

AFFIDAVIT

Printed by Moore Printing Co.

State Of Alabama, }
Baldwin County. }

In the Justice Court of G. E. PERKINS

Before me, G. E. PERKINS, Justice of the Peace

in and for said County, personally appeared James T. Phillips who, being duly sworn, deposes and says on oath that he has probable cause for believing and does believe that in said County, on or about 21 day of Feb. 1954 that one Richard Seaman did take, catch or remove Oysters from a public reef bed, and or bottom within the jurisdiction of the State of Alabama, by the use of a device other than tongs

against the peace and dignity of the State of Alabama.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 23

day of Feb., A. D., 19 54

G. E. Perkins, J. P.

James T. Phillips

WARRANT

State Of Alabama, }
Baldwin County. }

To Any Lawful Officer of Said County, Greetings:

You are hereby commanded to arrest Richard Seaman

and bring him

before me to answer the State of Alabama on a charge
illegal catching oysters other than tongs

and have you then and there this writ with your return thereon

Witness my hand this 23 day of Feb., 19 54

G. E. Perkins, J. P.

no 1797

No. 6945 Page _____

The State of Alabama,
Baldwin County

JUSTICE COURT OF

G. E. PERKINS

AFFIDAVIT

The State of Alabama,
vs.

Richard Seaman

Witnesses for the State:

Frank Grass

Elma H. Hull

Freddie Bosarge

Jerrell Jones

Justice Court of
Baldwin County

Warrant of Arrest

The State of Alabama,
Vs.

Executed this 25 day of Feb 1954

By arresting the within

named Defendant

and placing him

in Jail

James T. Phillips, Sheriff
_____, Deputy Sheriff

STATE OF ALABAMA

vs.

RICHARD SEAMAN,

Defendant.

I

I

I

I

APPEALED FROM THE JUSTICE COURT

OF G. E. PERKINS, BEAT 10


DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Comes now the Defendant, Richard Seaman, by his attorneys,
and demands a trial by jury of the above styled cause under the
provisions of Title 8, Section 56, of the Code of Alabama, of 1940.

Respectfully submitted,

CHASON & STONE

By:



1797

STATE OF ALABAMA

vs.

RICHARD SEAMAN,

Defendant.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

FILED
MAR 131 1954
ALICE J. DUCK, Clerk

1797

The State of Alabama, {
Baldwin County.

That we, Richard
Willie Seaman

are held and firmly bound unto the State of Alabama

in the sum of Three hundred .. (\$300.00) Dollars,

for the payment of which, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves and each of us, our and each of our heirs, executors and administrators, jointly and severally. But upon condition, that if the above

bound Richard
Willie Seaman shall prosecute to

effect an appeal by him taken this day to the next term of the Circuit (Regular term) Court of Baldwin County from a judgment rendered against him in favor of said State of Alabama

..... by G. E. Perkins

a justice of the peace for said county, for the sum of Five hundred

\$500.00 Dollars, debt in said appeal, shall pay such judgment, both as to

debt and costs as may be rendered against him by the said Justice Court of

Baldwin County, then, in either of said events, this obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and effect.

Given under our hands and seals, this the day of 19

Approved:

Richard Seaman (L. S.)

J. E. Perkins (L. S.)

G. E. Perkins J. P. Joseph Bishop (L. S.)

1797

Transcript of Criminal Cases from Justice Court of Baldwin County, Ala.

Attorneys	Case	Charge
Solister Cooper	THE STATE OF ALABAMA,	
No.	Vs.	
	Richard Seaman	Catching Oysters with a device other than tongs

Disposition of Case	Fees	Amount
Affidavit made and Warrant Issued to James T. Phillips	Judge's Fees	
Returnable G. E. Perkins, Justice Court	Warrant at 50c, Affidavit at 25c -----	.75
Witness—for State James T. Phillips, Frank Grass and	Bond at 50c, Sci Fa. at 50c -----	
Elma H. Hull. The Defendant come in Court and plead	Witnesses' Recognizances at 25c <u>3</u> -----	.75
not guilty, after hearing the veidence, the Court	Subpoenas or notice at 25c -----	
finds him guilty, and finds him \$500.00 dollars, and	Continuance at 25c -----	
\$4.25 Cost, an appeal was taken to the next regular	Trial of Misdemeanor at \$1.00 -----	1.00
meeting of the Circuit Court, bond finxed at	Mittimus at 25c -----	.25
\$300.00 dollars. Wotness my hand this 17 day of March	Judgment on Forfeited Bond at 25c -----	
1954.	Taking Bond, etc., on Appeal at \$1.00 -----	1.00
<i>G. E. Perkins J. P.</i>	Execution of costs at 25c -----	
Justice of the Peace.	Constable's Fees	
	Subpoena or Notice at 25c -----	
	Carrying Defendant before Justice	
	each mile for himself and guard at 10c -----	
	Arrest 50c -----	
	Sheriff's Fees Seafood Of	2.00
	Arrest \$2.00, Sci Fa. 50c -----	2.00
	Guard \$2.00, Finger Printing 10c -----	2.00
	Subpoenas at 50c, Mileage -----	
	Witness Fees	
	<u>1</u> Days at 50c -----	.50
	<u>1</u> Days at 50c -----	.50
	<u>1</u> Days at 50c -----	.50
	Days at 50c -----	
	Days at 50c -----	
	Days at 50c -----	
	Days at 50c -----	
	Defendant's Costs	
	Witnesses' Recognizance at 25c -----	
	Subpoenas at 50c -----	
	Executing Subpoenas -----	

59.25

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10⁶ cells/ml (A), 10⁷ cells/ml (B), 10⁸ cells/ml (C), and 10⁹ cells/ml (D). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10⁶ cells/ml (A), 10⁷ cells/ml (B), 10⁸ cells/ml (C), and 10⁹ cells/ml (D). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10⁶ cells/ml (A), 10⁷ cells/ml (B), 10⁸ cells/ml (C), and 10⁹ cells/ml (D).

[illegible]

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The *Agrobacterium* strains were grown in the YEA medium for 24 h at 28 °C. The cell concentration of the strains was adjusted to 10⁸ cells/ml. The cell suspension was mixed with the plant tissue and incubated for 24 h at 28 °C. The plant tissue was then cultured on the selective medium. The transformation efficiency was determined as the number of transformants per 100 mg of plant tissue. The data are the mean values of three independent experiments.

[illegible]

$\frac{1}{2} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{3} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{4} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{5} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{6} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{7} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{8} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{9} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{10} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{11} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{12} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{13} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{14} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{15} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{16} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{17} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{18} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{19} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{20} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{21} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{22} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{23} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{24} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{25} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{26} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{27} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{28} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{29} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{30} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{31} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{32} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{33} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{34} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{35} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{36} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{37} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{38} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{39} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{40} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{41} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{42} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{43} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{44} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{45} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{46} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{47} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{48} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{49} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{50} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{51} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{52} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{53} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{54} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{55} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{56} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{57} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{58} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{59} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{60} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{61} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{62} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{63} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{64} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{65} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{66} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{67} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{68} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{69} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{70} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{71} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{72} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{73} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{74} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{75} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{76} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{77} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{78} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{79} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{80} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{81} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{82} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{83} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{84} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{85} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{86} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{87} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{88} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{89} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{90} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{91} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{92} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{93} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{94} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{95} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{96} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{97} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{98} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{99} \pi$
 $\frac{1}{100} \pi$

[illegible][illegible]

79