LOIS WILLIS and VELMA WIBLIS, a minor of the age of 12 years, by LOIS WILLIS, her mother and next friend,

Plaintiffs

- vs -

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS: INSURANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts, a Corporation,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW

APPLICATION

## TO THE HON. F. W. HARE, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA:

Comes now Lois Willis, the above named plaintiff and respect-fully shows to the Court that the defendant has refused to pay the compensation due and which is claimed in the complaint in the above entitled cause; that it is necessary that said cause be submitted to this Court to determine and enforce the right of the plaintiffs to such compensation; that pla intiff is incapable of properly presenting such matter and is is necessary that she employ an attorney for that purpose; that she has employed Lloyd A. Magney as such attorney and he has accepted such employment.

WHEREFORE your petitioner prays that your Honor will enter an order approving the employment of the said Lloyd A. Magney, and that in due time your Honor will fix the fee for the legal services rendered and the manner of its payment.

Dated this 14th day of March, 1935.

Low Willie

128 - Court of IRCUIT COURT OF

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW

LOIS WILLIS and VELMA WILLIS, a minor of the age of 12 years, by LOIS WILLIS, her mother and next friend,

Plaintiffs

VS -

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS! INSURANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts, a Corporation,

Defendant.

APPLICATION

Felix Mach 25-1935

Lloyd A. Magney Attorney Foley, Alabama. LOIS WILLIS and VELMA WILLIS, a Minor of the age of 12 years by LOIS WILLIS her mother and next friend,

Plaintiffs.

-vs-

ORDER APPROVING LUMP SUM SETTLEMENT

BALDWIN

CIRCUIT COURT

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS' INSURANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts, a Corporation,

Defendant.

This cause coming on to be heard on the complaint and the application of the plaintiffs asking the approval of the Court of a lump sum settlement in the amount of SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY & 00/100 (\$750.00) DOLLARS and it appearing to the Court therefrom that the wages of the deceased, W. A. Willis, were FIFTY FIVE & 00/100 (\$55.00) DOLLARS per month which is at the rate of TWELVE & 69/100 (\$12.69) DOLLARS per week and that the compensation provided by law in this case would be the sum of FIVE & 08/100 (\$5.08) DOLLARS per week for a total of THREE HUNDRED WEEKS (300) plus an allowance for funeral expenses in the amount of ONE HUNDRED & 00/100 (\$100.00) DOLLARS and that the present worth of such compensation and allowance would be approximately the sum of THIRTEEN HUNDRED & 00/100 (\$1,300.00) DOLLARS.

That there is some question under the law and the facts of this case as to whether or not plaintiffs are entitled to recover any compensation for the reason that the said W. A. Willis, at the time of the accident, which resulted in his death, was driving his truck after dard, without head lights or lights of any kind which is in violation of the laws of the State of Alabama; that while this precise question has never been passed upon by the Courts of the State of Alabama numerous other jurisdictions has cheld that if the employee meets with an accident at a time when he is violating an express law that this constitutes willful misconduct on the part of the employee, so as to deprive him of the benefits of the compensation law; whether this rule of law will be applied and enforced by the Courts of Alabama is a matter of some doubt and that it would be for the best interests of the plaintiffs in this case to accept a sum less than the maximum amount to which they might be

entitled and to avoid the risks of litigation which might result in said plaintiffs receiving nothing.

It is therefore ordered that the offer of compromise made by the defendant, which is to pay the sum of SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY & 00/100 (\$750.00) DCLLARS to the plaintiffs, in addition to the costs of Court is, under all the circumstances fair and just and the same is hereby approved and the Clerk is directed to enter judgment nil dicit against the defendant for the sum of SEVEN HUNDRED & 00/100 (\$750.00) DOLLARS and costs of Court.

It is further ordered that the employment, by the plaintiffs of Lloyd A. Magney, as their attorney be and the same hereby is approved and that the payment of a fee to the said Lloyd A. Magney, out of the proceeds of said judgment, in the amount of 10% of the amount of said judgment, to-wit: The sum of SEVENTY FIVE & 00/100 (\$75.00) DOLLARS is hereby ordered and approved.

Dated this 10th day of May, 1935.

