and represents unto the court as follows:

That on March 18th, 1932, while the above styled cause was pending in this Court, plaintiff and defendants entered into an agreement in writing for judgment to be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in this case; that on to-wit, the 6th day of April, 1932, the said agreement was filed with the clerk of the court in this cause:

That on April 12th, 1932, this Court rendered a judgment in this cause, the judgment entry reading as follows: "4/12/32; Judgment by consent for Plaintiff, & damages assessed at \$752.50.";

That subsequent thereto a certificate of the judgment was issued by T. W. Richardson, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, setting out in said certificate that a judgment was rendered in said cause on the 12th day of April, 1932, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants as styled above and said certificate of judgment was filed in the Office of the Judge of Probate on the 19th day of December, 1932, at 10 o'clock A. M., and duly recorded in Judgment Book No. 2, Page 301:

That subsequent thereto, execution was issued by the clerk of the court against the defendants and placed in the hands

of the sheriff and returned, "No property found";

That on to-wit, December 11th, 1937, or thereabout, another execution was issued by the clerk of the court against the defendants and placed in the hands of the sheriff for execution and execution was levied on certain properties of the defendant, S. M. Tharp, and on to-wit, the 19th day of January, 1938, the defendant, S. M. Tharp, filed claim of exemptions to property levied upon by the sheriff under execution in said cause;

And that it appears that the clerk of the court has overlooked or for some reason failed to prepare and write in the records of said court a minute entry of said judgment.

THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff moves the court to enter an order herein directing the clerk to write in the minute records of this court a minute entry of said judgment numc pro tunc.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorneys for Plaintiff

We hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing motion has this day been mailed to J. B. Blackburn, attorney for defendant, the Court at such that the poregoing wolfon will be called to the attention of the Court at S. M. Tharp? Dated this the ______ day of March, 1939. 900 AM. Wash 2.1939,

Attorneys for Plainti:

ga jahan garangda saad Agaman ody. Man yoqoq esabb dinomdii x

amphrachanacht an ta-sta, béacht i bhacht i bash chath ar the chath ar the chath the state of and state of the state of th

Contract of the Same of the Sa

will be pare.

grabaeaphyth anyagpaagh...

gale pasar arrigal (bòlig) et et eggadistant hábadistik hjörligagikkesp Mikg kal pasapi arrigal pasar a ositi or era gasakojal arrigalar pasakojal kal pasapi

er kan dereken in gerale eine militatioer of dereken dereken beginne beginne beginne beginne beginne beginne b

TO MONETTE AND TAYLOR, ATTORNEYS FOR CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY, a Corporation:

You are hereby notified that the attached Motion which was filed in this Court by S. M. Tharp on February 24, 1939, has been set down for hearing at Bay Minette, Alabama, on Thursday, March 2, 1939.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on this the 24th day of February, 1939.

R. S. Duch

Clerk of the Circuit Court, Baldwin County, Alabama.

By - Suslice Thangson, Deputy Clerk

CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY, a Corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NORTHCUTT, YOUNG AND COMPANY, a Partnership composed of J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, and J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, Individually,

Defendents.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.
AT LAW. NUMBER 9298.

MOTION TO QUASH.

Comes S. M. Therp, Defendent, in the above entitled cause, and moves the Court to quash and set aside the writ of garnishment issued in this cause by R. S. Duck, Circuit Clerk, by Nan Alice Thompson, Deputy Clerk, on to-wit, February 13, 1939, and as grounds therefor sets down and assigns the following separate and several reasons, to-wit:

- 1. The Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, has not rendered any judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against the Defendant.
- 2. The said writ of garnishment is predicated on a judgment which does not exist.
- 3. The minutes of the Circuit Court of Baldwin County, Alabama, do not show the rendition or existence of a judgment rendered on April 12, 1932, in favor of Curlee Clothing Company, a Corporation, as Plaintiff and against Northcutt, Young and Company, a Partnership composed of J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp and J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, Individually, as Defendants, for the sum of \$752.50 and \$15.05 costs.
 - 4. The said writ of garnishment is void on its face.

J. B. Blackburn

Attorney for Movent.

STATE OF ALABAMA BALDWIN COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned authority, within and for said County in said State, personally appeared S. M. Tharp, who, after being by me first duly and legally sworn, deposes and says: That he is the movent in the foregoing motion; that he has reed the allegations

thereof and that the same are true.

