The S	tate of !	Alabama,)	IN CIRCUIT COU	JRT, IN EQUITY
Baldwin	••••	County,		, -
43				
**** * **** *****	Bertha	Lee Hudson		Complainant
		•	VS.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	100. 100. 100.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Dave H	udson		Defendant
			Andrew Agents and the second and the	
In this	s cause it app	earing to the	Register	>>>>>>
	1.4	• -		
nat a Summo	ons requiring			
disc.		Ç e	• •	
Andrew Address of the Control of the	gramma and gravities or a suff monach	per en	energy () and the second of	use within thirty days after the service o
said Summon	s upon h	im		
said Summon	s upon h	im		m s - seminos estres (1990) meiros estres estres meiros estres (1991) estres estres estres estres estres estre Estres estres estre
said Summon was served up	s upon hi	im aid Dave Hudso		
said Summon was served up nore than th	s upon hi	im aid Dave Hudson or to this date, and	the said Defendant having fa	uled to demur, plead to or answer the said
said Summon was served up nore than the	s upon his pon the sa irty days pridaint to this d	im aid Dave Hudson or to this date, and late it is now, there	the said Defendant having fa	uiled to demur, plead to or answer the said
said Summon was served up nore than the	s upon his pon the sa irty days pridaint to this d	im aid Dave Hudson or to this date, and late it is now, there	the said Defendant having fa	uled to demur, plead to or answer the said
said Summon was served up more than the Bill of Compl Complainant	on the second the seco	im aid Dave Hudso or to this date, and late it is now, there rdered, adjudged an	the said Defendant having fa fore, on motion of Wilters d decreed that the said Bill of	uiled to demur, plead to or answer the said
said Summon was served up more than the Bill of Compl Complainant	on the second the seco	im aid Dave Hudso or to this date, and late it is now, there rdered, adjudged an	the said Defendant having fa fore, on motion of Wilters d decreed that the said Bill of	uiled to demur, plead to or answer the said & Brantley, Complaint in this cause be, and it is hereby
said Summon was served up more than the Bill of Compl Complainant	on the second the seco	im aid Dave Hudso or to this date, and late it is now, there rdered, adjudged an	the said Defendant having fa fore, on motion of Wilters d decreed that the said Bill of	uiled to demur, plead to or answer the said & Brantley, Complaint in this cause be, and it is hereby
said Summon was served up more than the Bill of Compl Complainant	on the second the seco	im aid Dave Hudson or to this date, and late it is now, there rdered, adjudged an essed against the sa	the said Defendant having fa fore, on motion of Wilters d decreed that the said Bill of id Dave Hudson	uiled to demur, plead to or answer the said & Brantley, Complaint in this cause be, and it is hereby
aid Summon vas served up nore than the Bill of Comple Complainant' n all things t	pon the sa irty days prid laint to this d's Solicitor, or taken as confe	im aid Dave Hudson or to this date, and late it is now, there rdered, adjudged an essed against the sa	the said Defendant having fa fore, on motion of Wilters d decreed that the said Bill of id Dave Hudson	ailed to demur, plead to or answer the said S. & Brantley, Complaint in this cause be, and it is hereby Defendants
said Summon was served up more than the Bill of Compl Complainant' in all things t	pon the sa irty days prid laint to this d's Solicitor, or taken as confe	im aid Dave Hudson or to this date, and late it is now, there rdered, adjudged an essed against the sa	the said Defendant having fa fore, on motion of Wilters d decreed that the said Bill of id Dave Hudson	ailed to demur, plead to or answer the said S. & Brantley, Complaint in this cause be, and it is hereby Defendants
said Summon was served up more than the Bill of Compl Complainant' in all things t	pon the sa irty days prid laint to this d's Solicitor, or taken as confe	im aid Dave Hudson or to this date, and late it is now, there rdered, adjudged an essed against the sa	the said Defendant having fa fore, on motion of Wilters d decreed that the said Bill of id Dave Hudson	ailed to demur, plead to or answer the said S. & Brantley, Complaint in this cause be, and it is hereby Defendants

RECORDED									
No. Page		•	1			•			
The State of Alabama	The second secon								
		+1,							·
County.						٠	\$ = \$		
IN CIRCUIT COURT, IN EQUITY	Total Montana and American and	14 24 71							
Bertha Lee Hudson		1.4			1				ļ
	,								
COMPLAINANT, vs.	100 mm - 100 mm	+ 3							
Dave Hudson		•	٠		:		(4) 근		
			٠.						
DEFENDANT.					Ş.			1	
D. C. C									
Decree Pro Confesso on Personal Service					- i		:	:	
ssued this 18 That day of April 1953		:							
ssued this 18 hay of April 1953. Action Likewick, Register.						٠			
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		i,		.				٠
iled, 19			:				4 19 4-13		
, Register,			1.	·		1.	4.		
Recorded in	1000								
		1	Ş.; }:	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
Record									. 4
VolPage					1				1.*
, Register.	1	41) K	eri Vari						
							17.		
				ر د در راه کورکر و	3	- 		·	
			;			·			•

