

Peoples Fertilizer Company, a corporation, Plaintiff,

-vs-

George Merinos and James C. Grimes, individually and as a partnership doing business as the Loxley Produce Company, Defendants. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.

No. 9672.

TO THE HONORABLE FRANCIS W. HARE, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ALABAMA, IN EQUITY.

This causing having been regularly transferred from the law docket of this court to the equity docket thereof, come now the defendants and propound this their equitable defense to the cause of action set forth in the original complaint heretofore filed against them; and in defense of said claim of said plaintiffs, say:

That plaintiff's claim is based on an alleged conversion by the defendants of sixty thousand pounds of potatoes upon which plaintiff claims a lendlord's lien evidenced by a rent note executed by E. B. Green and Ralph Green to Lena B. Green and transferred to the plaintiff, and which is of record; defendants allege that during the spring of 1932 they made certain advances of seed, fertilizer, and other necessary supplies to Lena B. Green to enable her to make a crop during the year 1932, being the crop which produced the said potatoes for the conversion of which the defendants are here sued; that for several years prior to the year 1932 the defendants had advanced the said Lena B. Green certain necessary supplies to enable her to make a crop; that during the time these advancements were made Walter Stewart was president and general manager of the plaintiff and in his capacity as such knew, and through him the plaintiff knew, that defendants had made such advances; that when the defendants began to make the advances for the year 1932 the said Lena B. Green was in possession of and residing on the property described in the complaint, being the property upon which the potatoes for conversion of which the defendants are sued were grown; that defendants had no knowledge, either actual or constructive, of any agreement between the said Lena B. Green and the said E. B. Green and Ralph Green under the terms of which the said E. B. Green and Ralph Green had become the tenants of the said Lena B. Green; that there had been no change in the physical possession of the premises and that defendants in making said advances were acting under the bona fide belief that the said Lena B. Green was the owner of the property and had no knowledge that said property had been rented to anyone and therefore no knowledge of the existence of any rental agreement, or that, in fact, any person was a tenant on said premises.

That before the defendants made said advances for the year 1932 the said Walter Stewart, acting in his capacity as president and general manager of the plaintiff, entered into negotiations with the defendants wherein there was discussed between the defendants and the plaintiff the proposition of the plaintiff and the defendants jointly entering into an agreement whereby they might jointly finance the said Lena B. Green in the growing of her 1932 crop: that during this discussion the said Stewart made no mention of the fact that the plaintiff claimed a landlord's lien on the crop to be grown on said premises for the year 1932: that later the plaintiff declined to go further with its proposed plan of acting jointly with the defendants in financing the 1932 crop of the said Lena B. Green and suggested to the defendants that they by themselves undertake to finance said crop; that notwithstanding the fact that plaintiff then held the assignment of said rent note hereinabove referred to the plaintiff did not disclose to the defendants that such note was in existence nor that it was the owner thereof; and that, relying upon their bona fide belief that the said Lena B. Green was operating the said premises and that there was no landlord's lien outstanding, and upon the conduct of the plaintiff as above alleged, the defendants did finance the said Lena B. Green by mak-

ing to her the advancements hereinabove described; that the potatoes for the conversion of which the plaintiff sues were delivered to the defendants for sale and the proceeds thereof credited to the account of the said Lena B. Green.

And the defendants allege that they were induced to make said advances to the said Lena B. Green by the conduct of the plaintiff in that said plaintiff, through its duly authorized representative, requested the defendants to make said advances and did not disclose to the defendants that it held the landlord's lien so referred to in the plaintiff's complaint.

Wherefore, defendants allege that the plaintiff, in said original suit, namely, Peoples Fertilizer Company, is estopped to claim of these defendants or either of them the money or proceeds received by them from the sale of said products and ought not to have judgment therefor.

Hencies Morry Micherd Gorda & Attorneys for Defendants.