S. M. Tharp

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the 17th day of February, 1939.

Ora Sirmon

Notary Public, Baldwin County, Alabama.

The above and foregoing Motion having been presented to me on this date it is ORDERED that the same be and it hereby is set for hearing at Bay Minette, Alabama, on Thursday, March 2, 1939. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Monette and Taylor, Attorneys for the Curlee Clothing Company, a Corporation, have one day's notice of the day set for hearing the said motion.

Dated this 24th day of February, 1939

VL LVM. MONBEE 3238'
BYTDAIM COLMIA, VIVEBWW'
IN LHE CINCOIL COLIS OF

Yours and S. M. Tharp, Italiai

Roung and S. M. Tharp, Italiai

Roung and S. M. Tharp, Italiai

Roung and S. M. Tharp, Italiai

Lini

Lini

Calai

HEAUQ OF MOITOM

COMEVIAL.

s Corporation, CURLEE CLOTHING

HVBISA E ZMILH ZMITE

population of the Zighta App.

R of Areching the Zighta App.

R of Areching the Zighta App.

snd tinst same are

S. M.

subsoribed 17th day of before me Rebruary, āns I súv

Ora Sirmon

Motary Public, Beldwin County, Alabama.

The above and foregoing Motion having been presented me on this date it is OPDERED that the same be and it hereby is set for hearing at Bay Minette, Alabama, on Thursday, March 2, 1939. IS FURTHER ORDERED that Monette and Taylor, Attorneys for the Curlee e Corporation, have one day's notice of the day set

Dated this 24th

M. Tharp, Individually NORTHCUTT, YOUNG AND COMPANY, a Partnership composed of Young and S. M. Therp, Young and S. M. Tharp,

Young and

Defendants.

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

NUMBER 9398.

AT LAW.

TEGOOTTE . MOTION TO QUASH. Copy

> HARRY E, SMITE EXECUTED this th

Plaintiff

VS.

CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY, a Corporation,

A DUITA UIT	TAT	A A DATICALLA COATOR	A11	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
M D C 1111A V 1 1	IIV.	I - A R IN I S H M IN IN I	115	TITLE AND AND RESTREET
		GARNISHMENT	O11	Transfer

The State of Alabama, JEBEERSON COUNTY.

CIRCUIT COURT

BALDWIN	BALDWIN COUNTY
Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared	George I.Case, Jr.
wl	no being duly sworn deposes and says that
Curlee Clothing Company, a corporation,	
recovered a judgment on the 12th day of April Northcutt, Young & Co., a partnership co against and S. M. Tharp, and J. E. Young & S. M.	mposed of J. E. Young
for the sum of <u>Seven Hundred Fifty-Two & 50/100 (</u> Judgment <u>Entitled to credit of \$130.00.</u> together with the further sum of <u>Fifteen and 05/100 (</u>	
and that S. F. HOLMES, Custodian of School	Funds of Baldwin County.
Alabama.	
ha_s_or is believed to have in_its possession or under_its Defendantor to be liable to him on a contract for the deli for the payment of money which may be discharged by the delivery in personal property and affiant further says that he believes that pro satisfaction of said judgment. Subscribed and sworn to before me, this llth day of	very of personal property or on a contract of personal property, or which is payable cess of Garnishment is necessary to obtain
	Seo I Caro Gr

Garnis	shment l	: 20 Vo.	YCO)	UKD .
1.5			:	
The	State			labama,
AF		r in g	COU ARNIS MENT	HMENT
	e Clot porati		:	Plaintiff.
	Tharr)		
				Defendant.
				Garnishee.
of the C				e of the Clerk
<i>_/_2</i> d	ay of	· C	ang.	193 <i>g</i>
- 13m - 11	Endral	John Thomas	Many	Clerk On Ty Club
Won	ette,	Taylo		Jeffreytiff's Attorney.



CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY, A CORPORATION,
VS.

NORTHCUTT, YOUNG AND COMPANY, A PARTNER*

SHIP COMPOSED OF J. E. YOUNG AND S. M. THARR;,)

AND J. E. YOUNG AND S. M. THARP, INDIVIDUALLY.)