(2972)

3107 Code

THE STATE BALDWI	OF ALAI	/ (VI)	Circuit	Court, In Equity.
			+	
Bertha_Lee_Hud	ison			Complainant
		Vs		
Dave Hudson		en e		Defendant
			e u	
Motion is hereby ma	de for a Decre	e Pro Confesso against <u>I</u>	eve Hudson	
	Manager - responsible			The second secon
				Defendant
		round that more than thirty		
Defendant—ha s	failed to den	nur, plead to or answer the	Bill of Complaint in the	nis cause to this date.
			3	
This 18th		day of April	1953	
		By: Allled	M Krand	Solicitor.

No. 2977 RECORDED	·-·
The State of Alabama,	
CIRCUIT COURT, IN EQUITY	=
Bertha Lee Hudson,	¥ Nama ▼
Complainant, Vs.	
Dave Hudson,	- man sa
Respondent.	_
Motion for Decree Pro Confesso o Personal Service	= n
Filed 4-18 195	<u></u>
	=
Recorded in Recor	q
Vol. Page	
Register. Moore Printing Co., Bay Minette, Ala.	

. 10

BILL OF COMPLAINT

BERTHA	LEE	HUDSON,	Ĭ	
		Complainant,	Ā	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
٦	۷S.		§	BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA.
DAVE H	JDSON	•	Ĭ	IN EQUITY
		Respondent.	ğ	CASE NO.

TO THE HONORABLE BUBERT M. HALL, JUDGE OF THE TWENTY-EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF ALABAMA, IN EQUITY SITTING.

Your Complainant, Bertha Lee Hudson, represents and shows unto Your Honor:

1.

That your Complainant is over the age of twenty-one years and is a resident of the said State and County and has been a bona fide resident citizen of said State for more than two years next preceding the filing of this Bill of Complaint; that your Respondent is over the age of twenty-one years and resides in Baldwin County, Alabama.

2.

That your Complainant and Respondent were lawfully married on or about, towit: the 30th day of October, 1945, at Pensacola, Escambia County, Florida.

3.

Your Complainant avers and charges that said Respondent did on or about the 2nd day of February, 1953, and many times prior thereto assault Complainant; that Respondent has committed actual violence on her person attended with danger to her life or health. Complainant avers and charges that Respondent has made numerous threats of doing her physical harm and from his manner and conduct toward her, she is reasonably convinced that he will commit actual violence upon her person attended with danger to her life or health.

4.

Your Complainant further represents and shows that she and Respondent are the joint owners of the following described property situated in the Town of Perdido, Baldwin County, Alabama, to-wit:

Beginning at the Northwest corner of the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 25, Township 1 North, Range 4 East, thence run South 210 yards to a point of beginning, thence run East 140 yards, thence run South 140 yards, thence West 140 yards, thence North to the point of beginning.

There are a house and store building located on the above described property, which in the opinion of the Complainant is worth TWENTY-FIVE HUNDRED (\$2500.00) DOLLARS.

That in addition to the aforesaid real estate they jointly own numerous house-hold goods, fixtures in the store building and a stock of goods in said store building worth, in the opinion of your Complainant, FIVE HUNDRED (\$500.00) DOLLARS. That all of the property is now in the possession of your Respondent.

PRAYER FOR PROCESS

To the end that equity may be had in the premises Complainant prays that Your Monor will cause the usual writ of process to issue the said Dave Hudson, making him a party Respondent to this Bill of Complaint and requiring him to plead, answer or demur to the within Bill of Complaint within the time and under the penalties prescribed by law and the rules of this Honorable Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The premises considered, your Complainant prays that on a final hearing of this cause Your Honor will make and enter a decree granting to the Complainant a complete and absolute divorce from the said Dave Hudson; that she be permitted to again contract marriage should she so desire. Your Complainant further prays that Your Honor will partition this property in kind in accordance with the equity each holds in the same. The Complainant prays in the alternative; that if Your Honor finds it impossible to divide said property in kind, that Your Honor will cause the same to be sold under a decree for partition and division of the proceeds of the same.

Complainant prays for all other, further and additional relief which in equity and good conscience she may be entitled to receive in the premises.

WILTERS & REANTIEY

Solicitors for Complainant