We hereby accept service of a copy of the foregoing statement of defendant's defense and waive all further service thereof, but do not cuanic right to file to dismise or dimerred S. hers for Plaintiff.

PEOPLES FERTILIZER COMPANY, a Corporation,

Plaintiff

Defendants

vs.

GEORGE MERINOS and JAMES C. GRIMES, individually and as a partnership doing business as the Loxley Produce Company, IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA. AT LAW.

ONE

Plaintiff claims of the defendants the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, due from them by account on or about the 15th day of June, 1932, which sum of money, together with interest thereon, is due and unpaid.

# TWO

Plaintiff claims of the defendants the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars for money or on or about the 15th day of June, 1932, received by the defendants to the use of the plaintiff, which sum of money, together with the interest thereon, is still unpaid.

### THREE

Plaintiff claims of the defendants the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars damages for the conversion by them at different dates between May 1 and June 15, 1932, of the following personal property: Sixty thousand (60,000) pounds of potatoes, the property of the plaintiff by reason of a rent lien on the property on which said potatotes were growm, evidenced by a rent note executed by E. B. Green and Ralph Green to Lena B. Green on November 17, 1931, being given as a rent note for the year 1932 on the south half of the southwest quarter of Section 12, township five south of range three east in Baldwin County, Alabama, and which was transferred to the plaintiff by the said Lena B. Green and all of which is recorded in Mortgage Book 53 page 170 in the office of

10 J. 100

the Probate Judge of Baldwin County, which said amount with

interest thereon is due and unpaid.

Goroon Douglou M ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINT

. . .

\_County The State of Alabama, \_\_\_\_\_\_BALDWIN CIRCUIT COURT To Any Sheriff of the State of Alabama-Greeting: You are hereby commanded to summon \_\_\_\_\_ GRORGE\_MERINOS\_AND\_JAMES\_C.GRIMES. individually and as a partnership doing business as the Loxley Produce Company to appear in the Circuit Court of <u>BALOWIN</u> County, Alabama, at the place of holding the same and plead, answer, or demur, within thirty days from service hereof to the complaint of PEOPLES FERTILIZER COMPANY, a corporation ., 19.32. Witness this 2nd day of July tekenson, Clerk. )<u>/ ( (</u> IF THE DEFENDANT FAILS TO APPEAR AND PLEAD, ANSWER OR DEMUR WITHIN THIRTY DAYS AFTER SERVICE THE PLAINTIFF MAY TAKE JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT. COMPLAINT VS. Defendant..... Plaintifi..... And the Plaintiff claim of the Defendant .....Dollars, due CODE 1923-9417

Original.	
State of Alabama	R
IRCUIT COURT	of
ES FERTILIZER COMPANY	E
orat ion Plaintiff vs.	of leav
e Merinos & James C. s, individually and as thership doing business (ley Produce Opfendant	plai
ummons and Complaint	
hisday of	
July 1932	
Micherson Clerk.	Execute by serv
on., Edington & leight Plaintiff's Attorney.	Compla
	-vad Jui -in Co
	By
608-2.) MARSHALL & BRUCE CO., NASHVILLE	

Poneivar	l this	<del>.</del> ام	lov
Necerver			-
of		, 19	
		, Sher	iff.
			( <b>)</b>
Execute	d this	d	ay
of	copy of the within S	, 19,	
plaint wit		ummons and Co	111-
Province 410			
		Defendant	
5		Derenuant	•••••
••••••		Sher	iff.
·			
		n fra de la setta Alexandra	
- (2) . C 3			
		s.	
	tily 7	1	
		······································	
omplaint on	opy of within S	ummons and	) _
Reenez	· C. grin	new Inf	lus
	lly and a		
Justice	while d	aing le	un -
mer	a as for	by produ	100
lo	UN. Stin	A Shariff	•
Зу		Deputy Sheriff	
1			
			11.7

The State of Alabama, .....COUNTY .County: the Sheriff of ..... Vhereas, the Plaintiff..... in the within stated ise ha..... made affidavit and given bond as uired by law, you are hereby required to ce the property mentioned in the complaint o your possession, unless the Defendant...... e..... bond payable to the Plaintiff...... , with licient surety in double the amount of the lue of the property, with condition that if the fendant ...... ; in the suit,..... hin thirty days thereafter, deliver the property he Plaintiff......, and pay all costs and damages ich may accrue from the detention thereof. Clerk.

