

DEFENDANT'S CHARGE NO. 1

The court charges the jury that you must return a verdict in favor of the Defendant.

*Refused,
Jelfair G. Masleberry
Judge.*

DEFENDANT'S CHARGE NO. 2

The court charges the jury that you cannot return a verdict in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant, Stanley O'Neal White.

*Refused,
Jelfair J. Maslibera
Judge*

DEFENDANT'S CHARGE NO. 3

The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, you cannot return a verdict in favor of the Plaintiff.

Refused
Sefair J. Maslow
Judge

DEFENDANT'S CHARGE NO. 4

The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, you must return the verdict for the Defendant, Stanley O'Neal White.

Refused,
J. J. M. M. M.
Judge

DEFENDANT'S CHARGE NO. 5

The court charges the jury that if you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence in this case that the Plaintiff, through its agent, servant, or employee acting within the line and scope of his employment as such made a left turn upon the roadway without ascertaining whether such movement could be made with reasonable safety then such failure constitutes negligence on the part of the Plaintiff as a matter of law. If you are further reasonably satisfied that such failure proximately contributed or caused the Plaintiff's alleged damages then your verdict should be for the Defendant.

Given
Sefer J. Madhwar
Judge

DEFENDANT'S CHARGE NO. 6

The court charges the jury that it is the law of Alabama that no person shall turn a vehicle at an intersection unless the vehicle is in a proper position upon the roadway or turn a vehicle to enter a private road or driveway or otherwise turn a vehicle from a direct course or move right or left upon a roadway unless and until such movement can be made with reasonable safety. No person shall turn any vehicle without giving an appropriate signal in the event any other traffic may be affected by such movement. It is further a law of Alabama that a signal of intention to turn right or left when required shall be given continuously during not less than the last one hundred feet traveled by the vehicle before turning. I charge you that if you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence in this case that the Plaintiff failed to ascertain that his left turn could be made with reasonable safety and failed to give a signal of his intention to turn left for the last one hundred feet traveled by his vehicle before turning and that such failure proximately contributed to its alleged damages, your verdict should be for the Defendant.

*J. J. Mason,
Judge of Probate*

DEFENDANT'S CHARGE NO. 7

The court charges the jury that a signal of intention to turn right or left shall be given continuously during not less than the last one hundred feet traveled by a vehicle before turning. If you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence in this case that the Plaintiff acting through its agent, servant or employee failed to give a signal of intention to turn left upon the roadway for the last one hundred feet traveled by its vehicle before turning, then it is guilty of negligence as a matter of law. If you are further reasonably satisfied that such failure proximately contributed to the Plaintiff's alleged damages, in the slightest degree, your verdict should be for the Defendant.

Given
Jeffrey J. Madeline
Judge