J. W. Hare

Judge

LOIS WILLIS and VELMA WILLIS, a Minor of the age of 12 years by LOIS WILLIS her mother and next friend,

Plaintiffs,

-VS-

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS' INSURANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts a Corporation,

Defendant.

ORDER APPROVING LUMP SUM SETTLEMENT

Filed. Margh 8, 1935 Robert S. Duch, Clerk.

> Lloyd A. Magney Attorney Foley, Alabama.

# Lair wills and There Zulle min County

PLAINTIFF

- Cruica Ephys		سيسمسر	e to of Banta Man DEFENDA
			OF COST & COM
CLERK'S FEES		Dollars Cts.	AMOUNT BROUGHT FORWARD S C
Issuing Summons and Complaint \$1	25	121	Transcript to Supreme Court, 15c per
Copies of same, when over 200 words,	30		hundred words
Issuing Alias Summons and Complaint 1		1 69	Copies, 5c per hundred words Citation to Appellee in Appeal, 20c per
Entering Sheriff's Return on Summons			hundred words
and Complaint	20	l Foi	Certificate with Seal, 50c. Without Seal 25
Docketing Cause 25c. Ent. Appearance, 20c Subpoena for Witness	45 30	1/-1	Witness Certificate 25
Making Certificate of Judgment	50	50	Taking Bond Not Above Mentioned
Filing &	10		Administering Oath 25
Trial and Incidents	75	I 1 4	Taking Bond for Costs of Non-Resident
Entering Judgment, or copy of same	30	- 30	Plaintiff 75
Order for Continuance Issuing Execution	10 50		Copy of any paper not above named, 15c
Docketing Execution	25		per hundred words Filing Writ of Prohibition and Entering
Issuing copy of same	50		Return 15
Entering Sheriff's Return on Execution,			Issuing Writ of Prohibition 75
15c per hundred words Final Record, 15c per hundred words	20		Issuing copies of same, 15c per 100 words
Order for Alias Summons and Complaint	30	3 90	Filing Mandamus and Entering Return 15
Order to Dismiss Cause	30	20	Issuing Writ of Mandamus 75 Issuing copies of same, 15c per 100 words
Order to Set Aside Dismissal	30		Total Clerk's Fees
Order Overruling Motion to Set Aside			SHERIFF'S FEES
Dismissal Order Granting New Trial	75 30		Serving and Returning Summons\$1 50 / 50
	30		Serving and Returning Subpoenas 65
Order Overruling Motion for Continuance	30		Collecting Execution for Costs 1 50
Order Overruling Motion to Set Aside			Serving and Returning Sci. Fa. Notice, etc. 65 Executing Writ of Possession 2 50
	30		Levying Attachment 3 00
Order for Notice to Non-Resident De-			Entering and Returning Attachment 25
fendant Issuing Notice for Publication and Copy	30		Entering and Returning Execution 25
20c per hundred words			Summoning and Returning Garnishee 1 50
	30		Taking and Approving Garnishee Bond. 75
Order to Give Additional Bond	30		Serving and Returning Writs
	30		Forcible Entry 1 00
<b>O</b> *****	30 30		Executing Writ of Restitution in such cases 2 00
	30		Taking and Approving Bonds of Every
	30		Kind 1 00
	30		Taking and Approving Claim Bond 1 00 Taking and Approving Forthcoming Bond 1 00
	10	***************************************	Taking and Approving Bail Bond 1 00
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75		Taking and Approving Plaintiff's Deti-
Issuing copy of same, per hundred words Issuing Copy of Interrogatories, 15c per	15		nue Bond
	50		Taking and Approving Defendant's Deti-
	10	8 B	nue Bond 1 00 Making Deed 2 50
	75		Serving Attachment for Contempt of Court 1 50
C41111	50		Collecting Money on Execution
Copies of same, 20c per hundred words Notice to Defendant in Garnishee on Sum-			Empanelling Jury 75
= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20		Sheriff's Commission for Property Sold
			under Attachment
swer, 15c per hundred words, but not		47 LU	Seizing Personal Property on Writ of Detinue
	50	11	Total Sheriff's Fees
	10	THE STATE OF THE S	
Issuing Attachment Writ and TakingBond 1 ( Issuing Copies of Attachment Writ, I5c			Judgment 75000
per hundred words			Interest
Filing Certiorari and Entering Return	15		Clerk's Fees
	75		Sheriff's Fees Justice's Fees
	75	,	Constable's Fees
Issuing copies of same, 15c per 100 words Issuing Writ of Scieri Facias, or Notice			Witnesses' Fees
	75	High and the second	Commissioners' Fees
Issuing copies of same, 15c per 100 words	-	Department .	Printer's Fees
Taking Supersedeas Bond and Filing same	75		Stenographer's Fees
	75	The second	Trial Tax 3 00 3 26
TOTAL FORWARDED			Total \$ 7 7
	Ĭ.	1 8	
•			174120
Received nevment this day of			103