PEOPLES FERTILIZER COMPANY, a Corporation,

#### Plaintiff

vs.

GEORGE MERINOS and JAMES C. GRIMES, individually and as a partnership doing business as the Loxley Produce Company,

Defendants

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA. AT LAW.

Comes the plaintiff, Peoples Fertilizer Company, a Corporation, by its attorneys of record, and demurs to the petition as filed in this cause to transfer same from the law docket to the equity docket of this court, and as grounds for such demurrer assigns the following:

1. Said petition avers no facts which show that there is an equitable question beneficial to the defendants which could be and should be adjudicated in this cause.

2. Because said petition avers no facts showing any equity which they could invoked in this cause and which would dispose of same.

3. Because there are no facts averred showing any duty upon the plaintiff to notify the defendants that it held a rent note upon the premises upon which was grown the products in question.

4. Because the complaint shows that the rent note as executed to the said Lena B. Green and which is the basis of the plaintiff's claim, was recorded, wherefore the defendants had constructive notice of the claim of the plaintiff.

5. Because it is not averred or shown that Lena B. Green had any interest in or title to the crop grown or that the said E. B. Green and Ralph Green did not own same.

6. Because the duty was upon the defendant to ascertain the facts of said Lena B. Green's rights to the crop prior to the advances which they allege were made. 7. Because there are no facts averred to show that the said Stuart owed the defendants any duty to divulge to them or make known to them personally, that he held a rent note upon the premises upon which said crop was grown.

8. Because if the facts as averred in said petition are all true, they are available to the defendants under an appropriate and proper plea on the law side of this court.

9. Because it is not averred or shown that the plaintiff company or its agent, Stuart, knew that the defendants were going to make advances to the said Lena B. Green.

10. Because the recording of the rent note in question was constructive notice to the defendant of the plaintiff's claim upon said crop.

ll. Because there are no facts averred to show that the plaintiff did anything to mislead the defendants as to its claim upon said crop, and no facts are averred to show upon what the defendants base their conclusion, that the plaintiff suggested to them to advance said Green.

Hordon Souraton V.

frond on civel 11 13 13 È. Filedanys: 1932 Minn Olark Ę. 64 6 5 . . . 1 0120 an fei gerneken oor •

Peoples Fertilizer Company, a corporation, Plaintiff,

-vs-

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, AIABAMA. AT IAW.

George Merinos and James C. Grimes, individually and as a partnership doing business as the Loxley Produce Company, Defendants.

# TO THE HONORABLE FRANCIS W. HARE, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ALABAMA, IN EQUITY:

Come the defendants in this cause and move your Honor to transfer this cause to the equity docket of this court, and as grounds for said motion the defendants say:

That this suit involves an equitable question the decision of which should dispose of the cause, in that the defendants have an equitable defense to the cause of action sued on which cannot be recognized or disposed of on the law side of this court, said defense being predicated upon the following facts:

