The Court charges the jury that mere feebleness, predispoition to a recurrence of a former disease, or every infirmity which may aggrevate the effects of an accidental injury, is not to be regarded as the cause of death.

Degar genanleburn Jegar genanleburn The Court charges the jury that under the language of the insurance policy involved in this case, that if you are reasonably satisfied from all the evidence in the case that Mr. Wilson's injury started a chain reaction resulting in death, you may find for the Plaintiff even if one of the links in the chain is a dormant disease or physical condition without which the chain would be broken.

Refused, Jugain & malletion The Court charges the jury that if you are reasonably satisfied from all the evidence in the case that Charles Wilson suffered an injury when he fell down the steps, and if you are further reasonably satisfied from the evidence in the case that at the time of the fall, his heart condition was dormant, and if you are further reasonably satisfied from the evidence in the case that the injury sustained in the fall set off a chain reaction resulting in his death, then you may return a verdict in favor of the Plaintiff.

Refueld; Judge. The Court charges the jury that if an injury starts a chain reaction resulting in death, recovery may be had by the Plaintiff even if one of the links in the chain is old age, fraility and some links are dormant diseases or physical conditions without which the chain would be broken.

Refused, Jefair & masletiers Ander.

The Court charges the jury that in arriving at a verdict in this case you must not permit sympathy, prejudice or emotion to influence you.

Tupair g. madebon.

The court charges the jury that the burden is upon the plaintiff to reasonably satisfy you by the evidence of the truthfulness of the matters and things claimed by her before the plaintiff would be entitled to recover.

Defair y nadelsom Judge.

The Court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, you cannot find for the plaintiff.

July mastern

A deposition is the testimony of a party or witness, taken before trial in writing, under oath or affirmation, before some judicial officer, in answer to oral questions. The deposition of the witness, Dr. Martin Lester, has been offered and has been received in evidence. The testimony of this witness by deposition is evidence in the case just as if the witness had appeared and testified in person. During your deliberations you should consider this testimony along with all the other evidence material to the issues.

Tiofair J. maslebourne Judge

The Court charges the jury that you may consider the pecuniary interest any witness may have in the result of your verdict in weighing the testimony of such witness.

Tegan J. masleborn Judge

The Court charges the jury that in determining the credit you will give the testimony of a witness, you may consider the kinship that such witness has for one of the parties to this suit.

Jefring, madlebæren Jefring, madlebæren The Court charges the jury that in determining what the true facts are from the evidence you may take into consideration any natural interest or bias a witness may have as a result of any connection with case. You make take into consideration the interest or bias a witness may have shown while testifying.

You may take into consideration the demeanor of any witness, as to whether the witness has apparently testified frankly or evasively. You may take into consideration any matter which you would in your every day affairs, in passing upon the truthfulness and accuracy of the testimony. Weigh the testimony in the light of your common observation and experience and reach a verdict that will be based upon the truth as you determine it from all of the evidence.

Refused, Jofan A. Malleburn Judge

The Court charges the jury that if you believe from the evidence that any witness in the case has knowingly sworn falsely as to any material fact in this case, you may disregard the testimony of such witness entirely.

Defair y, mastebren Judge.

The Court charges the jury that if you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence that Charles L. Wilson, the plaintiff's husband, had active arterialsclerosic heart disease which contributed directly or indirectly, wholly or partly, to his death, then you cannot find for the plaintiff.

segaer og maralebure Judge The Court charges the jury that if you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence that the loss sustained by Charles Wilson was the result directly or indirectly, wholly or partly, of any bodily or mental infirmity or disease, the plaintiff would not be entitled to recover under the policy sued on.

The bodily or mental infirmity or disease must be of such a nature that it is an efficient contributing cause of the loss sustained by Charles Wilson.

Jester of mashburn Jester of Andre

The court charges the jury that if any one of your number is not reasonably satisfied from the evidence that the plaintiff is entitled to recover any verdict against the defendant, then you cannot return a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant.

Tepen Jimashburn Jedger Jimashburn Judst.