The Court charges the jury that if you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence that the Plaintiff was guilty of contributory negligence which proximately contributed to his own injuries and damages, your verdict must be for the Defendant, Arthur Gaston.

Refused Willer July

The Court charges the jury that the Plaintiff was under a duty to keep a proper lookout for other vehicles upon the roadway. If you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence that the Plaintiff failed to keep a proper lookout for other vehicles and that such failure proximately contributed to his injuries and damages, then your verdict must be for the Defendant.

Referred Willen D Jerdesp

## 

The Court charges the jury that the Plaintiff was under a duty to exercise reasonable or ordinary care for his own safety. If you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence that the Plaintiff failed to exercise reasonable or ordinary care for his own safety and such failure proximately contributed to his injuries and damages, he cannot recover and your verdict must be for the Defendant.

4

The Court charges the jury that if you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence that the Plaintiff was guilty of contributory negligence in the slightest degree which proximately contributed to his own injuries and damages, your verdict must be for the Defendant, Arthur Gaston.

Refused July July

The Court charges the jury that the Plaintiff was under a duty to exercise reasonable or ordinary care to observe the location, speed and position of other vehicles on the roadway. If you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence that the Plaintiff failed to exercise such care and such failure proximately contributed to his own injuries and damages, your verdict must be for the Defendant.

Refressed Weller

## DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED CHARGE NUMBERED 6

The Court charges the jury that the mere fact that an accident occurred resulting in injuries does not give rise to an inference of negligence.

Then Halling