That plaintiff's claim is based on an alleged conversion by the defendants of sixty thousand pounds of potatoes upon which plaintiff claims a landlord's lien evidenced by a rent note executed by E. B. Green and Ralph Green to Lena B. Green and transferred to the plaintiff, and which is of record; defendants allege that during the spring of 1932 they made certain advances of seed, fertilizer, and other necessary supplies to Lena B. Green to enable her to make a crop during the year 1932, being the crop which produced the said potatoes for the conversion of which the defendants are here sued; that for several years prior to the year 1932 the defendants had advanced the said Lena B. Green certain necessary supplies to enable her to make a crop; that during the time these advancements were made Walter Stewart was president and general manager of the plaintiff and in his capacity as such knew, and through him the plaintiff knew, that defendants had made such advances; that when the defendants began to make the advances for the year 1932 the said Lena B. Green was in possession of and residing on the

property described in the complaint, being the property upon which the potatoes for conversion of which the defendants are sued were grown; that defendants had no knowledge, either actual or constructive, of any agreement between the said Lena B. Green and the said E. B. Green and Ralph Green under the terms of which the said E. B. Green and Ralph Green had become the tenants of the said Lena B. Green; that there had been no change in the physical possession of the premises and that defendants in making said advances were acting under the bona fide belief that the said Lena B. Green was the owner of the property and had no knowledge that said property had been rented to anyone and therefore no knowledge of the existence of any rental agreement, or that, in fact, any person was a tenant on said premises.

That before the defendants made said advances for the year 1932 the said Walter Stewart, acting in his capacity as president and general manager of the plaintiff, entered into negotiations with the defendants wherein there was discussed between the defendants and the plaintiff the proposition of the plaintiff and the defendants jointly entering into an agreement whereby they might jointly finance the said Lena B. Green in the growing of her 1932 crop; that during this discussion the said Stewart made no mention of the fact that he claimed a landlord's lien on the crop to be grown on said premises for the year 1932; that later the plaintiff declined to go further with its proposed plan of acting jointly with the defendants in financing the 1932 crop of the said Lena B. Green and suggested to the defendants that they by themselves undertake to finance said crop; that notwithstanding the fact that plaintiff then held the assignment of said rent note hereinabove referred to the plaintiff did not disclose to the defendants that such note was in existence; and that, relying upon their bona fide belief that the said Lena B. Green was operating the said premises and that there was no landlord's lien outstanding, the defendants did finance the said Lena B. Green by making to her the advancements hereinabove described; that the potatoes

for the conversion of which the plaintiff sues were delivered to the defendants for sale and the proceeds thereof credited to the account of the said Lena B. Green.

And the defendants allege that they were induced to make said advances to the said Lena B. Green by the conduct of the plaintiff in that said plaintiff, through its duly authorized representative, requested the defendants to make said advances and did not disclose to the defendants that it held the landlord's lien so referred to in the plaintiff's complaint.

Wherefore, defendants pray that this cause may be transferred to the equity docket of this court in order that the issues may be so framed that the defendants may have the benefit of the equitable defense the substance of which is herein alleged.

terens M. Correy M. Serd Good June

State of Alabama, Mobile County.

Personally appeared before me, <u>Mere we may become</u> a Notary Public in and for said State and County, James C. Grimes, who being first duly sworn deposes and says that he is a member of the firm of Loxley Produce Company, which is a partnership composed of himself and one George Merinos; that he has knowledge of the facts concerning the transaction which is the basis of this suit and of the facts set up in the foregoing motion; and that all matters of fact set up in the foregoing motion are true and all matters of conclusion therein he verily believes to be true.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this <u>lat</u> day of August, 1932.

Notary Public, Mobile County, Alabama.

### GORDON, EDINGTON & LEIGH

ROBT. E. GORDON DAVID H. EDINGTON NORVELLE R. LEIGH. JR. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1011-15 MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK BUILDING MOBILE, ALABAMA

August 18, 1933

Clerk of the Circuit Court, Baldwin County, Bay Minette, Ala.

Dear Sir:

Please find enclosed answer in re Peoples Fertilizer Company vs. George Marinos, et al.

You will find that this suit was originally filed on the law side of the court and under an order of the Court it was transferred to the equity side, and since which time a bill of complaint was filed. We do not have the number of the case, and therefore cannot give you any more direct information as to how it will be found in your files.