Circuit Court, Baldwin County

No. 128

Zaulles

VS

Que aplique Irold

## Civil Cost Bill

Paid\_\_\_\_\_\_193\_\_\_\_

Clerk.

Jy 6120

LCIS WILLIS and VELMA WILLIS, a Minor of the Age of 12 years by LOIS WILLIS her mother and next Friend,

Plaintiffs,

-VS-

APPLICATION FOR ORDER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS' INSURANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts, a Corporation,

Defendant.

STATE OF ALABAMA)
BALDWIN GOUNTY)

Lois Willis, being first duly sworn on her oath deposes and says that she is the plaintiff in this case and is in receipt of an offer of compromise from the defendant which she desires to accept.

That the reasons that induce her to desire to accept a lump sum settlement of less than the maximum amount of compensation, which she might collect, are as follows:

At the time of the accident which resulted in his death, her husband, W. A. Willis, was driving upon a public highway of the State of Alabama a truck which was without head lights or lights of any kind, after dark, and she is informed that this is a violation of the express statutes of the State of Alabama.

That she is further advised that there is some doubt, as a matter of law, as to whether or not such law violation by her said husband at the time of his death was such "willful misconduct" as to relieve his employer, the State Highway Department of Alabama, and the defendant Insurance Company carrying the compensation liability of said State Highway Department, from legal liability for the death of her said husband.

That the defendant intends to contest her claim on this and other grounds and she is advised that there is a possibility that she might not recover anything on her claim and that she cannot afford to speculate but would be better off to accept the sum of SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY & 00/100 (\$750.00) DOLLARS which is offered to her in compromise than to take any chance of losing said sum by a contest of this suit.

WHEREFORE, she asks that the Court will approve the compromise of her claim in the amount of SEVEN HUNDRED & 00/100 (\$750.00) DOLLARS plus the costs of Court.

She further states that in order to get an offer of any amount from the defendant she has been obliged to retain an attorney and to file suit and that she is willing to pay to said attorney, Lloyd A. Magney, a fee of 10% of the amount received by her and asks the Court to approve such payment.

Lais Willis

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me this

day of May, 1935.

Notary Public

LOIS WILLIS and VELMA WILLIS, a minor of the age of 12 years by LOIS WILLIS her mother and next friend,

Plaintiffs,

-VS-

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS! INSURANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts a Corporation,

Defendant.

APPLICATION FOR ORDER

Tiled May 8, 1935 Robert S. Duck Clerk

LLOYD A. MAGNEY
Attorney
Foley, Alabama.

STATE OF ALABAMA)
BALDWIN COUNTY)

#### TO ANY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA:

You are hereby commanded to summon American Employers' Insurance Company of Boston, Massachusetts, to appear within thirty days from the service of this writ in the Circuit Court, to be held for said County at the place of holding the same, then and there to answer the complaint of Lois Willis and Velma Willis, a minor of the age of 12 years, by Lois Willis her mother and next friend.

WITNESS my hand this Zay of March, 1935.

Jakuch Clerk

----000000000----

LOIS WILLIS and VELMA WILLIS, a minor of the age of 12 years, by LOIS WILLIS, her mother and next friend,

Plaintiffs

- vs -

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW

COMPLAINT

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS: INSURANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts, a corporation,

Defendant.

