

1. The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, your verdict should be for the defendants under Count One of the amended complaint.

Refused.
Jefair J. Masbury
Judge

2. The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, your verdict should be for the defendants under Count Two of the amended complaint.

Suzer
Felice J. Mascherano
Judge.

3. The court charges the jury that if you are reasonably satisfied from all of the evidence in this case that the plaintiff, George W. Pulliam, failed to use due care on the occasion complained of, and his failure to use such due care proximately resulted in his alleged injuries and damages on the said occasion, then I charge you that you cannot find a verdict for the plaintiff in this case, under Count 1 of the amended COMPLAINT.

Superior, Massachusetts

4. The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, you cannot award the plaintiff any damages for any loss of time from his work.

*Refused,
Julius J. MacLennan judge.*

5. The court charges the jury that if you believe the evidence in this case, you cannot award the plaintiff any damages for hospital or medical expenses incurred as a result of the injuries complained of in this case.

Refused
Julian J. Washburn
Judge.

1.

The Court charges you, gentlemen of the jury, that the law in Alabama requires that whenever any vehicle used in the transportation of inflammable liquids in bulk is disabled upon a highway outside of any municipality, at anytime from one-half hour after sunset to one-half hour before sunrise and at any other time when there is not sufficient light to render clearly discernible persons and vehicles on the highway at a distance of 500 feet ahead, one red electric lantern or reflector shall be immediately placed on the roadway at the traffic side of vehicle and one red electric lantern or reflector shall be placed 100 feet to the rear of said vehicle and another red electric lantern or reflector 100 feet in front of said vehicle and I charge you, gentlemen of the jury, that if you are reasonably satisfied from the evidence in this case that the Defendant's gas truck became disabled on the traveled portion of the highway during the time set forth by the law and the Defendant, Rufus Clyde Harmon, failed to immediately place the electric lanterns or reflectors as prescribed by said law, then the said Rufus Clyde Harmon, would be negligent as a matter of law.

~~W. J. Harmon~~
W. J. Harmon
Judge