IN THE CIRCUIT COU RT
OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALL

In this cause, it is made to appear to the Court that on the 12th day of April, 1932, a judgment was rendered in favor of the plaintiff against the defendants in the sum of \$752.50, but that the Clerk of the Court failed to enter a judgment of record, all of which appears by record and quasi-record evidence:

IT TS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court that the Clerk of this Court enter on the Minutes of the court a judgment for the Gurles Clothing Company, a Corporation, plaintiff, vs. Northcutt, Young & Company, a partnership, and also against J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, individually, in the sum of \$752.50, as aforesaid, said judgment to bear the date of April 12th., 1932.

This 25th day of January, 1940.

JUDGE JUDGE

ooring of oraling constant, a correlation

MCATHOURT, TOUMS AND COMPANY, A PARRICH!

or pandama occura,

Tex Side.

THE CORP. Maye 103

In this offse, it is made to appear to the Court that on the 12th defendent was readered in fevor of the plaintiffsephret the defendants in the sum of \$752.50, but that the Olege of the Court failed to enter a fudgment of record, all of which appears by record and quasi-record evidence.

of this Court enter on the Minutes of the Court that the Clerk of this Court enter on the Minutes of the court a judgment for the Guriages Clothing Company, a Corporation, plaintiff, va. Northoutt, Young & Company, a partnership, and also against f. B. Young and S. W. Therp, individually, in the sun of 0752.50, as aforesaid, said judgment to bear the date of April M2th., 1932.

This 25th day of January, 1940.

The State of Alabama }

CIRCUIT COURT

TENTHY TUDICIALX CIRCUITY

BALDWIN COUNTY

BALDWIN

To any Sheriff of the State of Alabama—GREETING:

The state of the s	e te di Secundo						
WHEREA	S, on the	12thday	of	April		1932, in t	the Circuit
	er e	: <u>:</u>					%
Court of the To	enth Judicial C	rcuit of Alaba	ıma Curle	e lothing	Company, a	corporat	ion,
2 same Same						18 42	
to a commence of the control of the	on from the management of the second	and the contract of the contra	The first contract of the cont	ere			
				***************************************		I	Plaintiff
Ar de la Sala			e.	20 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			
ecovered a jud	ment against	Northcutt	Young 8	Co., a pa	rtnershin d	വേധാനു	f
\$ A A	3		,		afficier en Taplicale spiem Trail realizant prifesten animale auditzat part es es e ales 	the first assessment but about a deal of the bush about a second and a	<i>y</i>
. E. Youn	g and S. N	1. Tharp,	and J. E.	Young and	S. M. Than	p, indivi	dually
				***************************************	***************************************		•
						Do	fondont S
	40				***************************************	De.	remant 2
+ b of	Seven Hur	dred Fift	v-Two and	1 50/100 (\$	759 50)		
or the sum of				dit of \$130			Dollars
						_	
lebt and dama	ges, and		and Ub/L	00 (\$15.05).		D	ollars cost
		4 . 4	- 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1				i i
nd whereas		~~~~~~~~~~	George	I. Case		******************	
			14 P.				1
as made affida	vit, as required	by law.					
	Count	y, Alabama	а,				
					***************************************	***************************************	
	•						
	***************************************		***************************************			***************************************	
		***************************************					····
o be and appea	r before the Cir	cuit Court of t	he Tenth Judi	cial Circuit of Ala	abama, within th	irty days from	the servic
of this process,	then and there	to answer, on	oath, whether	er at the time of	the service of th	e garnishment	, or at th
ime of making	its		answer, or at	any time interve	ning the time of	serving the ga	rnishmen
***					-	<u> </u>	- ·
nd making the	answer	is	indebted	to the Defendan	t and whether	it	
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
ill not be inde	bted in future t	o said Defenda	nt har contr	act then existing	and whather be-	a contract the	m aniati-
mino ne mae	oved in Intake (- said Deterring	aro by comer	act onen existing	, and whether by	a contract the	m existin
	+	will be lieble	to soid The	adam+ #a 41	dolinom of man		<i></i>
				ndant for the	**		
ayment of mo	ney wnich may	pe discharged	by the deliv	ery of personal p	property; or which	en is payable ii	n persona
	hothou	-: +	harra mat in	3 + 0			
roperty, and w	песпег		nave not in	its	posses	sion, or under	LUS
	control m	oney or effects	helonging to	the Defendant		* *- *-	
	comerol, m	mey or enects	perougua to	me Defendant			
Witness mi	hand this the	13th	day of	February		199 9	
11 TOHOGO HILY	The state of the s			o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o 		± if Ozahlazzai	
•				YP. 3	· Duck-		
				Ge- San Slice		Circuit Cler	ζ.
·				Bu - dan Sleie	Увенения		