Will you please file this at once in said cause, and oblige,

Yours very respectfully,

GORDON, EDINGTON & LEIGH

By R.S. Jordan By

REG/D Encls. PEOPLES FERTILIZER COMPANY, a corporation, Plaintiff, VS.

GEORGE MARINOS and JAMES C. GRIMES, individually and as partners doing business as Loxley Produce Company, Defendants. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA IN EQUITY

Now comes the plaintiff in the original suit as filed in this cause, and which has been transferred to the equity side of the Court upon petition of the defendants, and for answer to the complaint as filed by the defendants in the original suit says as follows:

That the note referred to in said complaint was transferred to the plaintiff, Peoples Fertilizer Company, and that same is of record in Baldwin County, Alabama; that said note was a rent onote and was transferred to the said Peoples Fertilizer Company for the purpose of securing advances for the purpose of raising a crop upon the lands then being cultivated by E. B. Green and Ralph Green, and which crop produced the potatoes for the conversion of which the Peoples Fertilizer Company filed suit against the Loxley Produce Company for conversion; that the Peoples Fertilizer Company does not know what have been the business relations between the said Greens and the Loxley Produce Company prior to the year 1932, but that said Peoples Fertilizer Company deny that it knew of any such transactions; that the said defendants did know or have constructive notice thereof that the said Lena B. Green had accepted the note in question from E. B. Green and Ralph Green as a rent note and that same had been transferred to the Peoples Fertilizer Company and that the said Peoples Fertilizer Company accepted said note to secure the advancing of fertilizer for the year 1932 with which to make the crop involved in this

litigation; that the Peoples Fertilizer Company did not decline to go on further with the arrangement of furnishing the said seed and fertilizer as alleged in said bill, jointly with the said Loxley Produce Company, and denies that it ever suggested to them that they by themselves undertake to finance said crop and that they were induced to furnish any such supplies by any statement made by the said Walter Stuart. But to the contrary, the plaintiff says that the facts in the case are as follows:

Tab -

-2-

That the note in question was prepared in the office of Beebe & Hall by Mr. W. C. Beebe, who was then acting as Attorney for Mrs. Green, and at the time the note was executed the Peoples Fertilizer Company held a mortgage on certain property belonging to Mrs. Green and her sons, and it was agreed that if she would lease the lands to the sons and they execute to her a rent note and that she would endorse the said rent note to the Peoples Fertilizer Company, that it would release its lien on certain property which was covered by said mortgage, and would also dismiss a detinue wuit then pending to recover the said property, and which was done in conformity with said agreement; that she carried the note away from the office of Beebe & Hall, had her sons to execute same to her, she in turn endorsed the same to the Peoples Fertilizer Company, whereupon the said note was recorded in the Probate Records of Baldwin County, the said suit in the Circuit Court thereupon being dismissed and the property turned back to her, which was involved in said suit; that during the carrying on of the said matters leading up to the transfer of said note that Walter ٤ Stuart discussed the matter with George Marinos, one of the members of the firm of the Loxley Produce Company, and then it was that effort was made to adjust all of their matters, said Marinos at the time knowing that such note was executed and transferred, the conditions upon which said note was executed, the consideration for the execution

claim its lien thereby. the plaintiff held said rent note and expected to tred risht no system and this was SECI to gors shift part the transactions that they had with the said Greens relative but to the contrary informed them of such fact, and that all enders, to believe that it did not own this rent note, and especially the Loxley Produce Company, or any of its of it ever did or said anything that would lead anybody. that the said Peoples Fertilizer Company, nor any agent knowing that the Peoples Fertilizer Company held said note; Company declined to make, the Loxley Produce Company then crop of 1988, and which agreement the Peoples Fertilizer the said Lena B. Green and her sons in the growing of the somenil of insmearge as an and them are dement to flitanted deg of Produce Company, acting by and through Marinos, did attempt Veltaid and that therefore the there to that the Loxley