Come now the plaintiffs and for cause of action against the defendant allege and show to the Court:-

- 1. That the plaintiffs are residents of Foley, Baldwin County, Alabama, and the defendant is a corporation domiciled in the city of Boston, in the State of Massachusetts, but doing business in the State of Alabama, as an insurance company issuing policies of insurance in matters of workmen's compensation and employers' liability.
- 2. That on the End day of June, 1934, and for several years prior thereto W. A. Willis, who was the husband of the plaintiff, Lois Willis, and the father of the plaintiff, Velma Willis, was in the employ of the State Highway Commission of

LOIS WILLIS and VELMA WILLIS, a minor of the age of 12 years by LOIS WILLIS her mother and next friend,

Plaintiffs,

-VS-

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS' INSURANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts a Corporation,

Defendant.

### APPLICATION FOR ORDER

Tiled May 8, 1935 Robert S. Duck Clerk

LLOYD A. MAGNEY
Attorney
Foley, Alabama.

of Alabama, as a foreman in charge of the maintenance of certain of the state roads of the State of Alabama, to-wit: The section of road from Foley to Lillian, and the section of road from Foley to the Gulf of Mexico, in Baldwin County, Alabama.

defendant issued and delivered to the said State Highway Commission of Alabama, its policy of insurance, which said policy was on said 2nd day of June, 1934, in full force and effect, insuring to the employees of said State Highway Commission of Alabama, the payment of any benefits due to any of such employees, or their dependents, under the provisions of the Workmen's Compensation Act and the laws of Alabama.

That said policy of insurance provided, in part, as follows:

"American Employers: Insurance Company of Boston, Massachusetts, (Hereinafter called the Corporation) does hereby agree with this Employer, named and described as such in the Declarations forming a part hereof, as respects personal injuries sustained by employees, including death at any time resulting therefrom as follows:

- One. (a) To Pay Promptly to any person entitled thereto under the Workmen's Compensation Law and in the manner therein provided, the entire amount of any sum due, and all instalments thereof as they become due,
- (1) To such person because of the obligation for compensation for any such injury imposed upon or accepted by this Employer under such of certain statutes, as may be applicable thereto, cited and described in an endorsement attached to this Policy, each of which statutes is herein referred to as the Workmen' Compensation Law, and
- (2) For the benefit of such person the proper cost of whatever medical, surgical, nurse or hospital services, medical or surgical apparatus or appliances and medicines, or, in the event of fatal injury, whatever funeral expenses are required by the provision of such Workmen's Compensation Law."

That said policy of insurance further provided, in part, as follows:-

"The obligations of Paragraph One (a) foregoing are hereby declared to be the direct obligations and promises of the Corporation to any injured employee covered hereby, or, in the event of his death, to his dependents; and to each such employee or such dependent the Corporation is hereby made directly and primarily liable under said obligations and promises. This contract is made for the benefit of such employees or such dependents and enforceable against the Corporation, by any such employee or such dependent in his name or on his behalf, at any time and in any manner permitted by law, whether claims or proceedings are brought against the Corporation alone or jointly with this Employer."

That said policy of insurance further provided, in part, as follows:-

"As between the employee and the Corporation, notice to or knowledge of this Employer of an injury or death covered hereby shall be notice or knowledge as the case may be of the Corporation; the jurisdiction of this Employer for the purposes of any Workmen's Compensation Law covered hereby shall be jurisdiction of the Corporation and the Corporation shall in all things be bound by and subject to the findings, judgments, awards, decrees, orders or decisions rendered against this Employer in the form and manner provided by such laws and within the terms, limitations and provisions of this Policy not inconsistent with such laws."

That the duties of the said W. A. Willis required him to direct the work of several other employees of said State Highway Commission in the maintenance, repair and upkeep of the state roads before mentioned, with machinery, tools and appliances furnished by said State Highway Commission; to inspect the work done by employees under his direction and control and to see that the same was done in accordance with the instructions of the Highway Commission; to take care of and maintain the tools, machinery and trucks of said Highway Commission entrusted to his care; to deliver to the pontoon bridge across the Intercoastal Canal on the highway from Foley to the Gulf of Mexico, such materials and supplies as were delivered to him by his employer for use at and upon said bridge; to keep at his place of residence in Baldwin County, Alabama, the trucks and other machinery of the Highway Department when the same were not in use upon the roads and to work with the other employees of the Highway Commission who were under his direction in the upkeep, maintenance and repair of the roads above mentioned and the bridges and culverts across them.