Writ of Garnishment on S H Notry The State of Alabama Defendant. Garnishees. Taylor & Jeffrey Plaintiff. Curlee Clothing Company, a Plaintiff's Attorney. CIRCUIT COURT Writ of Garnishment on Judgment JEHRESON COUNTY RECOMPAGE Bay Winette, Alabama Boldin ۷S. S. M. Tharp corporation, Monette,

county Bank, and funds of Baldum Garnishees. Custochaigh schuck Eves of Baldurin

Executed by serving a copy of the within

Holmes.

this 13th day of Leb 1939 W. P. Stuan

Sheriff, Deputy Sheriff

GEO. CASE, JR.

LAW OFFICES OF

78LEPHONE 3-5284

TAYLOR & JEFFREY

910-915 JACKSON BUILDING

BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

September 1st, 1939

Miss Nan Alice Thompson c/o n. S. Duck Clerk Circuit Court Bay Minette, Alabama

> Re: 56944, Curlee Clothing Co. Vs: Northcutt, Young & Co., et al

Dear Miss Thompson:

We enclose copy of reply brief we wish to file in this case.

The original of this brief has gone forward to Judge Hare at Monroeville, Alabama.

Very truly yours,

IDJ:ew

TAYLOR & JEFFREY

Enclosure.

CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY, a corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NORTHCUTT, YOUNG and COMPANY, a partnership composed of J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp; and J. E. YOUNG and S. M. THARP, individually,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY,

ALABAMA.

NO. 9398.

Defendants.

REPLY BRIEF OF TAYLOR AND JEFFREY, Attorneys for Curlee Clothing Company, a corporation. STATE OF ALABAMA)
BALDWIN COUNTY

CURLET CLOTHING COMPANY, a corporation,

Plaintiff.

VS.

NORTHCUTT, YOUNG & COMPANY, a partnership composed of J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp, and J. E. YOUNG and S. M. THARP, individually,

Defendants.

In the Circuit Court of
Baldwin County,
Alabama.

Nc. 9398.

We are just in receipt of copy of brief of S. M. Tharp by J. B. Blackburn, Bay Minette, Alabama, in connection with a motion filed by the plaintiff in this cause to amend the judgment nunc pro tunc.

In the first place, we do not know what became of the written agreement between the plaintiff and defendants consenting to judgment being entered in this case, after it had been filed with the clerk of the court. We have copy of this agreement in our file and furnished Your Honor with a copy with our letter of February 22nd, 1939. Furthermore, it is admitted that the defendants, each and every one, were represented by capable and competent counsel at the trial of

this cause. Three days after the trial these attorneys,
Messrs. Beebe and Hall, notified us of their action before
the court at which time it was agreed in open court by these
attorneys that judgment be rendered against the defendants,
a copy of this letter and our reply having been attached to
a letter directed to Your Honor on February 27th, 1939.

So, insofar as the agreement for judgment is concerned, if it be said that the record contains no such written agreement or verbal agreement before the court, the bench notes of Your Honor specifically show that an agreement of some kind, either written or oral, was before the court at the time the judgment entry was made by this court on April 12th, 1932. There are only two positions taken by the defendant, S. M. Tharp; namely, first, there is not sufficient entry or memorandum apparent on the record to allow an amendment, and second, that if there be sufficient entries and memoranda apparent on the records so as to permit the judgment to be amended, then it cannot be amended after three years from the date of the entries.

Although Mr. Blackburn states that the authorities cited in the plaintiff's brief are not applicable to either of the propositions or positions taken by him, we call attention to the cases of Mt. Vernon-Woodberry Mills vs. Union Springs Guano Co., 155 So. 710, 136 Ala. App. 136, 155 So.

716, 223 Ala. 91, and the cases cited therein, and Minor vs. Minor, 134 So. 132, 228 Ala. 646, and Nabers' Admr. vs. Meredith, et al, 67 Ala. 333, and other cases cited in the plaintiff's brief.

These cases clearly show, first, that the memorandum of the court on the bench notes is not in itself a complete judgment, yet it is sufficient from which a complete judgment of full force and effect can and should be written up in the minutes of the records of the court by the clerk, and is sufficient from which an amendment nunc pro tunc should be made directing the clerk to write it up if not done so as his duty, any time within twenty years from the date of the judgment entry memorandum.

