

NO. \_\_\_\_\_

If the plaintiff is entitled to recover, he is entitled to damages for the physical pain and mental anguish he endured, if any, as the result of the assault and battery, and in addition, to the lost time shown by the evidence, at whatever that time is disclosed by the evidence to be worth. He is also entitled to recover, if at all, the amount of his doctor bill and whatever he had to expend to heal and cure himself from the wounds inflicted, and, in addition, you may, if you think you ought, impose such punishment----assess an amount as punishment against this defendant as will deter him from committing a like offense, and whatever you impose, impose it fairly, without prejudice or passion, and all those items, added together, would be the amount of your verdict.

Refered  
W. J. Little  
Judge

DEFENDANT'S JURY CHARGE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

THE COURT CHARGES THE JURY THAT IN ORDER TO RENDER A VERDICT AGAINST THE DEFENDANT, BEN BAILEY, YOU MUST FIND THAT THE DEFENDANT, JERRY BISHOP, WAS ACTING AS THE AGENT, SERVANT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE DEFENDANT, BEN BAILEY.

GIVEN \_\_\_\_\_, REFUSED

  
CIRCUIT JUDGE

DEFENDANT'S JURY CHARGE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

THE COURT CHARGES THE JURY THAT A LANDLORD IS NOT LIABLE FOR AN ASSAULT AND BATTERY COMMITTED BY A TENANT UNLESS THE TENANT IS THE AGENT, SERVANT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE LANDLORD AND IS ACTING WITHIN THE LINE AND SCOPE OF HIS EMPLOYMENT AS SUCH AGENT, SERVANT OR EMPLOYEE.

GIVEN \_\_\_\_\_, REFUSED

  
CIRCUIT JUDGE

NO. \_\_\_\_\_

A principal is liable for the acts of his agent, done within the scope of his apparent authority.

*Refused  
Judge*

NO. \_\_\_\_\_

In order for the defendant to be liable, it is not necessary that it directed the act, but if its agents were acting in the scope of their authority, and for purposes of the defendant, or if the defendant ratified the action of its agents, then the defendant might be liable, although its agents acted through personal malice.

Refused  
W. J. [unclear]  
Judge