5. That on said 2nd day of June, 1934, the said W. A. Willis met with an accident arising out of and in the course of his said employment which said accident caused his death on said 2nd day of June, 1934, and that said accident occurred as follows:-

Among the other tools and appliances furnished to the said W. A. Willis by the State Highway Commission was a model T. Ford truck which was used in the road work required of the said Willis, which was kept at the home of the said Willis at night and when the same was not in use upon the road. Said Ford truck was not equipped with any head lights nor was any provisions made for lighting the same in any manner.

In the afternoon of said 2nd day of June, 1934, the said Willis had taken from his residence, where the same had been delivered to him by the Highway Commission, 5 gallons of oil, which was needed at the pontoon bridge across the Intercoastal Canal in the Foley to the Gulf of Mexico Highway, and which it was the duty of the said Willis to deliver at said bridge, in the said model T. Ford truck and he had delivered the same as was his duty at said bridge. During the forenoon the said Willis had sent a part of the crew working under his direction to scrape the road between said pontoon bridge and the Gulf of Mexico with the tractor and scraper provided by the state Highway Commission, while the said Willis, himself, was engaged at another point upon the roads under his charge, and in order to inspect the work done in his absence by the scraper crew and to determine whether or not there were any repairs needed upon said road, after delivery of said oil as aforesaid, the said Willis drove said

truck from said pontoon bridge to the end of the road at the Gulf of Mexico and made an inspection of said road and after having completed said inspection, drove said truck towards his home where it was his duty to keep the said truck, when the same was not in use. That it was a part of the employment and in the course of the employment of the said Willis to return said truck to his home where it was kept every evening after its work upon the road was completed for the day.

That while driving said truck towards the place where it was kept and where it was his duty to place it each evening, said truck collided with an automobile moving in the opposite direction from that of the said truck of the Highway Commission being driven by the said Willis, and that as a result of said collision, the said Willis received injuries from which he died almost immediately.

- 6. Plaintiffs aver that the said accident which resulted in the death of the said Willis, arose cut of and in the course of the employment of the said Willis by the Highway Commission of Alabama.
- 7. Plaintiffs aver that they were wholly dependent upon their husband and father, the said W. A. Willis, for their support; that the said W. A. Willis received a salary from the Highway Commission of Alabama in the amount of \$55.00 per month, which is at the rate of \$12.69 per week and that these plaintiffs are entitled to 40% of the weekly earnings of said deceased, or the sum of \$5.08 per week, for 500 weeks, as compensation, and that no part of the same has been paid and the defendant refuses to pay any part of said compensation.

- 8. That the expenses of the burial of the said W. A. Willis were in excess of the sum of \$100.00
- 9. That both the employer of said Willis, the State High-way Commission of Alabama, and this defendant had actual knowledge of said accident and of the death of the said Willis within 90 days from the said &nd day of June, 1934.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs claim of the defendant the sum of \$5.08 per week for a total of 300 weeks, and the further sum of \$100.00 for burial expenses.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

STATE OF ALABAMA)
BALDWIN COUNTY)

Lois Willis, being first duly sworn on her oath deposes and says that she is one of the plaintiffs in the above entitled cause; that she has read and knows the contents of the foregoing complaint and that the facts therein set forth are true.

Lois Willis

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me this 2/3 day of March, 1935.

Notary Public

orginall warm

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA AT LAW

LOIS WILLIS and VELMA WILLIS a minor of the age of 12 years, by LOIS WILLIS, her mother and next friend,

Plaintiffs

- VS

AMERICAN EMPLOYERS! INSUR-ANCE COMPANY, of Boston, Massachusetts, a Corporation,

Defendant

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Filed March 25, 1935 Robert S. Wuck Clirk

LLOYD A. MAGNEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
FOLEY, ALABAMA

Recin office 246 3/25/35 MWilkins-should

RECEIVED IN OFFICE
MAR 26 1935
Haygood Patrison, Shorts

EXECUTED BY DELIVERING

a copy of the within ,

AS Supt of Olar OF THE State of ala

WAS SUCH WHEN SO SERVER

Langurd Jaleson

Da Manhula