Far be it from the writer to challenge the validity of a judgment entry made by this Honorable Court in the disposition of its cases. If the judgment entry were sufficient at the time it was made from which a minute entry could be made by the clerk of the court, it is now sufficient from which an order on the motion to amend nunc pro tunc be made directing the clerk to do that which he failed to do at the time and in the manner as required by law. That is, write up the judgment in the minutes of the records of the court.

With reference to the three year statute cutting off the plaintiff entirely, will state that it is clearly shown in the case of Minor vs Minor and others cited in the

plaintiff's brief, that the Supreme Court of this state has repeatedly declined to enforce the limitation of three years to judgments under Section 7855 of the Code of 1923 and 4140 of the Code of 1907. As is shown in the case of Sartor vs. Branch Bank at Montgomery, 29 Ala. 353, and Nabers' Admr. vs. Meredith, et al, and cases cited therein, it will be seen the reasons given by the Supreme Court for the ineffectiveness of the three year statute. In other words, the Supreme Court says, and it is still well settled law in this state, that in spite of the three year statute and Section 7855, it is of no effect and never has been.

Mr. Blackburn would have the court penalize the plaintiff for the failure of the clerk of the court to do that which the clerk is compelled to do as such by law. The plaintiff and its attorneys had no reason to assume that the clerk of the court had not done his duty as required by law as such clerk, and search the records of his office to determine whether he had done his duty by writing the judgment in the minute records of the court. That is the reason why the statute on amendments exists. The law would place too great a responsibility on the plaintiff and its attorneys to follow each case after the rendition of judgment by the court to see whether the clerk does his duty and enters up the judgment as he is bound and required to do by law. Therefore, the plaintiff and its attorneys could not have slumbered on any right that they might have had in this

case. The record consisting of the summons and complaint, the sheriff's return and the judgment entry memorandum show conclusively the names of the parties to the suit, that neither party denied the partnership or being a member thereof and that neither party was stricken from the record.

That being true, there is sufficient matters, entries and memoranda of record to clearly show what the judgment was rendered for and against whom and from which the proper judgment should be entered upon the minute records of the court.

For the reasons stated above, and in the original brief filed by the plaintiff in this cause, we submit that the plaintiff is entitled to an order therein directing the clerk to write up the minutes of this judgment in the records of this court numc pro tune.

Respectfully submitted.

Attorneys for the Plaintiff.

We hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing brief has this day been mailed to J. B. Blackburn, attorney at law, Bay Minette, Alabama, postage prepaid.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 1939.

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

case. The record consisting of the summons and complaint, the sheriff's return and the judgment entry memorandum show conclusively the names of the parties to the suit, that neither party denied the partnership or being a member thereof and that neither party was stricken from the record.

That being true, there is sufficient matters, entries and memoranda of record to clearly show what the judgment was rendered for and against whom and from which the proper judgment should be entered upon the minute records of the court.

For the reasons stated above, and in the original brief filed by the plaintiff in this cause, we submit that the plaintiff is entitled to an order therein directing the clerk to write up the minutes of this judgment in the records of this court nunc pro tunc.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

We hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing brief has this day been mailed to J. B. Blackburn, attorney at law, Bay Minette, Alabama, postage prepaid.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 1939.

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Title State of Anabama,	No. 509/	· ·		
Baldwin County.	∫ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Şa	muary Term, 19_5	40
TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALAB	AMA—GREETING:			
YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, That of the good	ds and chattels, lands and	tenements of		
<u> </u>	n. Thank		, Defendant	,
you cause to be made the sum of Falta	Till a	5/100	DOLLA	
which Curlee	Clocking a	supany, a	Plaintiff	,
ecovered of Line as costo	in said	cause		
on the 29th day of January	, 19 <i>40</i> , t		rcuit Court held for the County	y of
Ballioin, besides the sum o	₹.		D olla	HRS.
costs of suit, and have the same to render to the said.	. /0 <	Duck	, and make return of this Writ	
he execution thereof, according to law.	1			
Interest from	, 19, to		, 19	
Witness, my hand thisday of	February	, 19 🗸		