-2-

Wherefore, the plaintiff alleges that the defendants in the original suit, and complainants in this cause, owe it the sum of Five Hundred Dollars damages for the conversion by them during the year 1952 of sixty forusand pounds of potatoes, the property of the Peoples fertilizer Company by reason of the above said rent lien on the property on which said potatoes were grown, and which is evidenced by the alleged rent note, and which said rent note is the property of the Peoples Fertilizer

• Aueduo

Wherefore, the Beoples Fertilizer Company prays that the Court will enter a decree declaring that said note is a valid lien and ordering a reference to ascertain the amount due it by the Loxley Produce Company, and its individual members by reason of said conversion, and upon such report being made a decree will be rendered in favor

of the Peoples Fertilizer Company and against George Marinos and James C. Grimes, individually and as a partnership doing business as the Loxley Produce Company.

njh Simple For Jonon Lingm ATTORNEYS FOR PEOPLES FERTILIZER COMPANY.





CIRCUIT COU	IN FOULTY
	IN EQUITY.
No/Oble Jupper	Jul Ci
	vs.
Celesta Mica	PLAINTIFF
	DEFENDANT
Fees of Register	BILL OF COSTS
1 183 as 12	Dollars Cts. Brought Forward
Filing each bill and other papers	For receiving, keeping and population
Issuing each Subpoena	and not over \$5,000 3 dott ct; all over \$1,000
Entering each return thereof	5 all over \$10,000 Lid of 1,000, 1-2 of 1 per ct.;
For each Order of Publication 1 00 Issuing Writ of Injunction 1 50	
For each copy thereof	
Entering each return thereof	
Issuing Writ of Attachment 100 Entering each return thereof 100 Docketing each case 15	For all entries on Complexing Docket, etc
Entering each Appearance	L Decree
Issuing each Decree Pro Confesso on personal service 1 00 Issuing each Decree Pro Confesso on publication 1 00	Acts 1915
Each order Appointing Guardian	Total Fees of Register
Any other order by Register 50 Issuing Commission to Take Testimony 50	50
Receiving and Filing.	
Endorsing each package	. Fees of Sheriff
Entering any other Order of Court	Serving and Returning Subpoens on Deft. St. 51 50
Noting all Testimony	Serving and Returning Subpoena for Witness
Austrace of Gause etc.	
Entering each Decree 100 For every loo words over 500 15	Entering and Returning same 150 Selling Property Attached 25
Taking account, etc	
aking Testimony, etc.	Executing Writ of Possession
Each Report, 500 words or less	
and the claimed less than \$500, etc	
Issuing each Subpoena	Serving and Returning Writ of Exceed
issuing execution, each	
Differing each return	
Making copy of bill, etc.	Making Deed 2 50 Serving and Returning Application, 1 00 Serving Attachment Contemport 2
And Dutice not otherwise provided for	1 50
Each certificate or affidavit, with seal	Total Fees of Sheriff
Each certificate or affidavit, no seal	$1 \rightarrow 0$
	Recapitulation
Examining each voucher of receiver, etc	
	Register's Fees
County of the state of the stat	
Taking questions and answers. etc 50   For all other service relating to such proceedings. 25   For service in proceedings. 1 00	
in the out the proceeding to relieve minant	Witness Fees Guardian Ad Litem Printer's Fees
Commission on sales, etc Les \$100.2-	
	Trial Tax 3 00 3 00   Recording Decree in Probate Court 3 00 3 00
over \$1,000 and not exceeding \$20,000, 1 1-2 per ct.; all all over \$20,000, 1-4 of 1 per ct.	and a robate Court
	Total (2)
Sub Total Carried Forward	Total
the second se	
Received payment thisday of	
day of	193
Contra Contra	Derting
م <sup>ر ب</sup> اسمان من معرف علم من المسالمات معمون علم	Register.