

#1

The court charges the jury that our statutes are such that we must hold the status of the dog approximates in our law and is kindred to, though not in all respects the same as, the status of other domestic animals, and that he cannot lawfully be killed in defense of another animal that he is attacking where his value largely exceeds that of such animal.

Refused,
Seymour J. Maschewitz
Judge.

#2

The court charges the jury that, if you believe from the evidence that the value of the goat was greatly less than the value of the dog, the owner of the goat should have refrained from killing the dogs.

Refused,
J. J. J. M. M. M.
Judge

#3

The court charges the jury that the matter of promoting peace and good order of society does not permit the killing of a valuable dog to save the life of an ordinary goat of far less monetary value.

Refused,
Jefair J. Marshall
Judge

4

The Court charges the jury that unless you believe from all of the evidence that the dogs in question were known to worry or kill livestock, you cannot find for the plaintiff under Count Two of the Complaint.

Green,
Deputy Marshal
Judge