Witness, my hand this / 3 th day	of	720	rua		0.	
				- Zewiel		Clerk
CLERK'S FEES		Амоч	TNT	SHERIFF'S FÉES	Амои	NT
IssuingSummons and Complaint\$	1.25			Serving and ReturningSummons\$1.50		
Issuingcopies of same	.30			Serving and ReturningWrits1.30		· · · · · ·
IssuingBranch Summons and Complaint_	1.25	: -		Serving and ReturningSubpoenas forWit		
Issuingcopies of same	.30	 -		LevyingAttachment		
EnteringSheriff's Return or copy of above	. 20		40	Entering and ReturningAttachment		ستسكت
Docketing Cause	. 25		25	Summoning and ReturningGarnishee 1.50		50
Entering Appearances	.20			Serving and Returning Sci. Fas Notices 1.50	1	
FilingPleasDemurrers	. 10		60	Impaneling Jury75		
Affidavits, Certified	. 25			Collecting Cost, Execution		50
Commissions to take Depositions	. 75			Taking and ApprovingReplevin Bonds 1.00		 -
copies of Interrogatories				Claim Bonds 1.00		
Notices of Filing Interrogatories	.50		 	Garnishment Bonds		
FilingPackages of Depositions	. 10		l	Forthcoming Bonds 1.00		
Inclosing Packages of Depositions	-			Bail Bonds1.00		
Orders in Court			30			
Continuances			-LD	Writ of Possession 5.00		
IssuingSubpoenas forWitnesses				Making Deed 5.00	i 1 1	
Trial and Incidents			75	Collecting Money on Execution	 	
EnteringJudgment	. 1	30 11		Writ of Restitution 2.00		:
IssuingExecution			50	Sheriff's Commissions		
EnteringSheriff's Return of Execution			20	Sheriff's Deed		
IssuingCertiorariSci. Fas	1		 	Seizing Personal Property in Detinue 3.00		
Filing Certiorari, etc			<u> </u>			
IssuingNotices	.75			Former Sheriff's Fees	á	3.5.
Issuingcopies of same	.50			Total Sheriff's Fees	3	2.5
Taking Bonds Bonds	.75			WAIVER NO WAIVER		
Filing Bonds Bonds		-		RECAPITULATION		
IssuingAttachment Writand taking Bond				Judgment forfor		
Filing Attachments				Interest from	1 1	
Summons of Garnishee		į	50	Damages		
Swearing and Ent. Answer of Garnishee.			50	Clerk's Fees	9	00
Complete Record, 15c per 100 words		. 4	15	Sheriff's Fees Stuart	3	25
Transcript to Supreme Court				Justice of Peace Fees		
Certificate of Appeal to Supreme Court				Witness Fees in Justice of Peace Court		
Notices of Appeal				Constable's Fees		
Appeal Bond				Commissioner's Fees		
					1	
Certificate of Judgment				Commissioner's Residence Printer's Fees		
Witness Certificates.	.25		24	Garnishee's Fees		
			- 2-1 -	II .		
- <u></u>				Witness Fees in Circuit Court	11 .	
				Former Clerk's Fees		ଓ ବ
				Trial Tax	- SE	
TOTAL CLERK'S FEES		I	00	TOTAL FEES	<u> </u>	25

	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES AMOUNT				DEFENDANT'S WITNESSE\$					Total
- 100 - 100			John Aep	M., this				thin Execution	irtue of the wir	
	COLLECT COSTS FROM DEFENDANT	The State of Alabama,	I hereby certify that the within Judgment and costs in this case are correct, and there was a waiver of exemption as to personal property under the Constitution and Laws of Alabama. This	of	Received in Office Th. 40	Sheriff's Execution Docket, pageSheriff.	Ocham N Meh 25 X	Service of the servic	Charle lagh Sura	Commission of the second of th
No. 5 C. 2 Page	The State of Alabama,	CIRCUIT COURT.	2 Cong Plaintiff M. Y.S.	CIVIL EXECUTION FOR COSTS	AGAINST DEFENDANT Judgment for for 8	Interest from, 19, to, 19, 19, 19, 8		Execution Docket	Clerk Clerk Plaintiff's Attorney	Defendant's Attorney FEB 131940

CURLEE CLOTHING COMPANY, a corporation.

Plaintiff,

VS.

NORTHCUTT, YOUNG & COMPANY, a partnership composed of J. E. Young and S. M. Tharp; and J. E. YOUNG and S. M. THARP, individually,

Defendants.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY,

ALABAMA.

NO. 9398.

REPLY BRIEF OF TAYLOR AND JEFFREY Attorneys for Curlee Clothing Company, a corporation.

The Steward 1839